

Cork County Development Plan Review

Section 12(8)

Chief Executive's Report

Volume Two: Part 2(a)

*Submissions on the Proposed
Amendments to the Draft Plan –
Response and Recommendations*

*Volumes Three, Four and Five of the
Draft Plan (All 8 Municipal Districts)*

16th March 2022



Comhairle Contae Chorcaí
Cork County Council

Contents

VOLUME THREE	4
1 FERMOY MD	4
2 KANTURK MALLOW MD	30
VOLUME FOUR	63
3 CARRIGALINE MD	63
4 COBH MD	73
5 EAST CORK MD	97
6 MACROOM MD	125
VOLUME FIVE	133
7 BANDON KINSALE MD	133
8 WEST CORK MD	143
VOLUME SIX	169
9 SEA	169

Volume Two of the Section 12(8) Chief Executive's Report is made up of the following parts;

Volume Two Part 1; Submissions on the Proposed Amendments to the Draft Plan-Response and Recommendations Volume One and Two of the Draft Plan.

Volume Two Part 2(a) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments-Response and Recommendations Volumes Three, Four and Five of the Draft Plan (All 8 Municipal Districts)

Volume Two Part 2(b) Submissions on the Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 Broomfield, Midleton, East Cork MD.

Volume Two Part 2(c) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (A-K).

Volume Two Part 2(d) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (L-Z).

Volume Three

1 Fermoy MD

Interested Party	1.Ciaran and Ursula Dolan, 2.Frank and Kathleen Mullally 3.Noel and Margaret Coughlan, 4.Frank O Connor, 5.Margaret O Grady, 6.Bridget Allen, 7.Simon and Una Murphy, 8.Matt and Mary Cahill, 9.David and Marie McDonald, 10.Angela Cooper, 11.Edwin and Bridget Nolan, 12.Colman and Catherine O’Farrell, 13.Sean and Ciara Condon, 14.Wayne and Leah McCarthy, 15.Arthur and Mrs McDonagh, 16.Patrick and May Sheehy, 17.John and Emer Sheehy,
Ref. No.	PADP400944623
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.5.28
Submission Summary	<p>The submission refers to proposed amendment 3.1.5.28. - a proposed new industrial zoning at Gortnahown, south of Mitchelstown. The zoning was introduced following a Notice of Motion from Members. This submission has been prepared on behalf of thirty local residents who are opposed to the proposed amendment.</p> <p>The submission notes the site adjoins a number of rural houses and it is not realistic to think adequate separation can be provided between an industrial use on the site and adjoining houses. Submission argues that an industrial zoning on this site would contravene many of the Council's planning objectives for the area and notes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site of the proposed development is located within the Green Belt Area around Mitchelstown and on a scenic route within an area of high value landscape. It is the policy of the County Development Plan to reduce development in Green Belt areas as far as possible and preserve such land for the future development of agriculture, open space, or recreation use. The proposed development would be in conflict with this objective. • It is considered that the proposed rezoning would be out of character with the pattern of residential development in the vicinity of the site, would constitute an unduly obtrusive feature in the landscape, would detract from the visual and residential amenities of the area and would, accordingly, be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area. • The development would seriously injure the amenities and depreciate the value of residential property in the vicinity because it would constitute an intrusion of an undesirable industrial and commercial use into an area which is residential in character. If permitted, it would be a precedent for later proposals for further expansion and other proposals elsewhere to introduce industry into residential areas. Concerned about impact of odours, noise, light pollution, traffic movements on residential amenities of adjoining properties. • Site is isolated from the town’s industrial region to the north. The access road is inadequate and heavy vehicle traffic would be a serious risk to children in the area if the rezoning was to proceed. There is also • Site proposed for industrial use is about 100m from a well supplying water to Mitchelstown public water supply creating a potential risk to public if the well were to be contaminated by run off from the site . There is also risk of contaminating the Gradoge river and potential for impact on the Blackwater Callows (SPA). • Isolated nature of site will make dealing with waste and effluent difficult. Site has no services and due to it isolated location it would be difficult and costly to provide services.

	Submission gives details on a previous planning application in the area under reference 07/10218 for retention of a builder's storage compound at Kiltrislane. The development was located in the greenbelt north of the site the subject of the proposed amendment and was refused planning permission for four reasons as it would be conflict with greenbelt policies, detract from amenities of the area etc. Principle of proposed amendment is considered similar to that which was refused. Submission is of the view that the proposed amendment 3.1.5.28 contravenes many of the objectives in the CDP and requests the amendment is abolished.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Andrew and Julie Nolan
Ref. No.	PADP401194193
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement. Submitter was dismayed to hear of proposals to downgrade the status of the village noting that it has represented Cork on the national and international stage and has enjoyed great success in the Tidy Towns and Entente Florale and has worked closely with the Council on many initiatives and projects over the years . The school is also expanding. Submission stresses that it is vital to the future development of Coolagown that the village status is retained.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Barry and Tracey Bransfield
Ref. No.	PADP401606564
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village. Submission notes Coolagown is a wonderful place to live with the school about to have a second major extension. Submission notes the village contains a church, agri/grain store, several stud farms, a group water scheme and a bring bank. It notes Coolagown is a vibrant community in a beautiful area and it would be a major blow to the community if it is downgraded to village nuclei.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Board of Management, Glenville National School

Ref. No.	PADP400887081
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.2.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Glenville. Submission highlights its support for any and all developments that lead to the provision of more housing in Glenville and its surrounding townlands. Submission is delighted to see ten units allocated in the revised County Plan; however, it is requesting this number is increased to 100 units for Glenville to maintain the life of the school and wider community. Submission notes Glenville National School was demolished and rebuilt in 2015 and lists the pupil's intake over the last 10 years. Submission is concerned about the drop in student numbers in recent years and states this is due to the shortage of suitable housing in the village.</p> <p>Submission argues it is a waste of Government funds to have built a fabulous new school in Glenville to house 200 plus children and subsequently prevent development in the village which has led to a decimation of the numbers of pupils in the school. Submission suggests that support from the Council or State to retrofit vacant properties on main street would be welcomed.</p> <p>Submission highlights that insufficient capacity in the village's Waste water treatment plant is the main reason for the refusal of planning permission of new homes and was a major issue in getting planning for the new school building back in 2014.</p> <p>Submission includes Irish Waters Annual Environmental report 2019 for Glenville and a detailed study, the Upper River Bride Catchment Biological Water Quality, Instream Biodiversity Protected Species & Invasive Species 2020, prepared for the Glenville Community Council to support this submission. The submission requests that Cork County Council would use its authority to ensure that Irish Water would immediately prioritise the upgrade of the Glenville Waste Water Treatment Plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This submission requests a change to Proposed Amendment 3.1.2.1 to provide for an increase to 100 units in the settlement of Glenville. There have been certain unit allocations for each settlement across the county, and this is a significant reduction to previous plans, therefore many places took a cut. It is not possible to increase units without reducing elsewhere. Chapter 2 Core Strategy sets out the detailed methodology for determining the appropriate scale of growth for each settlement in the County. The growth target for Glenville meets the requirements of the guidelines and has been further revised in line with the Office of the Planning Regulators Recommendations. There is sufficient land to meet these population growth targets in the revised land supply provisions. 2. Concerns regarding the waste water treatment plant are noted. The Council are working with Irish Water to resolves the constraints across the county.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.1.2.1 with No Modification. 2. No further action required
Interested Party	Brendan and Anette Collins
Ref. No.	PADP400084626
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP. The submitter mentions that they built their home in Coolagown in 2000 and have always supported the village. The submission is of the view that if Coolagown lost its village status, it would seriously impede future growth and development.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Brendan and Nessa Noonan
Ref. No.	PADP400093807
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports Elected Members and amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP. Submission states it is imperative that Coolagown is reinstated as a village for the continued sustainable development of the village. It would be a major blow to a fantastic community spirit and pride of place to lose its designation. Submission notes that the community are really looking forward to the new enhancement to the village that are in progress and the wider footpaths along the Long Road will be of great use to wheelchair / buggy users. The community are very proud of the national school that is about to have its second major extension and it is very important to keep Coolagown as a village settlement.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Castlelyons Development
Ref. No.	PADP401432928
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin and amendment 3.1.4.15 to include industrial zoning. Submission has the following concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlights that if this land is zoned for industry, it could lead to a compensation case if An Bord Pleanála refuse planning permission on zoned land. Submission notes this was explained by the then County Manager to the Councillors in 2011 when an attempt to zone neighbouring land failed. Submission stresses that Cork County Council will expose itself to a serious compensation claim if it zones this land and permission is refused. • Highlights that if this land is zoned it will contravene the National Road Guidelines and the Councils own Development Plan transport objectives. Submission references the ABP inspectors report on a previous application and the TII submission to support this argument. • Notes Castlelyons village floods after heavy rain which impacts houses in the area. Submission argues any development at this site will impact flooding in Castlelyons. It notes the area was known locally as the Ballyoran Bogs. • Argues zoning this site will not be proper planning or sustainable development. It highlights that the development of Fermoy and its environs has been and is being planned for, while this site is located in a remote rural location, distinctly distant from the town and in a not serviced area. Submission stresses that developing this site is not sustainable development that is in the best interest of the town of Fermoy or protecting the greenbelt. • Highlights the land is situated in a high value landscape designation and within

	<p>the greenbelt. It notes this area would be of high landscape sensitivity and development here would undermine the rural landscape character of this area and would visually impact the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlights there are alternative zoned lands available in Fermoy which are located close to the town resulting in reduced travel for workers making them more sustainable. <p>The submission states for all the above reasons, this site is not suitable for development and should not be zoned.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine and David Waters
Ref. No.	PADP400508413
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement and not downgrade to village nuclei. Submitters have lived in Coolagown their whole life and are devastated that the village could be downgraded. Submission highlights that the work done down through the years gives the submitters great pride and they continue to improve and develop the village.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Christopher White and Michelle Donnolly/White
Ref. No.	PADP401053765
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy. Submissions refers to 17 acres of land to the north of the town which are zoned for residential use in the 2017 LAP (FY-R-09) but were rezoned as Agriculture (FY- AG-01) in the Draft County Development Plan. Submission requests that the land retain the residential zoning of the 2017 LAP as the land can be serviced by a proposed new pumping station to be provided as part of the completion of the Crannard Development to the south of the site. The pumping station has been approved by Irish Water and has been designed to cater for these additional lands.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any proposed amendment. It is not possible to make further changes to zonings at this stage of the process and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Colman O'Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP400437147

Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to land at Corrin 3kms southeast of Fermoy. The submission fully supports proposed amendment 3.1.4.15 for an industrial zoning FY-I-05 on the lands at Corrin and Ballyoran and requests this amendment is adopted as part of the final plan.</p> <p>The submission includes a detailed site context and notes the site is situated in an area where the surrounding land uses are primarily light industrial and agricultural. It highlights the site is bound to the south by South Coast Logistics and to the west by Veolia and Flyco and gives further details on each company.</p> <p>Submission notes the site was granted permission by Cork County Council under Ref. No. 19/4370 for the construction of a commercial vehicle test centre facility to solely serve the existing South Coast logistics facility. However, on appeal to ABP, the Board refused permission for reasons under ABP-305732-19. Submission highlights that Cork County Council supported the scheme and recognised the need for this existing business to expand in line with Objective RCI 5-6 but on the other hand, the inspector has stated that “reliance on this objective is completely misplaced and one cannot reasonable land any weight to this objective when considering this proposal.”. Submission argues this clearly demonstrates that the current County Development Plan policy which supports the expansion of well-established businesses within the green belt is not strong enough for An Bord Pleanála to grant permission for the expansion of a well-established business within a green belt location. Additionally, the submission notes that CCC and NRA have previously recognised these lands as having the potential for development under a Hope Development Case compensation was paid for a CPO of the lands and therefore indicated that both the Council and the NRA are supportive of development in this area.</p> <p>Submission includes references to the policies and objectives in the CDP 2014 and the proposed Draft Plan Amendment 3.1.4.15 and is fully in support of this amendment. The submission also includes letters from the Directors of the companies Flyco, Specto and Veolia, all supporting proposed amendment 3.1.4.15 to zone these lands for industrial purposes.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Con and Trish Lawlor
Ref. No.	PADP400108410
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement. The submission is disappointed to learn of the proposal to downgrade Coolagown in the CDP. The submitters have lived and reared their family in Coolagown and are proud to have assisted in the ongoing development of the village. The submission questions why Coolagown is the only listed village from the previous CDP that is listed to be downgraded. Submission is fully in support of the Elected Members and this amendment to have Coolagown listed as a village in the forthcoming CDP.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Coolagown Development Group
Ref. No.	PADP400519624
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement. The submission includes a very detailed background to Coolagown and the Community's efforts to improve the quality of life for everybody. It notes the village is located five miles east of Fermoy overlooking the Blackwater Valley to the north and the Bride Valley to the South. Submission highlights the village is steeped in heritage and tradition with a strong equestrian presence and lists the various businesses, amenities, and facilities in Coolagown. Submission states the Coolagown Development Group, formed in the 1990s, addresses all local issues and that the community spirit and pride of place is very strong.</p> <p>Submission gives a detailed account of how the village enhancement commenced by using questionnaires to collect the responses from householders and eventually led to the development of the first 5 year plan. Submission describes the importance of the Blacksmith and the horseshoe as a theme for the village. It notes the Group water scheme was secured in 2001 and currently has connections from 58 houses. Submissions names the involvement of different agencies to help with the various projects.</p> <p>Submission notes Coolagown was categorised as a village in the CDP 2003 and was a great day for the community. This gave the community an incentive to keep going and the submission gives details on the following that has occurred in Coolagown since:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extension to the School. - Secured Community Broadband. - Establishment of Kilbarry Woodland Walks, - A biodiversity survey completed. - Live Space Park was developed. - The Spout is now an aquatic habitat. - Information boards erected throughout the village. - Coole Holy Well was developed. - A Pilot for Waste Minimisation 2008-2009. - Developed the underground Bringbank in 2011. - Green Dragon constructed to collect cans. - Beat the Bill energy saving pilot scheme. - Run off Green Homes Programme as part of Greening Communities . - Rainwater Harvesting Project. - A full size horse sculptor. - Barretts Dyke 2019 project. - Secured funding from the town and village renewal in 2020. - Registered with the SEAI and is a sustainable Energy Community. <p>The submission lists the many different achievements Coolagown has won over the years and has welcomed many villages to Coolagown to share experiences and advice with them. It notes the national school is about to undergo its second major extension. Submission states the Coolagown community was shocked and disappointed to hear that an effort was made to downgrade Coolagown from Village status and are requesting for village status to be reinstated. It references the scale of Development for Villages in the Fermoy MD had an allocation of 5 houses and that these units can be serviced from a waste water perspective. It states that removing the boundary will remove all aspirations for future</p>

	development of WWTP facilities. Submission fully supports the Elected Members amendment to have Coolagown listed as a village.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	David Stack
Ref. No.	PADP401085653
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission fully supports the amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement. Submission notes in 1998 the family began operating a stud operation in Coolagown and the village status enabled hugely location recognition for many clients who come to Coolagown Stud with their Broodmares. Submission suggests that being village ensured access to a community water scheme, good road network and a picturesque rural location that appealed to their clients. Submission highlights that they have hired seasonal employees who have moved to Coolagown village due to recent housing developments which were built because Coolagown had Village status. Submission is of the view that being a village from a business perspective ensured overall prosperity in the immediate environment of the stud farm. Submission is concerned about the proposal to down grade Coolagown to a village nuclei as it will affect the upkeep, maintenance of what has been built.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Donal O'Leary
Ref. No.	PADP401191057
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement. Submitter objects to any downgrading of the status of Coolagown where his family have lived for 35 years noting the vibrant, forward looking nature of the community that exists there. Submitter outlines the different facilities that have supported the organic growth of Coolagown and requests that the village status is reinstated.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Elise and Sean Cunningham
Ref. No.	PADP401205674

Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports elected members and amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP 2022.</p> <p>The submitter notes that they have lived in Coolagown all their life and have seen the expansion of the Kilmagner school to cater for the growth of the area while the local church caters for many important milestone occasions. Submission lists the wonderful amenities in Coolagown which give an appreciation for wildlife, biodiversity, and nature, while the grain store, agri -store and stud farms support the rural community. Coolagown is considered to be a progressive village that has implemented many initiatives such as the group water scheme, bring banks and a rainwater harvesting project. Submission requests that its village status be retained.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Emmet Cronin and Family
Ref. No.	PADP401359265
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement. Submission states it has come as a major disappointment that the Council has seen it fit to review the status of Coolagown as a village. It highlights Coolagown is a very progressive and united community that takes great pride in its ongoing achievements as a standard bearer for sustainable living, biodiversity, and quality of life. Submission questions the reasoning of the downgrade and argues it does not make sense to demoralize a community and strip its ability to access important funding and recognition in the future.</p> <p>Submission highlights their historical connections with Coolagown with generations of the family attending Kilmagner school. Submission outlines the various initiatives and projects carried out by the local Tidy Towns Committee. Submission states Coolagown is an easily recognizable community that has a lot of focused building development and it is a tightly-knit, beautifully maintained village community. Submission argues Coolagown should be held up as an exemplar of possibility and not downgraded and dismissed.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Fr Gerald Coleman
Ref. No.	PADP401059070
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	Duplicate of submission PADP401061460

Chief Executive's Response	Duplicate of submission PADP401061460
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Fr Gerard Coleman
Ref. No.	PADP401061460
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission fully supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement in the Fermoy Municipal District. Submission suggests this amendment will facilitate future growth in Coolagown in line with the scale of the settlement. Submission argues the increased working from home during Covid-19 will require some extra houses to be built in Coolagown. Submission wishes to highlight that it is widely recognised that Coolagown is a model community that promotes and supports sustainable development.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	G. Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401674358
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy and particularly land to the south at Corrin. The submission does not support amendment 3.1.4.15 to zone the land for industrial uses. Submission notes the traffic is very bad on the roads at the roundabout and will only get worse if this site is built on.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Garrett Verling
Ref. No.	PADP401672520
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin and amendment 3.1.4.15 to include industrial zoning. Submission has the following concerns: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlights that if this land is zoned for industry, it could lead to a compensation case if An Bord Pleanála refuse planning permission on zoned land. Submission notes this was explained by the then County Manager to the Councillors in 2011 when an attempt to zone neighbouring land failed. Submission stresses that Cork County Council will expose itself to a serious compensation claim if it zones this land and permission is refused. • Highlights that if this land is zoned it will contravene the National Road

	<p>Guidelines and the Councils own Development Plan transport objectives. Submission references the ABP inspectors report on a previous application and the TII submission to support this argument.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes Castlelyons village floods after heavy rain which impacts houses in the area. Submission argues any development at this site will impact flooding in Castlelyons. It includes a photograph of the subject site flooded and notes the area was known locally as the Ballyoran Bogs. • Argues zoning this site will not be proper planning or sustainable development. It highlights that the development of Fermoy and its environs has been and is being planned for, while this site is located in a remote rural location, distinctly distant from the town and in a not serviced area. Submission stresses that developing this site is not sustainable development that is in the best interest of the town of Fermoy or protecting the greenbelt. • Highlights the land is situated in a high value landscape designation and within the greenbelt. It notes this area would be of high landscape sensitivity and development here would undermine the rural landscape character of this area and would visually impact the area. • Highlights there are alternative zoned lands available in Fermoy which are located close to the town resulting in reduced travel for workers making them more sustainable. <p>Submission has an article from Irish Examiner attached noting Castlelyons is in a flood prone area. The submission states for all the above reasons, this site is not suitable for development and should not be zoned.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Garry Keane
Ref. No.	PADP401605800
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village. Submission is of the view that for the sustainable development of Coolagown, its status as a village is imperative and it would be a major blow to the community spirit and pride of place of Coolagown was downgraded.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	J. Condon
Ref. No.	PADP399358282
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.5.28
Submission Summary	Duplicate submission. See submission reference number PADP399044783
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	J. Skully
Ref. No.	PADP401697055
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy and particularly land to the south at Corrin. The submission does not support amendment 3.1.4.15 to zone the land for industrial uses. Submission notes it does not want to see this land zoned because Castlelyons floods very badly.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	J. Condon
Ref. No.	PADP399044783
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.5.28
Submission Summary	The submission refers to a site situated half way between Mitchelstown and Fermoy along the Motorway in proposed amendment 3.1.5.28. The submission supports the rezoning of this site for industrial use and includes additional information to support the proposed amendment. Submission includes details on how the development of a Civic Amenity Centre will address the following: 1. Visual appearance by establishing screening belts on the perimeter of the site. 2. Traffic Management by implementing a traffic management system. 3. Noise Generation by outlining how the predicted noise generation will have a negligible impact. 3. Light Pollution Control by implementing a lighting system that will consist of low energy LED lighting specifically selected for their full cut off functionality, will be motion operation and on timers. 4. Odour Control by only handling dry recyclable material and that the Civic Amenity Centre will be licenced, inspected and controlled in accordance with the stipulations as laid down by Cork County Council and the EPA. 5. Vermin Control by implementing a vermin control plan and only handling dry recyclable materials.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jamie Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP402107122
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin and amendment 3.1.4.15. The submission does states do not zone this land as it will flood Castlelyons.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Interested Party	John & Emily Feeney
Ref. No.	PADP399844777
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission refers to Coolagown and amendment 3.1.23.1. The submission strongly objects to the down grading of Coolagown from Village to Village Nuclei Status and supports proposed amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a Village in the CDP.</p> <p>The submitter highlights their long association with Coolagown and were involved in futureproofing Kilmagner National School. The submission describes the fantastic community spirit and pride in Coolagown that is reflected by the many different awards Coolagown has received in Tidy Towns competitions and the European Entente Florale competition. The submission states that down grading to a village nuclei would be a major blow to morale locally and therefore is fully in support of this amendment.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Kathryn Ablett
Ref. No.	PADP401373108
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP 2022-2028. Submission also supports the vision of the Fermoy MD to allow for a limited amount of future growth (up to 5 dwellings) in the plan period.</p> <p>Submission was amazed to hear about the proposal to reclassify Coolagown as a village nucleus, given the village's fantastic amenities. Submission outlines the different facilities and services in Coolagown that make the area an attractive place to potential new residents. Additionally, submission notes the fantastic community spirit with regular involvement in Tidy Towns/ Litter Picking competitions, the rain water harvest scheme and winning the 2011 Entente Florale competition. The submission adds community spirit is never more evident than at the annual 5k event.</p> <p>Submission suggests it would be a significant blow to the future development of the village and morale of the residents if the village was downgraded. It highlights given the shortages of housing in Fermoy, it needs satellite villages ready and willing to cater for future housing/ schooling needs.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Kathryn Ablett
Ref. No.	PADP401377504
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP 2022-2028. It argues the Kilmagner National School is a fantastic local amenity at the heart of the community with students actively involved in tidy towns/litter picking competitions, holding annual Christmas concert, and serving refreshments at the Coolagown 5km. Submission notes the school is about to start a major school extension to accommodate the current and future growth in numbers.</p> <p>Submission states the proposal to reclassify Coolagown as a village nuclei was a major shock given the potential impact on school numbers. Submission requests that Coolagown is to be reinstated as a village to support the vision of Fermoy MD to allow for a limited amount of future growth in the plan (objective DB-01). It will ensure continued enrolments the school's future growth.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Kilmagner NS
Ref. No.	PADP401002301
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission fully supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement. Submission notes that the Kilmagner N.S. is a vibrant school with the majority of students coming from Coolagown village. The school has had a steady rise in numbers over past two decades and is about to commence a major new development in coming weeks. Submission states the future of the school and village are interlinked. Submission believes that the downgrading of Coolagown to Village nuclei would have an adverse effect on the future development of the village and this would hinder the school development in the future. Submission hopes that the Coolagown village status is not threatened in anyway.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Liz O Brien
Ref. No.	PADP400525873
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1

Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement and not downgrade it to a village nuclei. Submitter has welcomed the controlled development over recent years. Submission highlights that while the village is spread out, it contains a busy Agri/Grain store, a thriving school and has been at the top of the list in the Tidy Towns Competition for many years. Submission notes that the rare European honour- the Entente Florale was achieved by the local community in recent times. Submission is disheartened to see the village status is at risk of down grading and urges the local authority to reconsider and allow Coolagown retain its village status.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Louise McHugh
Ref. No.	PADP394783020
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.22.2
Submission Summary	This submission refers to the proposed boundary extension to the east of Shanballymore in amendment 3.1.22.2. The submission highlights that there may be a mapping error in that there is a small area of land located outside of the current development boundary, but between the current boundary and the proposed boundary extension, which is not included in the amendment / extended area to be included in the boundary..
Chief Executive's Response	The submission requests a change to proposed amendment 3.1.22.2 to provide a small pocket of land as part of the extension. It is considered that the amendment defines the outer limit of the development boundary of Shanballymore at this location and this should be reflected in the final plan.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.1.22.2 with no Modification.
Interested Party	Lowney Family
Ref. No.	PADP401248610
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports elected members and amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement. Submission notes Coolagown offers a safe and secure environment to raise a family and has a great school and many other facilities. In addition, the village's proximity to Fermoy town means that essential services are nearby. The strong community spirit is evident in the pride people take in the village and the 10 gold medals the village has won in the Tidy Towns. The submission requests Coolagown retains its village status.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Lynda and Pat Finn and Family

Ref. No.	PADP401070997
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission fully supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP 2022-2028 to ensure the community continues to grow. Submission highlights they have lived in Coolagown for 15 years and notes there is a wonderful school which has grown in recent years and is about to start a much needed extension. Submission wishes to highlight that Coolagown was spoiled for choice the last two years for exercise when restrictions were limited to 2km travel and notes the countless times people have commented on the beauty of the village. Submission is of the view that if the village was downgraded to village nuclei in the upcoming plan it would be a major blow to the fantastic community spirit and special beauty of the village.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	M. Barry
Ref. No.	PADP401672271
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin and amendment 3.1.4.15. Submission does not agree to building on this land because it will flood the village.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marcella Bucca
Ref. No.	PADP401676114
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy and particularly land to the south at Corrin. The submission does not support amendment 3.1.4.15 to zone the land for industrial uses. Submission notes Castlelyons floods in winter and a building at this location will make it worse. Submission states it must not be allowed.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Margaret Cotter
Ref. No.	PADP401678008

Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy and particularly land to the south at Corrin. The submission does not support amendment 3.1.4.15 to zone the land for industrial uses. Submission notes this land should not be zoned because it will flood Castlelyons and will clog up the roads with cars and lorries. Submission highlights there was a car crash at the location recently.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mark & Therese Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP400966402
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement in the Fermoy MD. Submission wishes to lend support to this amendment by including the following points: - Coolagown is a thriving community with activity centred around the school, church, Grain store, and the community/tidy town activities. - Submitters have taken part in fund raising activities for the school while also supporting many other activities centred around the thriving school community. - Supported the tidy towns and the numerous community activities. - Village has its own group water scheme, bring bank, and a harvest water scheme. Submission argues for the continued stable development of Coolagown, amendment 3.1.23.1 needs to be supported so the village can continue to thrive and offer opportunities to families in the future.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Mary Cuddy
Ref. No.	PADP401668841
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin. Submission notes Corrin Mountain is a wonderful amenity where people walk and run. It argues an industrial park is not suitable for this area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Howard

Ref. No.	PADP401046664
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement and not downgrade it to a village nuclei.</p> <p>Submitter highlights that Coolagown has always been a village in the 60 years they have lived there and has continued to grow and improve in that time. Kilmagner School has been extended to cater for the growing population of the area. The submitter would like family members to build their own houses in Coolagown in the coming years. Coolagown reflects the changing face of rural Ireland in that the shop and pub have closed but there is some local employment and there are also amenity walks in the area. Submission wishes to highlight there is a much more vibrant community in Coolagown than ever before.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Mary O'Keefe
Ref. No.	PADP402006943
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin and amendment 3.1.4.15. Submission does not want to see Castlelyons flooded and suggests it would be better to put the factories in Fermoy.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Matt and Pauline Sheehan
Ref. No.	PADP401379258
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement and not downgrade to village nuclei. Submitters have lived in Coolagown all their life and are active members in the Tidy Towns and Development Group for over 30 years.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Matt Hegarty
Ref. No.	PADP401595630

Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement. Submission notes the astonishment to read in the local paper of the proposal to downgrade Coolagown to village nuclei. Submission notes the great pride in the village and mentions the achievements in tidy towns, Entente Florale and anti- litter competitions.</p> <p>The submission argues Coolagown is like a lot of rural villages that suffered from emigration and the demise of the small farmer in the last century meant there was a decrease in population. Over the last number of years, the community have improved the appearance of the village and prepared a 5 year plan. Submission notes the awards won at Tidy Towns and Anti- Litter competitions and lists the various services and facilities within the village. Submission requests for the sustainable development of the Coolagown, it is important to retain the Village status.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Maurice and Ena Costello
Ref. No.	PADP398696936
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission is fully in support of proposed amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement in the Fermoy MD. The submission mentions that there is a strong sense of community and pride in the village by the local people and mentions that the Coolagown Development Group are very enthusiastic and are in constant contact. The submission highlights the several awards Coolagown has received over recent years as a result of the hard working volunteers in the community and is therefore fully in support of this amendment.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Michael Barrett
Ref. No.	PADP401001042
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission fully supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to list Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP 2022-2028.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.

Interested Party	Monica, David, Una and Darragh O Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401599301
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village. Submission notes their family have grown up and attended school in Coolagown and are active community members throughout the years. Coolagown has grown and lead the way in many initiatives which have been awarded and held at high regard nationally. The submission highlights the Council have many times highlighted the successful initiatives implemented in Coolagown such as the group water scheme, bring bank and waste water recycling amongst others. It argues the success of the tidy towns is exemplary in all of Cork and at National Level. The submission quotes the 2003 plan “to recognise the essential role of rural village” and hopes this will be continued with Coolagown.</p> <p>Submission wishes to highlight the school is about to undergo a further extension and that Coolagown is a thriving community with a church, local stud farms and a grain store.</p> <p>Submission argues Coolagown is the only village being downgraded to village nuclei and is seeking this does not happen and that the village is given the recognition it deserves.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Mr. John O'Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP401248343
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.21.3
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Killavullen and proposed amendment 3.1.21.3. Submission highlights the site, currently in agricultural use, is located within the development boundary of the village of Killavullen in the draft CDP and the Fermoy MD LAP 2017. Submission includes details of the village and the planning history of the site under planning reference numbers 21/7020, 15/5822 and 09/7232. Submission contests this proposed amendment which is cited as being primarily as a result of ‘the issues raised by SFRA’ and requests the Planning Authority will have regard to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subject site is located within the village of Killavullen and nearby local services and amenities. • Aligns with national policy objectives in the NPF and Housing for All: A new Housing Plan for Ireland, 2021. • Residential development on these lands have already been accepted in principle, as demonstrated in the planning history. <p>Submission makes references to the SFRA in the draft CDP and notes in section 3.2.4, ‘that the NIFM mapping provides an indicative extent only and should be backed up by further verification if used for the purpose of land use zoning.’ It also highlights the SFRA states the origin of the data has been developed as a spatial planning to guide the Council and it is recognised that site specific information may contradict the Flood Zones. Submission includes a detailed flood risk assessment prepared by JBA in February 2022 to support the submission and notes the report</p>

	<p>concludes the risk of flooding does not extend to the full site. Submission includes a revised site layout which shows the development proposal under Planning Reference 21/7020 and states the proposal is in compliance with the core principles of the Planning System and Flood Risk Management Guidelines and has been subject to a commensurate assessment of risk.</p> <p>Submission notes this land have several sustainable development attributes that render them suitable for residential development, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Help meet housing need targets. • Proximity of the lands to the village and the identification of pedestrian and cycling connections. • Lands are in single ownership. • The lands are open and in agricultural use and their development can be achieved with minimal impact on the area’s natural heritage, biodiversity, or its landscape character. • Flood Risk matters have been assessed by the FRA which confirms that the development of the lands is in compliance with both the planning system and the Flood Risk Management Guidelines, 2009. • Have the benefit of an existing access onto the local road network. • Lands can be properly serviced, and infrastructure requirements have been identified and specified. • Irish Water have previously confirmed the feasibility of the proposed development submitted under 21/7020. • The development will not unduly affect other properties in the area. • There are no pollution or public safety concerns. <p>On this basis, the submission requests that the proposed amendment is not adopted by the Council in the CDP. Additionally, the submission requests that it is acknowledged that the development proposal being put forward will provide much needed housing within the development boundary of an existing urban settlement in accordance with national policy on the promotion of compact development. Submission argues the development should not be undermined by a proposed amendment that is based on ‘indicative’ suggestions by the updated SFRA.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The development of Flood Zones in Killavullen has followed the same approach as used throughout the county. This has delivered a Stage 2 SFRA, which is an appropriate level of detail for a county-wide plan and is in accordance with the Planning Guidelines. Whilst it is acknowledged in the SFRA that site specific studies at development management stage may produce flood extents which are larger or smaller than those used to derive the Flood Zones, it has not been the approach that a Stage 3 assessment has been carried out for specific sites in County Cork as part of the SFRA. On the basis of the Stage 2 assessment, the SFRA recommendation to rezone to a water compatible use stands.</p> <p>Regarding historic planning decisions, each planning application is determined on its own merits in accordance with adopted planning policy at the time of decision. This SFRA process has been carried out having regard to the Flood Guidelines and historic planning decisions do not alter the outcome in this instance.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.1.21.3 with no modification.
Interested Party	Neilus & Mary Murphy

Ref. No.	PADP401091802
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment NO. 3.1.23.1.
Submission Summary	Duplicate of submission PADP400962661
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Neilus & Mary Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP400962661
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment NO. 3.1.23.1.
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission fully supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to list Coolagown as a village settlement to allow future development and growth of the village in a sustainable way. Submission notes Coolagown is a prominent and vibrant village centrally located and proximate to Fermoy, the main road and motorway infrastructure. Submission highlights the sense of community and pride of place in the village are a matter of national and international record through accolades received from the National Tidy Towns and other awarding bodies.</p> <p>Submission argues Coolagown is perceived as an attractive rural village offering a great quality of life and lists the various facilities and services in the village. It also gives a detailed outline of the various projects that funding and support has been provided for by Cork County Council and other agencies as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A public water scheme, • Street lighting and footpaths, • Public realm and placemaking • Ducting to facilitate fibre optic broadband • An integrated traffic calming plan • Cycling & Walking routes and infrastructure • A life space park <p>Submission notes Coolagown is already recognised by directorates in the Council as a village and was nominated by the Local Authority to represent the county in a number of national and International competitions, winning some with pride such as the Tidy Towns, European Entente Florale competition and the Cork County Council Anti-Litter Challenge.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Nigel and Susan Dunne
Ref. No.	PADP401594644
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village settlement in the CDP 2022-2028. Submission notes the local primary school has

	<p>been approved for a major extension which is not surprising given the population has increased in the area. Submissions highlights great work has been done over the years in Coolagown with the installation of the Bring Banks as an example. Submission understands that Coolagown was listed as a village in the previous plan and is the only village proposed to be downgraded to village nuclei in forthcoming plan which is a major blow to the community. Submission argues for the continued sustainable development of Coolagown, the status of the village is imperative.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.</p>
Interested Party	<p>Olivia Stack</p>
Ref. No.	<p>PADP400538041</p>
Proposed Amendment No.	<p>3.1.23.1</p>
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement and not to downgrade it to village nuclei. The submitter highlights how long they have lived in Coolagown and also manage a stud farm on their family land. Submission notes the impressive community spirit and the many different projects that have been undertaken to make the village such an attractive place. Submission notes the many projects Coolagown Development Group have undertaken funded by the Council which include, the Bring Bank, cycle/walk routes, water pumps and pathways around Kilbarry wood. Submission highlights many people in other villages regularly use the Kilbarry woods and the village circuit for walking. The submission argues Coolagown has punched well above its weight in securing Council support and received gold medals in Tidy Towns Competitions while Kilmagner school has been extended with further plans afoot. Submission highlights that there has always been great community spirit in Coolagown and bearing all this in mind is highly surprised that there are plans to downgrade Coolagown. Submission highlights that recent funding in bringing better lighting and footpaths and that Coolagown has the potential for more housing to allow those in search of rural location near towns of Fermoy and Midleton to benefit from what has been mentioned in the submission. The submission states that Coolagown is a perfect gateway to East Cork.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.</p>
Interested Party	<p>Orla and Oisin Dolan</p>
Ref. No.	<p>PADP402184379</p>
Proposed Amendment No.	<p>3.1.23.1</p>
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village. Submission outlines the various ways the family have happily integrated into the vibrant local community. Submission notes Coolagown is a village to be proud of. It notes Coolagown is a multi-award winning village both nationally and in Europe. Submission highlights</p>

	the amazing local amenities, bike routes, walks, parks and wood and it lists the various events that gathers the lively community. Submission notes that each year a new project focused on the environment/climate/sustainable development is embraced by the community and the village is recognised as leading the way with rainwater harvesting, bee friendly planting, bird boxes etc. Submission argues it would be a tragedy if the village which is model to other would be downgraded and requests the village status is retained in the upcoming County Development Plan.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Patrick and Helen Bransfield
Ref. No.	PADP401597964
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports the elected members amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village. Submission notes Coolagown is a wonderful place to live with the school about to have a second major extension. Submission notes the village contains a church, agri/grain store, several stud farms, a group water scheme and a bring bank. It notes Coolagown is a vibrant community in a beautiful area and it would be a major blow to the community if it is downgraded to village nuclei.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Patrick Harty
Ref. No.	PADP399839489
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No: 3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission refers to Coolagown and amendment 3.1.23.1. The submitter objects to what is seen as the downgrading of Coolagown to a village. Submission highlights the huge community spirit and pride by the locals in their village which is evident in the many awards Coolagown has received and highlights the many facilities in the area, the growing community and ongoing investment in infrastructure - footpaths and a group water scheme. The submitter is of the view that if Coolagown was downgraded, it would have a devastating effect on the community spirit.
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Peter O'Neill
Ref. No.	PADP401674129

Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin and amendment 3.1.4.15. Submission argues this land should not be zoned in the development plan. It highlights there is zoned land vacant in Fermoy without ruining the beautiful valley.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ray and Lucy Kearns
Ref. No.	PADP402181968
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to reinstate Coolagown as a village and not downgrade to village nuclei. Submission wishes to highlight the length of time they have lived in the village. Submission queries why Coolagown is the only village proposed to be downgraded in the CDP 2022-2028. The submission notes the following reasons why the downgrade should not occur:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kilmagner national school is about to undergo a major building extension and it is vital it remains a viable source for education. • Notes what the village has is invaluable pertaining to future growth and whereby the village can, if given the change reach its full potential while developing proportionately. • Has a group water scheme. • Has the largest and most successful agri/grain store in Munster. • Contains stud farms and a poplar bottle bank. • Kilbarry woods in close proximity. • Located just off the N72 connecting to larger nearby settlements as well as Cork City. <p>Submission argues Coolagown village has great potential, a vibrant proud population having achieved many tidy town awards. Submission states for Coolagown to reach potential economically and socially as well as sustain future development, it cannot be downgraded.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Sheila O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401203255
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.23.1
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Coolagown. The submission supports amendment 3.1.23.1 to retain Coolagown as a village settlement Submitter highlights that their family have lived and worked in Coolagown for generations and notes the many successes of the village - great community spirit,

	<p>expansion of the school, success with Tidy Towns, expansion of facilities and amenities etc.</p> <p>Submission argues the status as a village is essential to the continued growth of the sustainable development of Coolagown.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See discussion and recommendations in relation to the Fermoy Municipal District in Volume One, Part One, Section 3.1 of this report dealing with Key Issues, Responses and Recommendations
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 3.1.23.1 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Tom Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401674033
Proposed Amendment No.	3.1.4.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Fermoy, particularly land to the south at Corrin and amendment 3.1.4.15. Submission argues the traffic is very bad in the area and highlights that there have been bad crashes with lorries turned over. Submission is of the view this area should not be built on as it is not suitable.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report. See also Section 3.1 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report for Fermoy MD Key issues.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

2 Kanturk Mallow MD

Interested Party	A. O'Donoghue
Ref. No.	PADP400509266
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Ballyhea National School
Ref. No.	PADP399145857
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to</p>

	<p>the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission states the amendment fails to address concerns raised regarding a development within close proximity to a school. An Bord Pleanala has already been made aware that an overbridge seriously impacts the school not only in terms of prospects, light, noise, but also in terms of access. The provision of car parking in front of the school has been represented as a positive development however the school has never requested such a development and has concerns regarding the maintenance of such a car park and potential for anti-social behaviour. There are already 5 car parks within the defined settlement of Ballyhea.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Bernadette Leahy
Ref. No.	PADP398693193
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that the proposed overbridge cuts across a hydrophilous tall herb habitat which is regarded as being of national importance and is in decline in Ireland. The CIE proposal to translocate a section of habitat transpires to be over ambitious and poorly researched and thought out. Submission suggests that if the project were not to progress it is unlikely there would be any change to the habitat and that this habitat is of a high conservation value.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Billy & Carol O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400299354
Proposed Amendment No.	n/a
Submission Summary	Submission supports the reduction of Residential Zoning in the Kennel Hill area to include the removal of MW-R-08 and reductions to MW-R-07 and MW-R-09 with the inclusion of "Residential Reserve" designation.

	It notes that the existing road network does not have sufficient capacity and it is questionable as to whether the existing water supply is adequate. Further increases in residential development would be considered premature pending capital road network upgrades in the Mallow area.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Caroline Mackessy
Ref. No.	PADP400522418
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Clodagh Bergin
Ref. No.	PADP401223378
Proposed Amendment No.	n/a
Submission Summary	Submission highlights the issues near Annabella, Mallow indicating that the road network is already experiencing difficulties and water supply at times experiences low pressure.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Cllr Ian Doyle
Ref. No.	PADP399750486
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.21
Submission Summary	The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing. It proposes that text of the U-01 should read: 'should be considered only as an alternative to level crossing replacement as other options remain workable'.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	David Garvey
Ref. No.	PADP401653188
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.13.1
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to proposed amendment no. 3.2.13.1 (Dromina) to delete zoning objective B-01 and retain lands within the development boundary.</p> <p>The submission considers that lands within their entire ownership are suitable for a mixed use development proposal and that their landholding (and not just the area proposed in the amendment) should be included within the village development boundary as it was originally in the 2005 local area plan. Submission also includes an indicative site layout plan for the lands showing a number of different types of zones including residential areas, a commercial area, a pumping station/attenuation tanks and an amenity area.</p> <p>The submission makes a number of points as to why the zoning of lands for this use would be appropriate including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal will not detract from the village. Land is well drained and will not flood. Land is not suitable for farming and is proximate to the church. • A mixed-use zoning on the lands in question will benefit the community and enhance village life. Lands can accommodate recreation and tourism in the mix of uses. • Location is central between Cork City and Limerick and will be more accessible when M20 is completed. Additional rail links are proposed in Charleville and the R578 provides easy access to east and west. • Site can provide for modern well insulated homes and a range of house types, social and affordable, self-build, etc. Government are in support of climate neutral houses. • Additional housing in Ireland is critical. Cost of rents are highlighted, mental health issues arising, etc. • Dromina Community Council want houses on this land and they support the proposal. • Proposals would be in line with Our Rural Future-Rural Development Policy 2021-2025 as promoted by a number of government ministers and the Taoiseach (supporting quotes attached to submission) • This type of mixed-use development could be a show case on how to develop rural communities. Proposed development will be sustainable, compact, beneficial and will not encroach on the existing historical village

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed development supports the retention of families in the village • Lands could also provide for employment uses and support small businesses and reduce current dependency on farming. As well as opportunities for medical clinics for health and wellbeing, etc. • Remote working is being promoted at a national level. • Development of the site could regenerate and repopulate the village. • Houses could access the public sewer via the sewage pump required on the land. • The proposals support the development and regeneration of the rural and promote a clean and healthy environment. • Proposals are progressive and forward looking and will be positive for Dromina. <p>Some points included on the history of zoning at this location:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land when purchased was all within the development boundary and was purchased with the intention of developing. It had permission in the past for 4 houses. In 2004, permission was sought for a sewage pump on the land which was not granted until 2008 by which time the economic crash occurred. • 10 acres of the land was rezoned for commercial use, car showrooms, etc. unknown to the landowner in 2008 and for an unknown reason. • Lands would have been better zoned as mixed use residential and light commercial. • Submission also includes a copy of a 2004 letter to the County Council regarding the preparation of the 2005 local area plans and a copy of a 2004 letter from Dromina Community Council indicating favourable consideration for their proposals. <p>The submission also makes a series of points not related to the proposed amendment including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning should not be seen to inhibit growth • Suggests that government policy may be to herd people into cities and that this is at odds with Our Rural Future policy and that politics is preventing houses being built on lands when there is plenty of land available. • A number of claims are made regarding cronyism, corruption, personal accusations, enforcement, etc. which the submission states they have documentary evidence available to show interested parties. • Submission also requests that the 15 page submission be printed and a copy given to each county councillor.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The submission proposes that lands within their entire ownership are suitable for a mixed use development proposal and that their landholding (and not just the area proposed in the amendment) should be included within the village development boundary.</p> <p>The proposal to include the entire landholding within the development boundary was considered at the Draft Plan stage and it was noted that it represented a considerable expansion of the development boundary (much outside the 50kmph speed limits) and peripheral to the core of the village to the north. It was also noted that there are already ample lands located within the development boundary on which a range of uses can be considered including commercial or amenity.</p> <p>Although Dromina is a key village in the settlement network there are capacity constraints in terms of water services and the scale of development boundary over the plan period is 10 units. It is proposed to retain the boundary for Dromina as currently set out and remove the business/commercial zoning to give consideration to a range of uses on the landholding as per proposed amendment no. 3.2.13.1.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.2.13.1 with no modification.
Interested Party	David Lott

Ref. No.	PADP400189706
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Denis Ring
Ref. No.	PADP400136890
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to</p>

	use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Proposes that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Eileen Mackessy
Ref. No.	PADP399134832
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Proposes that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Ger and Maura Meade
Ref. No.	PADP400832741
Proposed Amendment No.	n/a
Submission Summary	<p>Submission supports the reduction of Residential Zoning in the Kennel Hill area to include the removal of MW-R-08 and reductions to MW-R-07 and MW-R-09 with the inclusion of "Residential Reserve" designation.</p> <p>It notes that the existing road network does not have sufficient capacity and it is questionable as to whether the existing water supply is adequate. Further increases in residential development would be considered premature pending capital road network upgrades in the Mallow area.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Geraldine Egan
Ref. No.	PADP401077610
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>The submission is made on behalf of the Ballyhea Community Hall Committee and expresses disappointment that a large scale development is proposed for the County Development Pan without consultation with the committee or village residents.</p> <p>The submission considers a road overbridge would be detrimental to the working of the hall and to its role as a focal point for the community. It expresses concerns around accessibility particularly for older people and those who do not drive.</p> <p>It notes that a 2011 proposal for a bridge failed due to local opposition and that nothing has been done to take into account the objections. It is possible to replace the existing gates with new automatic gates worked by CCTV and other technology and this is the only sensible resolution of the railway crossing.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Geraldine McNamara
Ref. No.	PADP398691525
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site. 2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination. 3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site. 4. Submission suggests that safety statistics for the current level crossing are relatively good and that there have been no fatalities. It notes that there have been some issues in relation to livestock and CIE administrative issues. It suggests the official safety record at this location would not seem proportionate to or justify the scale of intervention required by an overbridge nor justify its social, environmental and ecological impact and that an intervention such as a four barrier CCTV gate would be more appropriate.

Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Hannah Desmond
Ref. No.	PADP400527062
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Hilton John Lowell
Ref. No.	PADP398695549
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p>

	<p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that there is a high level of local opposition for a variety of reasons to a similar project i.e. the Railway Order application with An Bord Pleanala and a public protest of over 100 signatories from Ballyhea was submitted to the Bord as an indicator of opposition. The local population has no objection to the replacement of the railway gate with an upgraded computerised gate.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	IRD Duhallow
Ref. No.	PADP401371156
Proposed Amendment No.	n/a
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to zonings as proposed in the Draft County Development Plan 2021. It requests that lands to the north (zoned NK-AG-01 in the Draft – NK-R-06 in 2017 LAP) and south (zoned Greenbelt in the Draft – NK-R-05) of the James O Keefe foundation community zoning (NK-C-01) also be zoned ‘community’ to allow for the expansion of facilities and community services in the region.</p> <p>The submission is concerned to see that the development boundary has been revised to exclude lands within the ownership of the James O Keefe memorial foundation which were previously zoned for housing. They consider this hampers the possibility for future growth of their facilities and community services.</p> <p>Submission states that page 170 Economy and Employment does not acknowledge the significant employment that IRD Duhallow provides in the town. IRD Duhallow have taken note of the section in the plan relating to Newmarket requiring an agreed master plan and conservation plan and have engaged the services of an architect to assist in drawing up this plan which is currently in progress.</p> <p>The zoning designation totally hampers the development potential of IRD Duhallow to serve the greater Duhallow region. Their presence, reach and range of activities must be allowed to develop in scale to adequately service that wide rural region, not be limited to the scale of the town in which we are based.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	James O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP394774177
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.1
Submission Summary	Submission highlights some grammatical errors in the text of the proposed amendments and indicates that the text would benefit from revision by a proof reader.
Chief Executive's Response	Any grammatical issues can be picked up as part of the final proofing/graphic design of the plan post adoption.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	James O'Keeffe Memorial Foundation
Ref. No.	PADP401196482
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to zonings as proposed in the Draft County Development Plan 2021. It requests that lands to the north (zoned NK-AG-01 in the Draft – NK-R-06 in 2017 LAP) and south (zoned Greenbelt in the Draft – NK-R-05) of the James O Keefe foundation community zoning (NK-C-01) also be zoned 'community' to allow for the expansion of facilities and community services in the region.</p> <p>The submission is concerned to see that the development boundary has been revised to exclude lands within their ownership which were previously zoned for housing. They consider this hampers the possibility for future growth of their facilities and community services.</p> <p>The lands in question have been identified to provide for expansion of the St Joseph's Foundation residential facility, the provision of sheltered housing, storage of the machines and equipment.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Jenny Whitehead, Kay Whitehead, Anthony McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400185570
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site. 2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination. 3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site. 4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and

	other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Jerome O'Keeffe
Ref. No.	PADP400153995
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	John and Breeda Crowley
Ref. No.	PADP399132737
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the</p>

	<p>decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that the 'U-01 Road overbridge' proposed represents a significant departure from the 2017 LAP which refers to a possible road realignment without any specification as to whether it would be overbridge, underbridge or some other alternative. As the overbridge solution was excluded in 2011 and alternative solutions sought it does not seem appropriate to now propose an overbridge in the absence of consultation, surveys, screening and in the absence of an environmental impact assessment. It also considers this could be prejudicial to the process being conducted by An Bord Pleanala.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	John and Eamon Dundon
Ref. No.	PADP400188280
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	John Cooke
Ref. No.	PADP401222967

Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>Submission suggests that this proposal is being made without any reference to the local community and is being proposed without the PPU having conducted a specific Environmental Impact Assessment Report of its own nor any other recent study or survey.</p> <p>Submission suggests that the PPU is relying mainly on surveys and reports conducted by CIE in connection with the Dublin to Cork rail line upgrade. This material is being considered by An Bord Pleanala (ABP) at the moment and they are yet to make a determination. ABP has written to those who made submissions to say that issues had arisen in relation to aspects of the application made by CIE.</p> <p>The PPN regards it as inopportune for the PPU to rely on this material to support the amendment proposed for Ballyhea and would be better proposing the amendment after the determination rather than before. Acting in advance of ABP decision could open the way for a review process.</p> <p>Submission proposes keeping the text and options for Ballyhea Village already in the 2017 Local Area Plan. This has the advantage of keeping the flyover option without excluding other possible options should they need to be considered again.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	John Moylan
Ref. No.	PADP400266125
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No 3.2.5.8 and Amendment No 3.2.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9 in Kanturk to replace a zoning (residential) with a combination of residential and residential (additional provision) zonings.</p> <p>The submission objects to the proposed re-zoning of their lands stating that these lands have been in the family for over 35 years and are being actively used by the family for agricultural purposes. The submission proposes that the lands revert to agricultural zoning prior to the adoption of the plan and questions why they were not notified of the rezoning of these lands.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the changes set out in Proposed Amendments No 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9 in Kanturk and requests that the lands revert to agricultural zoning.</p> <p>The current Kanturk Mallow Local Area Plan 2017 zones these lands for residential use (partly KK-R-04 and KK-R-05 zoning) and the lands have been zoned residential over a number of plan periods. The Draft Cork County Development Plan 2021 and proposed amendments carry forward this zoning and continue to identify these lands as being appropriate for residential development. They are located within walking distance of the town centre and other services and proximate to existing residential areas and as such it is appropriate that they be considered for residential use.</p>

	It should also be noted that the amendments as proposed do not provide scope for the change of land use zoning from residential to agriculture at this stage of the plan making process.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment No 3.2.5.8 and Amendment No 3.2.5.9 with No Modification.
Interested Party	John Moylan
Ref. No.	PADP401362225
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9 in Kanturk to replace a zoning (residential) with a combination of residential and residential (additional provision) zonings.</p> <p>This submission outlines that the family farming these lands have only recently become aware of the zoning of these lands for residential purposes. It states that they wish to strongly object to the zoning, that they have farmed these lands for over 35 years and that they would like wish to revert to agricultural zoning.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the changes set out in Proposed Amendments No 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9 in Kanturk and requests that the lands revert to agricultural zoning.</p> <p>The current Kanturk Mallow Local Area Plan 2017 zones these lands for residential use (partly KK-R-04 and KK-R-05 zoning) and the lands have been zoned residential over a number of plan periods. The Draft Cork County Development Plan 2021 and proposed amendments carry forward this zoning and continue to identify these lands as being appropriate for residential development. They are located within walking distance of the town centre and other services and proximate to existing residential areas and as such it is appropriate that they be considered for residential use.</p> <p>It should also be noted that the amendments as proposed do not provide scope for the change of land use zoning from residential to agriculture at this stage of the plan making process.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.2.5.8 and Amendment No 3.2.5.9 with No Modification.
Interested Party	M. O'Donoghue
Ref. No.	PADP400449961
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to</p>

	<p>the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	M. O'Donoghue
Ref. No.	PADP400452286
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Máire Moylan
Ref. No.	PADP400576297

Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 3.2.5.8 and Amendment 3.2.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9 in Kanturk to replace a zoning (residential) with a combination of residential and residential (additional provision) zonings.</p> <p>The submission objects to the proposed re-zoning of the family farm which has been used for agricultural purposes for over 35 years. The family wish to continue farming and propose that the lands revert to agricultural zoning.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the changes set out in Proposed Amendments No 3.2.5.8 and 3.2.5.9 in Kanturk and requests that the lands revert to agricultural zoning.</p> <p>The current Kanturk Mallow Local Area Plan 2017 zones these lands for residential use (partly KK-R-04 and KK-R-05 zoning) and the lands have been zoned residential over a number of plan periods. The Draft Cork County Development Plan 2021 and proposed amendments carry forward this zoning and continue to identify these lands as being appropriate for residential development. They are located within walking distance of the town centre and other services and proximate to existing residential areas and as such it is appropriate that they be considered for residential use.</p> <p>It should also be noted that the amendments as proposed do not provide scope for the change of land use zoning from residential to agriculture at this stage of the plan making process.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.2.5.8 and Amendment No 3.2.5.9 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Margaret Hanley
Ref. No.	PADP400192074
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and</p>

	other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Margaret McNamara - Sihra
Ref. No.	PADP400151243
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site. 2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination. 3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Maria McInerney
Ref. No.	PADP400127979
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.29.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site. 2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination. 3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site. 4. Submission states that the overbridge proposal remains disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the housing from the rest of the village. The amendment is likely to be at variance with directives on accessibility and connectivity for older persons or those of reduced mobility especially on the bridges during the winter months (National Disability Inclusion Strategy). Propose that

	greater attention should be given to upgrading the level crossing with CCTV gates or to an underpass and that this amendment is withdrawn.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Martin O'Gorman
Ref. No.	PADP401116204
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	Submission supports the reduction of Residential Zoning in the Kennel Hill area to include the removal of MW-R-08 and reductions to MW-R-07 and MW-R-09 with the inclusion of "Residential Reserve" designation. It notes that the existing road network does not have sufficient capacity and it is questionable as to whether the existing water supply is adequate. Further increases in residential development would be considered premature pending capital road network upgrades in the Mallow area.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Maurice O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP398688094
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing. 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site. 2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination. 3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site. 4. Submission expresses disappointment that the project has been included in the plan without any consultation with the local residents or the Age Action Group and consideration for the impact on their lives and their specific mobility needs. Submission suggests that the local Age Action Group would have no objection to a new upgraded level crossing and gate run by electronic means and requests that the planning authority examine this alternative solution which is less intrusive on the environment, the connectivity of the village, less expensive and easier for older people to live with.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	McCutcheon Halley
Ref. No.	PADP401448133
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>It proposes that the Proposed Amendment should be deleted for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Proposed Amendment has failed to provide adequate reasons and considerations for the insertion of this contentious objective at this late stage of the review of the County Plan. 2. The layout of the overbridge shown in the Proposed Amendment understates the potential impact as it is smaller than the layout of the overbridge which is currently being considered by An Bord Pleanala. 3. The Proposed Amendment does not include any reference to the environmental and ecological impacts of the proposed overbridge even though Environmental Impact and Appropriate Assessment was required for the current application for the railway order. <p>Other points raised include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed Railway Order Project affects the entire frontage of the Parochial house and part of the Church and is not in line with the objective. This would have a major increase in the impact on the built heritage of church and parochial house. • The current LAP proposal is clearly a roads project. There is no justification for the scheme on grounds of rail safety and there is no indication as to whether the proposed road would cross the railway by an overbridge, underbridge or a four gate CCTV level crossing. • It is not appropriate to use a roads objective, which was adopted to support the S.179/Part 8 to justify an application for a railway order for a previously rejected layout and design. • There is a lack of clarity regarding the rationale for the proposed amendment as it appears to be an attempt to address the fact that the current application for the railway order contravenes the 2017 LAP. • The objective U-01: Road Overbridge is a significant change from the current LAP where the objective was referred to as a Road Realignment. The proposed amendment is likely to have a much greater adverse impact on the village and fewer mitigating measures. • There is no adequate explanation in the CE report as to why the objective for the road overbridge was omitted from the Draft County Plan and why it is considered appropriate to include it now.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	McCutcheon Halley
Ref. No.	PADP401413515
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.3.17

<p>Submission Summary</p>	<p>This submission relates specifically to Proposed Amendment 3.2.3.17 at Quarters town, Mallow to change part of the Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and other uses zoning and replace zoning with extension to MW-GR-04.</p> <p>Submission states that having reviewed the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment they can find no specific reference to this site or to the wider Quarters town area which would justify the removal of a long-established residential zoning objective from this site.</p> <p>The zoning history of the site demonstrates that the original boundaries of the residential zone were amended to remove any areas where there was a risk of flooding. It would appear that the data on which the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment was based may not have been detailed enough to pick up the topographical features which ensure that the site does not have a significant risk of flooding. These features include the works carried out by the OPW in the provision of an overflow channel and fish pass along the eastern boundary of the site. These allow water, which might otherwise pond on the site, to drain away to the north east.</p> <p>It is requested that the Planning Authority modify the proposed amendment as follows: (a) to retain the current zoning for Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses and (b) to include an asterisk * on the zoning map referencing flood risk and the need to refer to objectives in Volume One, Chapter 11 Water Management.</p> <p>Other points raised include:</p> <p>The zoning objectives should have regard to the fact that any future development on these lands will be the subject of a more detail site-specific flood risk assessment at the planning application stage.</p> <p>A justification test is appropriate and it would allow the residential zoning to be retained on the site for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mallow has been designated as a key Town in the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) • The zoning is required to achieve the proper planning and sustainable development of the area; and • The 2010 Mallow South & West Flood Defence Scheme and the 2014 South Western CFRAM Study have demonstrated that the main part of the site would not be affected site by a 1 in 100 year flood.
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The development of Flood Zones in Mallow has followed the same approach as used throughout the county. This has delivered a Stage 2 SFRA, which is an appropriate level of detail for a county-wide plan and is in accordance with the Planning Guidelines. Whilst it is acknowledged in the SFRA that site specific studies at development management stage may produce flood extents which are larger or smaller than those used to derive the Flood Zones, it has not been the approach that a Stage 3 assessment has been carried out for specific sites in County Cork as part of the SFRA.</p> <p>The site is located within Flood Zone B as indicated by the updated flood zone maps developed as part of the SFRA process and using the best available data. The presence of a completed flood relief scheme in Mallow does not alter the flood zones because the Flood Guidelines state clearly that the presence of flood protection structures should be ignored in determining flood zones. This is because areas protected by flood defences still carry a residual risk of flooding from overtopping or breach of defences and the fact that there may be no guarantee that the defence will be maintained in perpetuity. Furthermore, Flood Defences are designed to protect existing properties and it is not intended that they facilitate new development in areas outside the core of the settlement where Part 1 and/or 2 of the Justification Test have been failed.</p>

	<p>For completeness, a Justification Test has been undertaken and failed. Details of the Justification Test will be available in an update to the SFRA. The subject site falls within Flood Zone B and is therefore unsuitable for highly vulnerable uses. Zoning for water compatible uses is therefore the recommended approach.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.2.3.17 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Melissa Sihra, Dr.
Ref. No.	PADP400144431
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Michael and Ellen Capps
Ref. No.	PADP400997067
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and</p>

	inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Michael Butler
Ref. No.	PADP400180586
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site. 2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination. 3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site. 4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Michael McDermot
Ref. No.	PADP400521436
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be

	<p>considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission objects to the amendment due to interference with the graveyard and possible negative impacts on the church itself and consider that sufficient evidence exists that upgrading and modernising the existing level crossing is possible.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Michael O'Kelly
Ref. No.	PADP400513040
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that the 2010 part 8 application drew comments from a number of organisations including the Department of Tourism which raised valid concerns culminating in the overbridge solution being abandoned as an engineer centric approach failing to place visual, aesthetic and social requirements to the forefront. The solution constituted an unacceptable intrusion into the landscape with negative consequences on the population and its mobility and interaction. No evidence existed then and now for a multi criteria analysis (MCA) in accordance with common guidelines and the PPU has indicated no objective reason for the overbridge as a preferred solution. It would not seem appropriate to continue with the proposed amendment as the sole design solution as the 2017 LAP did not explicitly mention an overbridge and allowing for the possibility of an upgraded CCTV four barrier automated gate would seem more appropriate and proportionate.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Mrs Mortell
Ref. No.	PADP400509980

Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission/objection relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options needs to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site.</p> <p>2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. Numerous submissions to ABP indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination.</p> <p>3. Submission also indicates that the base mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.</p> <p>4. Submission notes that they reside in the immediate vicinity of the proposed overbridge and will be affected by it and that the disadvantages of the scheme were outlined in 2010/2011. It highlights that the project is disproportionate and inappropriate and would cause fragmentation and disjoin the main housing development from the village and make access and communication more difficult. The proposed pedestrian bridges would be dangerous to use for older people during the winter months. There is an issue with potential for devaluation of properties due to boxing of the proposed development and the problems of noise, light, pollution and other conveniences. Propose that greater attention be given to upgrading the level crossing to CCTV gates or to an underpass.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Oakfield Resources Ltd
Ref. No.	PADP401192212
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to existing residentially zoned lands i.e. the MW-R-07 in the Kanturk Mallow LAP and the UR-5 of the Mallow Town Development Plan. A submission regarding these lands to the Draft Plan sought to change part of the residential reserve in the Draft Plan to residential and increase the size of the residential reserve. This is included as Appendix A of this submission. It is requested that the assessment of the previous request is re-evaluated.</p> <p>Request 1 - Partially Maintain Residential Zoning</p> <p>Submission states that providing new residential zoning at the site is consistent with the proper and sustainable planning of Mallow because the site is deliverable and serviceable within the Plan period and will contribute positively to the compact development of the town. A number of positive aspects of the site are highlighted in the submission.</p> <p>The site is available for development with no notable constraint, it is serviceable, a planning application is being lodged, it is contiguous with existing development, sustainably located close to the train station and town centre, and capable of providing an attractive design and community benefits for the wider population.</p> <p>Request 2: Expansion of Residential Reserve</p>

	<p>The expansion of the residential reserve is also requested. Submission states that this site can deliver a road connection between the N72 and Kennel Hill Road which will positively impact traffic flow in the area. The site is serviceable with upgrades. A sketch (indicative) masterplan has been prepared showing how the site could be developed in the future and could accommodate further development, including further variety in housing, a nursing home and public open spaces. The site is capable of delivering significant community benefits in a sustainable location in the future. It is located close to the train station and town centre.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment.</p> <p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Peter and Hilary O'Meara
Ref. No.	PADP401291201
Proposed Amendment No.	1.2.13; 3.2.2.1; 3.2.3.1, 3.2.3.18 and 3.2.319
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the lands currently zoned MW-R-01 in the 2017 Kanturk Mallow Local Area Plan and which have been included in the Draft Plan as MW-GB1 (Greenbelt). The submission outlines why a residential zoning is appropriate on these lands and questions the decision making process relating to the determination of

zoning on these lands.

The submission considers that the integrity of the development plan review process has been undermined by the fact that, in preparing the draft and amended draft of the County Plan, the Chief Executive reduced the Core Strategy targets below the level which would be deemed to be appropriate by the Planning Authority under Section 10(2) of the Planning Act and that this was done in order to comply with ultra vires requirements of the Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR).

The adverse effect of the inadequate Core Strategy targets has been exacerbated by the fact that the distribution of the Core Strategy targets within the settlement hierarchy failed to take due account of the need to provide for significant growth in Key Towns which are served by the Cork Suburban Rail Service.

In the case of Mallow, the Draft County Plan and the Proposed Amendments failed to have due regard to submissions seeking retention of zoning on land which had previously been zoned for residential development. Furthermore, the omission of the revisions to tables 3.2.3 and 3.2.4; paragraphs 2.3.6, 2.3.7. and 2.3.8 and objective MW-GO-01 from the Proposed Amendment makes it impossible to determine whether the Core Strategy targets can be achieved within the period of the next County Plan.

Additional observations made in the submission include:

There was no proper consideration given to the detailed submission made in response to the Draft and that adequate reasons were not given. There is a legal obligation on the Council to give adequate and credible reasons and considerations for removing zoning from land within the catchment of the town centre and the rail station. A lack of consistency is evident as lands over 2.5km from the rail station are extensively zoned. Proper sequential testing in the selection of lands was not applied.

Lands close to the rail station which will be directly served by the proposed relief road (3.2.3.8) and active travel corridor (3.2.3.9) are not even included in the long-term residential reserve. No reassessment has taken place following the determination of the relief road route.

There was a failure to zone additional land to compensate for the rezoning of some residential lands for commercial use and the restrictions imposed on other residential zones by the updated Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.

The decision of the High Court in *Cork County Council v the Minister for Housing Local Government and Heritage* [2021] means there is no legal basis for a planning authority to base a decision made under Section 10(2) with regard to the extent of residential zones on the opinion of the Planning Regulator where this opinion conflicts with the views of the Members and relies on misrepresentation of advisory targets and guidelines as mandatory legal requirements.

The fact that Mallow is designated as a Key town with direct access to the suburban rail service indicates that the OPR did not intend that Mallow would be subject to drastic cuts in the population and housing targets or that lands, which had previously been zoned for residential development within walking distance of the town centre and the rail station would be sterilised. The transfer to Mallow of some of the Core Strategy targets of settlements which have no comparable strategic role could have been a solution in the proposed amendments.

There is an onus on the Council to justify the proposed dezoning on the basis of natural justice, fair procedure and compliance with Section 12 of the Planning Act and to clarify

	<p>whether:</p> <p>(1) The target for Mallow in the Core Strategy of the draft and amended draft of the County Plan was primarily dictated by the ultra vires submissions by the OPR;</p> <p>(2) There will be sufficient zoned serviced and available development land to ensure that the Core Strategy target for Mallow will definitely be delivered within the Plan period;</p> <p>(3) The criteria used for the selection of land for zoning or de-zoning were consistently applied and were based on a sequential analysis of connectivity to the town centre and the rail stations and the landowner's capacity to deliver the estimated number of units within the Plan period.</p> <p>Submission suggests they may be obliged to apply to the High Court for a judicial review of the process.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Reside Capital Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP401424708
Proposed Amendment No.	1.2.13 and 3.2.2.1

<p>Submission Summary</p>	<p>This submission relates to proposed amendment 1.2.13 and 3.2.2.1.</p> <p>The submission questions the rezoning of their lands at Annabella Mallow from 'residential' to 'residential reserve' as included in the Draft County Development Plan.</p> <p>The main points of this submission are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are not legally binding on the Members; • By acceding to the OPR's demands to rezone/dezone land that the Planning Authority had recently determined to be suitable for development, the planning authority could be considered to contravene their obligations under Section 10(2) of the Planning Act; • The proposed amendment has failed to follow the advice of the OPR and the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) to allocate a sufficiently high growth targets should be assigned to the rail corridor and Key Settlement of Mallow which is well connected to the rail service. • Until recently, their client was unable to progress with the planning and development of zoned residential land due to wastewater infrastructure constraints. As these constraints are being addressed it is illogical that lands which are now fully serviced, are being rezoned 'Residential Reserve'. <p>It is submitted that the Planning Authority should reconsider the growth allocation for Mallow and also reconsider the extent of rezoning residential land (which is now fully serviced) to 'Residential Reserve' or include such further provisions which allow lands that are identified as 'Residential Reserve' but are fully serviced, are capable of being brought forward for development during the life of the plan.</p> <p>Additional points made in relation to amendment 1.2.13 and 3.2.2.1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Chief Executive's implicit assumption that recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are legally binding on the Members of a Planning Authority is inconsistent with the decision of Humphreys J. in <i>Cork County Council v the Minister for Housing Local Government and Heritage</i> [2021] IEHC 683 [2021 No. 189 JR]. • Cork County Council appears to have uncritically accepted the position adopted by the OPR in relation to the rezoning/dezoning of certain lands and this in turn has resulted in significant and unnecessary rezoning/dezoning of lands. • Proposed Amendment No. 3.2.2.1 is a consequential amendment arising from the Council's inclusion of revised Core Strategy and proposes a significant reduction in scale of development for Mallow reducing the projected number of units from 1,428 to 1,105 or almost 25% of the allocation included in the draft CDP.
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the</p>

	<p>county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.

Interested Party	Sirio Investment Management
Ref. No.	PADP400147309
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.3.15 and 3.2.3.23
Submission Summary	<p>This submission welcomes and supports proposed amendments No. 3.2.3.15 and No. 3.2.3.23 to change the Existing Residential / Mixed Residential and Other Zoning to Existing Mixed General Business/Industrial Uses in Mallow.</p> <p>It notes that there is a live planning application on the lands for a proposed service station. The submission includes a Site-Specific Flood Risk Assessment the findings of which it concludes supersede those from the OPW's CFRAM mapping.</p> <p>The submission states that a Justification Test has been applied and passed with the design condition providing a significant reduction in flooding to the N20. A series of flood mitigation measures are proposed as part of the design of the service station. It considers that this reduction in risk to the N20 is a key factor that demonstrates compliance with the Planning System and Flood Risk Management Guidelines and that the findings demonstrate that the lands are suitable in principle for development and it is appropriate that they be zoned Existing Mixed General Business/Industrial Uses.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	This submission welcomes and supports proposed amendments No. 3.2.3.15 and No. 3.2.3.23 to change the Existing Residential / Mixed Residential and Other Zoning to Existing Mixed General Business/Industrial Uses in Mallow.

	See Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 and Response to Key Issue Kanturk Mallow in Section of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 3.2.3.15 with No Modification. Proposed Amendment 3.2.3.23 - See Recommendation on Key Issue on Water Management and Key Issues for Kanturk Mallow MD in Section 3 of Volume One Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ted Geary
Ref. No.	PADP401130316
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	Submission supports the reduction of Residential Zoning in the Kennel Hill area to include the removal of MW-R-08 and reductions to MW-R-07 and MW-R-09 with the inclusion of "Residential Reserve" designation. It notes that the existing road network does not have sufficient capacity and it is questionable as to whether the existing water supply is adequate. Further increases in residential development would be considered premature pending capital road network upgrades in the Mallow area.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	The Right Reverend Monsignor James O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP398011676
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing. 1. Submission states that a similar part 8 project was submitted in 2011 and was abandoned on the basis that further assessment of alternative options need to be considered. It states that a re-proposal of this project or similar would be inconsistent with the planning history of the site. 2. Submission notes that an application has been made to An Bord Pleanala by CIE for a Railway Order. The numerous submissions indicate that the concerns of local residents from 2011 have not been met and adopting the amendment prior to the decision of An Bord Pleanala may be seen as prejudicial to the planning process and to the Bord's eventual determination. 3. Submission also indicates that the mapping used for the proposed amendment is out of date and does not represent the current use and planning history of the site.

	Copies received from Cork County Council Roads' Department concerning the Part 8 Application of 2011 including a copy of the letter issued to Iarnród Éireann are also included in the submission.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	The Trustees of Diocese of Cloyne
Ref. No.	PADP400119326
Proposed Amendment No.	3.2.19.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment No 3.2.19.2 in Ballyhea to include U-01 objective and map for a proposed overbridge at the level crossing.</p> <p>Submission states that the Trustees of the Diocese of Cloyne, as landowners of the national school are of the view that the limitation to a possible road overbridge is potentially prejudicial to the land use of the school and likely to have negative impacts on the current occupiers and their functioning and activities as well as their long term viability i.e. the school, creche and community hall.</p> <p>Submission also notes that as landowners of St Mary's Church and of the parochial house the Trustees are of the view that limitation of development to a possible road overbridge only would have negative impacts on the graveyard and also would be potentially injurious to the structure and foundations of the church, as well as increasing noise levels thereby disturbing divine worship. In addition, both properties are listed in the architectural survey of Ireland as significant heritage structures. The proposed development would impact negatively on them. These issues have already been highlighted to An Bord Pleanála in a submission.</p> <p>Submission suggests abandoning the proposed amendment or else retaining the status quo as outlined by map and text in the 2017 LAP as it allows for plurality of possible development solutions at this location proportionate to the size of Ballyhea and the sensitive high landscape value of the area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One :Section 3.3 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the Kanturk Mallow MD.
Interested Party	Tina and Jim Moloney
Ref. No.	PADP401613089

Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	<p>Submission supports the reduction of Residential Zoning in the Kennel Hill area to include the removal of MW-R-08 and reductions to MW-R-07 and MW-R-09 with the inclusion of "Residential Reserve" designation.</p> <p>It notes that the existing road network does not have sufficient capacity and details reasons for this. In addition it is questionable as to whether the existing water supply is adequate. Further increases in residential development would be considered premature pending capital road network upgrades in the Mallow area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.

Volume Four

3 Carrigaline MD

Interested Party	Ballygarvan History Society and Owenabue Men's Shed
Ref. No.	PADP400578517
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.8.1
Submission Summary	This submission is in relation to Ballygarvan and requests to retain the bypass (proposed to be removed in Proposed Amendment 4.1.8.1), in an effort to create a sustainable village centre, remove increasing traffic from the R613, increase safety and allow residents to cross safely from the east side of the village.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.1.8.1 and requests that the bypass U-02 be retained.</p> <p>The objective U-02 in Ballygarvan has no proposals or funding to be delivered within the lifetime of the plan, therefore will not be retained within the development plan. The traffic volume travelling through the village is not high in comparison with other regional roads and villages around the county and it is not feasible, reasonable or sustainable to bypass all towns and villages in positions similar to Ballygarvan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.8.1 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Billy Bolster
Ref. No.	PADP401389062
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Carrigaline and issues support for the proposed Fernhill Urban Expansion Special Policy Area stating it will be beneficial for the area and will provide employment for Carrigaline. It notes that Rock Road and Fernhill Road need to be widened and made safer for pedestrians and cyclists with improved lighting.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26.</p> <p>The other issues raised in this submission relating to road infrastructure are operational and are beyond the remit of the development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Brendan Mullins, Margaret Mullins and wider Mullins Family, Martin Rouse
Ref. No.	PADP401322215
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A

Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Crosshaven and Bays in Volume 4, and Chapters 14 and 18 in Volume 1 and requests the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To reconsider the use of the zoning Green Infrastructure/Green Conservation as it states it will be conflicting with European based environmental legislation. • To delete references to Green Infrastructure to eliminate the confusion/misrepresentation that this terminology will cause. • It notes CS-GC-08 and CS-GC-09 cannot be delivered as the sites are inaccessible and views from the Coast Road are already blocked or restricted in places. • To delete Green Infrastructure designations CS-GC-08 and CS-GC-09 and re-zone them as a New Transitional Zone zoning between land and sea to protect the ecologically sensitive foreshore area in accordance with Objective ZU 18-5. • To protect the foreshore and adjacent land areas in order to protect the amenity of the foreshore for the community. • To allow Scenic Route objectives to act to protect the seaward views from the Scenic Route S59 without the additional zoning such as Green Infrastructure, stating this will lead to confusion. Maps and images were used to illustrate the submission.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.</p> <p>The Green Infrastructure zonings in the Draft Plan are not equivalent to Natura 2000/Habitats Directive designations and do not confer a European designation on the zoned site. It should be noted that this is not a first step in conferring such a designation.</p> <p>Draft Plan Chapter 18 Land Use and Zoning sets out the objectives for Green Infrastructure zonings and the three subcategories of green infrastructure. Paragraph 18.3.26 outlines that in some instances these open spaces are located within or adjacent to Natura 2000 sites. This clearly highlights a distinction in the designation but acknowledges the role that zoning for green infrastructure might have in protecting these sites.</p> <p>Chapter 14 Green Infrastructure and Recreation provides a comprehensive rationale for the approach to Green Infrastructure provision and enhancement, including the benefits accrued from green infrastructure. It is not disputed that Natura 2000 sites form part of the green infrastructure of the County, but a green infrastructure zoning is not a quasi Natura designation of any sort.</p> <p>Chapter 15 Biodiversity and Environment Section 15.3 explains the legislative context for protecting sites, habitats and species vis-à-vis the various European Directives.</p> <p>Cork County Council do not have the power to designate these lands under the Habitats or Birds Directive, nor was that ever the intention.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>No Further Action Required.</p>
Interested Party	<p>Daniel and Mary Dineen</p>
Ref. No.	<p>PADP401600870</p>
Proposed Amendment No.	<p>4.1.4.4</p>
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Passage West/Glenbrook/Monkstown and Proposed Amendment 4.1.4.4 and questions the zoning of this land for residential use stating the infrastructure is inadequate to accommodate this. The submission details the road network</p>

	in the area, such as issues with Fairy Hill, Carrigmahon Hill and Scotsman's Road, providing images and maps to illustrate these issues. The submission welcomes the proposed zoning of PW-R-06 and PW-R-07 as Metropolitan Greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.1.4.4 due to issues with the road infrastructure in the area.</p> <p>The issues raised in this submission relating to road infrastructure are operational and are beyond the remit of the development plan. PW-RAP-03 has been zoned to meet Core Strategy population and housing targets for the settlement, therefore will be retained as part of this Plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.4.4 with No Modification.
Interested Party	David Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401133624
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.3.20
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Carrigaline and Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26. It states the plans are unclear regarding the re-named "Fernhill Urban Expansion Special Policy Area CL-X-01" and questions what is different to what was previously proposed. It refers to their previous submissions submitted to the Draft Plan and the Carrigaline Transportation and Public Realm Enhancement Plan (TPREP).</p> <p>The submission welcomes the recreational and amenity uses proposed in the zoning. It notes whilst the local residents would welcome the preparation of a Masterplan for the area, they would like to be consulted, as one of the most important local stakeholders and encourage more forms of public consultations. It requests that when a draft masterplan is prepared that the local stakeholders and residents would be able to make submissions following their review of the draft masterplan, before the finalisation of the plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.20 and requests for local stakeholders to be consulted in the development of the masterplan.</p> <p>The matters raised by the submission are noted. In the preparation of the framework masterplan it is intended to consult with the relevant stakeholders.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Fernhill Lodge
Ref. No.	PADP401227539
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS NOS. 4.1.3.10, 4.1.3.20, AND 4.1.3.26
Submission Summary	This submission is in relation to Carrigaline and issues support for Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.10, 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26. The submission requests Cork County Council lead on the preparation of the Framework Plan in conjunction with landowners, to ensure that the Plan is prepared, that the required strategic inputs are achieved from key stakeholders, that the plan is aligned with the implementation of the Carrigaline Transportation and Public Realm Enhancement Plan (TPREP), and that the plan is delivered promptly. It further requests the Council commits to the preparation of the Framework Plan within 12 months of the adoption of the Cork County Development Plan 2022-2028.

Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.10, 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 and requests consultation with landowners and key stakeholders, that the plan is aligned with TPREP, and requests the council prepare the masterplan within 12 months of the adoption of the plan.</p> <p>In the preparation of the framework masterplan it is intended to consult with all stakeholders, including the NTA, TII, and others.</p> <p>The matters raised by the submission are noted. The plan acknowledges the function the Fernhill Urban Expansion Special Policy Area would play in the settlements of Carrigaline and its hinterland if developed. It is intended to have a framework masterplan prepared prior to the completion of the M28.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.21 and the section Carrigaline Transportation and Public Realm Enhancement Plan (TPREP) in the Draft Plan outlines how the development plan aligns with the Carrigaline TPREP.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.10, 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Michelle Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401427156
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Carrigaline and supports the proposed Fernhill Urban Expansion Special Policy Area and states it will generate much needed housing and employment opportunities. It states it is hoped that by providing more housing it will help lower the housing prices to allow people to afford to buy their own home.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26. The matters raised by the submission are noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Finbar Whyte and Helen Drislane
Ref. No.	PADP401365134
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.3.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Carrigaline and supports Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.2 in referencing the submitters previous submission to the Draft Plan and requests the Proposed Amendment be adopted into the Development Plan.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.2 and requests the proposed amendment be adopted. The matters raised by the submission are noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.2.
Interested Party	IDA Ireland
Ref. No.	PADP401316199
Proposed Amendment No.	1.8.4

Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the Council’s draft policies and employment zoning objectives for the settlements of Ringaskiddy and Carrigtwohill and highlights the shortage of suitable employment zoned lands at these locations and the urgent need for sufficient, appropriately zoned lands to be identified to support to continued role of these Strategic Employment Locations.</p> <p>It states significant areas of the employment zoned lands at these locations are compromised by a range of factors and will not address the longer term strategic need for larger scale manufacturing Foreign Direct Investment of the type and scale that IDA clients require.</p> <p>The submission includes a detailed map and table for both Ringaskiddy and Carrigtwohill identifying various constraints on land zoned for industry including flood risk, accessibility, high value landscape, protected/designated areas, land severance due to route of proposed M28 and by fixed high-capacity utility line crossings.</p> <p>The IDA strongly welcomes the Chief Executive’s proposal to undertake a review of employment lands in the County and specifically in the Strategic Employment Locations however should be expediated in consideration of the IDA Ireland’s strategic target of 118 investments for the South-west region in the 2021-2024 period and facilitate their plan-led approach over a medium-term horizon to attracting future FDI. As a key stakeholder, it offers to assist Cork County Council with this undertaking with a view to assessing the suitability of existing sites and identifying suitable locations for new zoning to support future needs of FDIs in the county.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The matters raised by the submission are noted. There is a substantial extent of zoned land in Cork County - including lands within Strategic Employment Locations (developed/undeveloped) that will benefit from substantial infrastructure investment from an access perspective but also from the perspective of facilitating more intensive use of existing developed land when investment is realised. The Planning Authority will continue to work with the IDA in an effort to identify suitable sites for industrial use in the county and as set out in Proposed Amendment 1.8.4 the proposed timeline of assessing the employment lands in the county will be subject to a review within 2 years of the adoption of the County Development Plan as part of the work programme of the Planning Authority.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 1.8.4, with no Modification.
Interested Party	Lucy Seymour
Ref. No.	PADP401445179
Proposed Amendment No.	Fernhill 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Carrigaline and states if more housing becomes available in the area it could provide a better chance of younger people securing affordable housing in their local area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26. The matters raised by the submission are noted.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Luke Seymour
Ref. No.	PADP401440794

Proposed Amendment No.	Fernhill 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26
Submission Summary	The submission relates to Carrigaline and states that development would be advantageous to the community as the population has been growing consistently. It states development in Carrigaline will help with the housing crisis and provide jobs while construction takes place.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26. The matters raised by the submission are noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Martin Tuohy
Ref. No.	PADP401395882
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Carrigaline and it supports the proposed Fernhill Urban Expansion Special Policy Area and states it will provide much needed housing and employment in the community.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26. The matters raised by the submission are noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Pat Desmond
Ref. No.	PADP398664925
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	The submission is in relation to the village of Waterfall and requests a regular and extended city bus service to and from Waterfall to Cork City be facilitated and for a facility to be erected in Waterfall to enable a city bus turn around safely. It also requests that the necessary bus stops be erected. The submission includes reasons as to why this service is necessary and includes information from the Ballinora and District Community Association in relation to making a submission to busconnect in relation to this route.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage. The issues raised in this submission are operational and are beyond the remit of the development plan.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required
Interested Party	Pat Desmond
Ref. No.	PADP396742274
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A

Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Waterfall and Ballinora and requested the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the proposed footpath link between Waterfall and Ballinora is completed by 2028 and not planned as envisioned in the draft plan. • That a footpath be provided from Heatherfield estate to the GAA pitch at Ballymah to link up with the walkway part funded by Cork County Council there to enable safe exercise. • That one centralised sewerage treatment is provided for the villages of Waterfall and Ballinora.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.</p> <p>The issues raised in this submission are operational and are beyond the remit of the development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required
Interested Party	Patrick Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401364883
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.5.24
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to the settlement of Ringaskiddy and states the following, providing details regarding their statements/requests within the submission:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • States Ringaskiddy is a Metropolitan area of significant national importance and should be classified as a Main Town instead of a Key Village. • States Ringaskiddy's population and employment figures are inaccurate. • States Haulbowline island should be included in Ringaskiddy instead of within Cobh Municipal District, as it is accessed from Ringaskiddy. • States there are no standards for student car and cycle parking in the Draft Plan and outlines standards from other Local Authorities. It states the NMCI /UCC third level marine education facility is generating car and cycle traffic that is in excess of that produced in the Ringaskiddy settlement, and the government has granted the go-ahead for the NMCI to double its student intake. It suggests a student parking standard of 1 space per 10 bed spaces as a minimum sustainable car parking standard be included in the Development Plan for purpose-built student accommodation. • The submission requests TFI sponsor cycles for student at the purpose-built student accommodation development proposal at Rose Lodge Ringaskiddy. It further requests the council prioritise the 'Active Transport' element of Objective RY-GO-05 and create a designated walking, cycling route between the Ringaskiddy settlement and the NMCI.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>Some matters raised in this submission do not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.</p> <p>As highlighted in the submission, specific student accommodation parking standards are not set out in the Draft Plan. As specified in footnote 1 of Table 12.6, the car parking requirement for any development type that is not specified will be determined by the Planning Authority.</p>

	The employment figures account for Ringaskiddy as a settlement including the village of Shanbally and reflect the most up to date figures available. The figures within the text and tables, as outlined in Proposed Amendment 4.1.5.24, will be updated as per the final Core Strategy figures prior to when the plan is adopted. A non-material change will be incorporated into the development plan to further outline the population in the settlement of Ringaskiddy in an effort to clarify the issues raised.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Make a Non-Material Change.
Interested Party	Philip Seymour
Ref. No.	PADP401442683
Proposed Amendment No.	Fernhill 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26
Submission Summary	The submission is in relation to Carrigaline and states it is a town with a huge population of young people. It states more housing is needed to keep up with demand and to strive for a better future. It states the development of industry should be welcomed as it will provide employment in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26. The matters raised by the submission are noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.20 and 4.1.3.26 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Port of Cork Company
Ref. No.	PADP401284327
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Ringaskiddy and the zoning objective RY-I-19. It requests a non-material amendment to the zoning objective for RY-I-19 to clarify the suitability of the lands for port related uses and suggests alternative wording.</p> <p>The submission takes issue with how the submitters previous submission on the specific zoning objective for RY-I-19 (for the provision of Third Level Educational Campus) was addressed in the CE Section 12 (4) Report to Members (CE Report).The planning permission on the site and ownership by the Port of Cork is outlined.</p> <p>It notes as a Tier 1 Port, the availability of suitable lands for the Port's logistical needs is a strategic issue for Cork County. It states as a result of the expansion of the Port's activities at Ringaskiddy, with the opening of the container terminal, and the impact of Brexit, the need for suitable lands for open storage have become critical.</p> <p>The submission describes in detail why it is considered that the presentation of their previous submission in the CE Section 12(4) Report of the strategic issues associated with</p>

	the RY-I-19 lands was misleading to Members. It states there were no attempts to balance the existing, proven, and strategic need for the Port of Cork's use of its landholding, with the long-term objectives by third level educational providers.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage. The matters raised by the submission are noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Sara McDevitt
Ref. No.	PADP401309759
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.4.4
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Passage West/Glenbrook/Monkstown and questions the zoning plans for residential development and states that the road infrastructure is narrow and additional car traffic would exacerbate existing issues with traffic in the area. The submission describes the current issues with the Fairy Hill/Diamond Road, Carrigmahon Hill and Chapel Hill, illustrating these with images. It states given the land topology and road access in Monkstown/ Carrigmahon Hill, and the additional traffic a moderate to large scale housing development would generate, it suggests this site should be zoned as non-residential/greenbelt. The area mentioned relates to Proposed Amendment 4.1.4.4 and PW RAP-03.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.1.4.4 and outlines issues with the road infrastructure in the area. The issues raised in this submission relating to road infrastructure are operational and are beyond the remit of the development plan. PW-RAP-03 has been zoned to meet Core Strategy population and housing targets for the settlement, therefore will be retained as part of this Plan.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.4.4 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Simon Brewitt
Ref. No.	PADP396818394
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.21 and 4.1.3.9
Submission Summary	The submission relates to Carrigaline and states that it approves of Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.21 which supports recommendations from the Carrigaline Transportation and Public Realm Enhancement Plan (TPREP), in particular Strategy Number 7. It requests objective CL-U-07 be omitted from the Development Plan to be in keeping with the recommendations from the Carrigaline TPREP and states Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.9

	does not propose the deletion of the route for the Link Road shown on map 4.1.4 in the Draft Plan and creates ambiguity.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.1.3.21.</p> <p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.9 and requests that CL-U-07 be omitted from the Development Plan.</p> <p>All future road projects will need to demonstrate the active travel credentials and with this in mind it is considered a benefit to maintain the objective for active travel for the area, and to note, the Western Outer Relief Road will also require provision of pedestrian and cycle facilities.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.1.3.21 and 4.1.3.9 with No Modification.

4 Cobh MD

Interested Party	Barlow Properties Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP400957393
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.8.2 and 4.2.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission, relating to proposed amendment 4.2.8.2, outlines a planning application, reference 21/05072, currently under consideration, for a residential development at the Ashbourne House and Gardens site in Glounthaune. It submits that, in response to a request for further information, a revised proposal was submitted for a reduced number of residential units and a revised layout to conserve more of the fabric of the house and retain more of the existing trees than was originally proposed. An arboricultural impact assessment and tree root protection plan formed part of the response also.</p> <p>The submission outlines the objective in the Draft Plan for the site and welcomes the proposed amendment (4.2.8.3) to extend the zoning to the entirety of the Ashbourne House and Gardens site.</p> <p>The submission outlines proposed amendment 4.2.8.2 which includes additional wording stating that the site contains a high concentration of champion trees and trees of special heritage value which are to be protected. It suggests the wording 'where feasible' be added to this sentence and submits that the perpetual retention of all the heritage and champion trees on site is an unrealistic objective and that there is a conflict of interest between supporting the development of the site and requiring protection of all the heritage and champion trees on site.</p> <p>It outlines rationale for this in the context of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - clear indication that site is considered appropriate for residential development, - acknowledgement in zoning objective that development of the site must involve as little interference with trees as possible, with the proposed amendment requiring protection of the heritage and champion trees on site. <p>It submits that development of the site with no resultant impact on the trees, including heritage and champion trees is not feasible, stating that some of the trees are in poor condition. It states that eventually all the trees will reach the end of their natural lives and that tree population maintenance sometimes involves the removal of trees as well as the planting of new trees. It points to challenges that can arise between achieving high quality development while avoiding tree root protection zones giving an example of the existing internal roadway of the site, flanked by mature trees, the width of which is insufficient to meet current roads and streets standards such as those of DMURS.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	The support for Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.3, to include additional land within GN-R-01 is noted.

	<p>In relation to Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 the Council would agree that the site is considered to be appropriate for residential development. The Council however does not agree that there is an inherent conflict between supporting development of the site and requiring protection of trees of special heritage value and Champion trees on the site. Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 increases the density of the site thereby facilitating sensitively designed, high density residential development on a smaller footprint within the overall site than may have originally been envisaged in order to allow for the protection of the trees.</p> <p>The protection of trees on the Ashbourne House site is considered to be an important objective and the inclusion of additional wording in the objective so that the trees will only be protected where feasible would weaken the objective to protect the trees, would not align with other objectives in the Draft Plan and Proposed Amendments to protect heritage and biodiversity, and would lead to the inclusion of ambiguous wording in the objective due to the scope for varying interpretations of feasibility and the subjectivity of interpretation of feasibility.</p> <p>There are a number of objectives in the Draft Plan to protect heritage and biodiversity, including trees, and a weakened objective to protect the trees at this site would not align with objectives in the Draft Plan such as the following:</p> <p>Volume 1: HE 16-17: Historic Landscapes, c) regarding historic landscapes, HE 16-18: Design and Landscaping of New Buildings, d) regarding protection of historical/commemorative trees; BE 15-2 c) regarding the protections of sites, habitats and species and BE 15-6 b) regarding biodiversity and new development; Volume 4: GN-GO-03 regarding the protection of green infrastructure, biodiversity and landscape assets of Glounthaune.</p> <p>The text of Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 also aligns with the following Proposed Amendments:</p> <p>Proposed Amendment no. 1.14.12 which proposes to update text regarding net gain, Proposed Amendment no. 1.15.12 which is to highlight the objective to retain existing trees as a first preference. Proposed Amendment no. 1.15.24 e) which relates to tree and hedgerow protection.</p> <p>The objective text outlined in Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 reflects the need to retain existing trees as a first preference. Where it is felt that it not appropriate to protect a particular tree this will need to be addressed as part of development management proposals.</p> <p>The developer will need to address, at the design stage, the need to achieve a balance between implementation of DMURS and avoidance of tree root protection zones.</p> <p>The Council accepts the statement in the submission that eventually all the trees will reach the end of their natural lives and that tree population maintenance sometimes involves the removal of trees as well as the planting of new trees. It is worth noting, in this context, Proposed Amendment no 1.15.11 to recognize the biodiversity value of living trees and dead wood.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 and 4.2.8.3 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Carol Harpur

Ref. No.	PADP400570686
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.6.4
Submission Summary	<p>Submits that the population growth of Glounthaune (and other key villages) is set out in the new core strategy table and settlement hierarchy and that the proposed approach of 'reallocation' is inconsistent with the express requirement under section 10(2A)(f) of the PDA 2000. States that, should a change in the scale of development assigned to a key village be under consideration, the mechanism to make a variation in the development plan would be available. Submits that, in the interest of clarity, the proposed amendment text in relation to 'reallocation' should be removed from all settlements which have an assigned scale of development in the development plan, outlining the text to be removed from the proposed amendment as follows:</p> <p>Given the challenges facing some key villages in relation to infrastructure, in particular water services and whether any deficits can be overcome in the lifetime of the plan, the Council will consider the reallocation of the overall level of units for a specific Key Village from Key Villages with infrastructure constraints that are taking longer than expected to be resolved to a Key Village or Key Villages within the same Municipal District which has no such constraints subject to adequate capacity having been shown to be available, in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 11 Water Management and any ecological considerations. The transfer of housing units from a specific settlement cannot exceed 50% of that settlement's allocation as set out in the table below.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	The policy introduced by Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 is to allow for some flexibility and to acknowledge the evolving nature of water service infrastructure availability particularly in those settlements that will not exceed 1,500 population during the lifetime of the Development Plan. This policy will allow for development to occur in a sustainable manner in accordance with the overall Core Strategy for the County.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Carol Harpur
Ref. No.	PADP400571786
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.3.1.
Submission Summary	This submission welcomes Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1 to amend the area of CT-I-01.
Chief Executive's Response	The support for Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1 is noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Carrig Partnership and Ruden Homes Ltd.

Ref. No.	PADP401087379
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.5.7, 4.2.5.8 and 4.2.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>This submission refers to the following Proposed Amendments which relate to the LI-X-01 zoning in Little Island:</p> <p>No. 4.2.5.7 – Amend text in paragraphs 2.5.25 and 2.5.49 of Volume 4 to clarify scale of neighbourhood centre at LI-X-01 (small scale, local neighbourhood centre).</p> <p>No. 4.2.5.8 – Amend text in Objective LI-X-01 to clarify scale (small scale, local) of neighbourhood centre.</p> <p>No. 4.2.5.9 – Amend text of Objective LI-X-01 to delete reference to school.</p> <p>The proposed amendment to clarify the scale of the neighbourhood centre for LI-X-01 is welcomed and the importance of creating a sustainable residential neighbourhood is noted. Submits that Little Island is already well serviced with a range of services within walking distance of LI-X-01, including East Gate within approx. 1 km, and that LI-X-01 has full connectivity via Ballytrasna Park Road.</p> <p>Submits that a planning application for approx. 157 residential units, under 1/3 of the total units targeted for delivery for the remainder of the LI-X-01 site, is being actively progressed on the site, designed to promote connectivity and permeability.</p> <p>Raises concern that the application proposal would not ensure viability of that level of retail and that local neighbourhood services for 500 residential units would not be feasible. Considers that an assessment is required as part of any future application for the LI-X-01 site in order to protect existing services and ensure this is balanced with population growth and that, pending the outcome of this, an assessment would be made to determine when the population within an approximately 10-minute catchment would generate demand for small scale, local neighbourhood services. References text from the Draft Plan regarding the requirement for future retail in the metropolitan area (section 9.10).</p> <p>Seeks amendment to LI-X-01 objective to include the additional wording 'subject to consumer demand' after 'small scale, local neighbourhood centre'.</p> <p>The submission welcomes proposed amendment 4.2.5.9.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The support for Proposed Amendments 4.2.5.7 and 4.2.5.8 to clarify the scale (small scale, local) of the neighbourhood centre for the LI-X-01 is noted. The submission requests a modification to the LI-X-01 objective so that the provision of the neighbourhood centre would be subject to consumer demand.</p> <p>To support sustainable communities and the 10-minute town concept it is appropriate to require the provision of a neighbourhood centre as part of the overall development of the LI-X-01 large scale new residential area. The submission notes that the area is within an approximately 1km walk of the Eastgate. However, the 10-minute town concept, such as promoted in the RSES, requires provision of a range of services within a short walk of one's home. A 10-minute walk would generally be considered to be approximately 800 metres whereas the nearest part of Eastgate is located at over 1km from LI-X-01.</p>

	<p>Retail planning guidelines point to Neighbourhood Centres as serving a small, localised catchment population and outline the role of local retail units such as shops located in local or neighbourhood centres serving local residential districts and performing an important function in urban areas. The guidelines highlight their significant social and economic functions in improving access to local facilities especially for the elderly and persons with mobility impairments, families with small children, and those without access to private transport.</p> <p>It would therefore not be considered appropriate to amend LI-X-01 as requested in the submission.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, this submission, proposing a material change to the plan, does not relate to the content of the Proposed Amendment and while the issues raised have been responded to they cannot be further considered at this stage.</p> <p>The support for Proposed Amendment 4.2.5.9 is noted.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 4.2.5.7, 4.2.5.8 and 4.2.5.9 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Claire Casey
Ref. No.	PADP401322497
Proposed Amendment No.	1.4.6; 4.2.6.4;
Submission Summary	<p>This submission is made in the context of Glounthaune and relates to the following proposed amendments:</p> <p>1.4.6 (Density: reference to larger towns with a population of > 1,500 in density table 4-1) - urges the Council to resist pressure to change zoning in Glounthaune to allow high density stating that such density is not appropriate given steep topography and poor road infrastructure and other services.</p> <p>4.2.6.4 (Key Villages: update text and table regarding overall scale of development) - urges the Council to remove the proposed clause allowing for reallocation of houses from one key village to another stating that it is an inappropriate response to difficulties in one village and that it does not represent good planning.</p> <p>Submission also urges the Council to respect the present rural character of Glounthaune and to ensure it is not endangered through excessive and unsuitable development, in particular urging the Council to prioritise maintaining the green belt buffer between Glanmire and Glounthaune and also Glounthaune and Carrigtwohill. Supports recent submissions made by Glounthaune Community Association and Glounthaune Sustainable Development.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendments 1.4.6 and requests that the inclusion of Glounthaune in Table 4.1 – Settlement Density Location Guide be reviewed in light of the Office of the Planning Regulator’s comments (Recommendation No.7).</p> <p>Glounthaune is a town with proposed high quality Public Transport Corridor Potential and is projected to have population growth to over 1500 population during this Plan period.</p>

	<p>For these reasons, it has been included in Table 4.1 as being appropriate for high density development in the town centre area of the settlement.</p> <p>In Glounthaune, there is only one site proposed for high density. This is the Ashbourne House site, GN-R-01, located in the lower area of the village, close to the village centre and within less than 200m of the rail station. Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 proposes amending objective GN-R-01 to change the density from Medium A to High, reducing the net site area from 2Ha to 1HA and including additional wording to require sensitive design, protection of champion trees and trees of special heritage value and provision of recreation or small scale community use.</p> <p>It is proposed to reduce the approximate net developable area of the GN-R-01 zoning to reflect the significance of the wooded gardens around Ashbourne House. A more efficient use of the developable area of the site is proposed through a higher density. Screening that will be afforded by the mature trees on the site will facilitate assimilation of higher density development.</p> <p>The policy introduced by Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 is to allow for some flexibility and to acknowledge the evolving nature of water service infrastructure availability particularly in those settlements that will not exceed 1,500 population during the lifetime of the Development Plan. This policy will allow for development to occur in a sustainable manner in accordance with the overall Core Strategy for the County.</p> <p>The submission requests prioritising maintaining the green buffer between Glanmire and Glounthaune and between Glounthaune and Carrigtwohill. This request is not specifically linked to any Proposed Amendment and issues not relating to proposed amendments may not be considered at this stage. However Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1 is to reduce the area of CT-I-01, thereby increasing the greenbelt area between Glounthaune and Carrigtwohill. The submission could be understood to support Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt the Proposed Amendment 1.4.6 with No Modification.</p> <p>Adopt the Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1 with No Modification.</p> <p>Adopt the Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Edgefield Property Developments Limited
Ref. No.	PADP401359243
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.3.12 and 4.2.3.26
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to sites at Terrysland (which submission refers to as the Woodstock site) and Ballyadam, Carrigtwohill.</p> <p>It seeks a rejection of proposed amendment 4.2.3.12 and seeks an amendment to objective CT-R-09. It also seeks an amendment to 4.2.3.26 to extend the 'Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses' zoning to the remainder of the subject site at Ballyadam stating that the lands are subject of an active planning application (21/7130) for 63 residential units.</p> <p>It sets out that the submission Interested Party owns a significant proportion of the UEA lands east of the rail station and have worked over the last number of years to instigate</p>

development of their lands, including, regarding lands at Terrysland, a S.247 meeting as part of the SHD process. It is their intention to proceed with an application should the proposed amendment be rejected and that both sites are readily serviceable and among a small number of sites which could support the immediate delivery of housing without being detrimental to the Planning Authority's vision for the settlement nor the delivery of the objectives for the wider UEA. Submits that there is no evidence that would preclude the delivery of residential development within the subject lands at both Terrysland and Ballyadam.

Sets out a rationale for its request under the headings of Flooding, Biodiversity and Landscape, and Strategic Planning.

Flooding – a SFRA was prepared by JBA Consulting on behalf of Cork County Council to inform the preparation of the Draft CDP, considering various sources in terms of flood risk identification including the Flood Zone mapping outputs from the Southwest, Shannon and South-eastern Catchment Flood Risk Assessment and Management Study (CFRAM) Studies (2016), the SFRA for the CCDP 2014-2020, and SFRA for the Local Area Plans 2017-2023, as well as other sources. States that it is generally identified in the Draft CDP SFRA that unless a settlement benefits from a flood relief scheme, this data has low to moderate accuracy in that it does not take into account the detail relating to a specific area or indeed site (Table 2 of the Updated SFRA). Cites Circular PL 2/2014 requesting Planning Authorities to be “prudent” in the use of CFRAM and other high-level mapping mechanisms as the sole basis for planning decisions. States that the SFRA identified the Terrysland site to be within Flood Zones A, B and C, leading to a proposal to change the zoning, despite the flood mapping not differing significantly to that indicated for the Cobh MD LAP 2017, and other sites similarly affected by flooding not being subject to the same zoning reclassification such as adjacent lands zoned for community use.

It refers to that the Draft Plan and that where land is either subject to a specific zoning objective or is otherwise located within the development boundary of a settlement and is affected by the ‘Flood Zone Maps’ in the Draft Development Plan, a site-specific detailed flood risk assessment is required at the project stage and that precautionary text is included in the specific zoning objectives identifying the need for a detailed FRA to be carried out at project stage, where certain zonings were included in areas at risk of flooding. Submits that the proposed amendment of the site's zoning to Green Infrastructure, if accepted, will mean that the development potential of the site will have been decided by a high-level assessment that it submits lacks sufficient detail. It states that the findings of a flood map update note prepared by JBA in December 2021, which included site specific drainage measures within the site, are disregarded.

Sets out principal findings of a site-specific FRA undertaken in relation to subject site at Terrysland, including findings in relation to existing drainage and proposed mitigatory measures, and includes the report as an appendix of the submission. Submits that in accordance with the Flood Risk Guidelines the final decision on the zoning objective for the lands should be informed by the detailed site-specific FRA undertaken, adding that measures required to address the flood risk issues associated with the site are straightforward, soft engineering solutions, and the adoption of the recommendations for the site would see a complete reduction in overall flood risk both upstream and downstream of the site.

Notes that the lands at Ballyadam are not identified as flood risk.

Biodiversity, Terrysland site - questions the specific reasoning behind this proposed amendment stating that the site at Terrysland has been subject of numerous ecological surveys. Submission includes an Ecological Appraisal prepared in support of this submission

and states that the submitted appraisal, as well as the many previous assessments referred to within same have not shown the Terrysland site to be important in terms of ecological value. States that with regard to the site-specific ecological appraisals, overall, the site is not considered to be of high biodiversity value and submits that these findings are supported by other surveys done by parties not engaged by the Interested Party. Further submits that the findings of the Ecological Impact Assessment Report undertaken by Atkins in November 2021 as part of the Part 8 planning application for the Carrigtwohill-Midleton InterUrban Greenway (which passes through the site) are largely consistent with the findings of the appended report as well as previous reports prepared for the site. Acknowledges that there are some features identified as having value worthy of protection and submits that those features of value can be supported and enhanced as part of the development of the site and proposes that future development would be subject to the preparation of a further Ecological Assessment as part of the development management process.

A preliminary landscape concept was prepared as part of this submission which details protection and supplementation of existing mature native treelined boundaries on the site, protection of the stream on the site, swales, part of greenway route and open spaces. Strategic Planning – submits that Carrigtwohill is set to fall well short of achieving the required level of population and housing growth to fulfil its planned strategic role in the Cork Metropolitan Area, unless development of the nature of the Elmbury development under construction are brought to fruition in the short term.

It states that the UEA continues to be the main focus for development in Carrigtwohill over the lifetime of the upcoming CDP and beyond, that the Interested Party owns close to 37% of the overall UEA area and that there is an urgent requirement for development of residential units to commence to meet the need for housing, particularly in locations such as subject sites which it submits are readily serviceable and sustainably located in terms of access to existing services and transport infrastructure. Reiterates active work towards instigating development within subject lands.

Welcomes the exclusion of part of lands from the CT-GT-06 zoning objective to be zoned 'Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses' in the proposed amendment. Showing an image of only a portion of the Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.26 site, submits that there is a discrepancy in the mapping with regard to the area proposed to be excluded from CT-GC-06. Contends that there is merit in extending this zoning to cover the remainder of the site to the east. Recognises the value of green infrastructure and ecological corridors and submits that it is considered that the extension of the CT-GC-06 objective further east is not supported on ecological grounds.

Submits that an Ecological Assessment prepared as part of the current planning application on site has identified that the lands in question are of little ecological value, being of local significance, and any potential ecological value is compromised by the presence of Japanese Knotweed and finds this to be supported by the biodiversity audit of Carrigtwohill undertaken as part of the Carrigtwohill Biodiversity Action Plan (2019-2023). Acknowledges a finding that the remaining CTGC-06 lands to the west are of ecological importance due to the existence of important semi natural grassland.

Submits that the effect of the exclusion of the land for 'Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses' within the green infrastructure zoning is that it bisects the CT-GC-06 zoned area and that land will lie spatially remote to the rest of the parkland and fragmented from the proposed ecological corridor. Requests that, given lack of ecological justification for its inclusion, and that the green corridor/ park objective can be met without it, the 'Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses' zoning be extended

	<p>eastward to the junction of Carrigane Road with Ballyadam Bridge.</p> <p>States that development of the site at Terrysland for residential use is in accordance with national regional and local policy, as well as being in line with best practice relating to sustainable development. States that its location within walking distance of the train station will support the use and viability of this, pointing to plans for the service to become a two-track electrified service and states that it is also close to the existing town centre as well as existing and permitted schools along Station Road and the proposed 'Station Quarter'.</p> <p>A schematic layout for the site identifies how a high-density development might be achieved. Approximately 700m of the Inter-Urban Greenway is also incorporated into the design, and benefits from passive surveillance from the proposed dwellings set along the route.</p> <p>Considers that the site is located in one of the best and most sustainable locations both within the existing settlement and the proposed UEA, to provide high density development to meet projected population targets and contribute to the critical mass required to sustain and develop the metropolitan rail service. Makes reference to significant amount of infrastructural investment required to unlock the entire UEA and to the site being readily serviceable in the short term with identified capacity in the existing network as confirmed in Irish Water's Confirmation of Feasibility.</p> <p>States that the lands at Ballyadam are strategically located, are capable of being developed for residential use in the short term and that, despite being included in Phase 2 of the UEA, immediate development is not premature as there is sufficient capacity in the existing service/ infrastructure network to cater for the scale of development proposed on site. Submits that the site offers a significant opportunity to facilitate the delivery of key infrastructural improvements including c.350m of greenway and contributing to the delivery of planned pedestrian/cycle upgrades along the adjacent Carrigane Road.</p> <p>The submission also outlines the impacts on the future development of the UEA making reference to transport and social infrastructure located within subject land including approximately 1.75km of the proposed Carrigtwohill-Midleton Inter-Urban Greenway. States that the subject sites will offer direct passive surveillance along over 1km of the route.</p> <p>Adds that the Interested Party owns approximately 10.2 hectares of lands now zoned open space (CT-GC-06 and CT-GC-07 in the Draft CDP) and does not dispute the ecological value of same. Landscape proposals submitted do not propose to make any changes to these areas but several 'pause points' are indicated along the greenway route that runs through these central areas zoned open space.</p> <p>Submits that development of the subject lands will accelerate the delivery process and provide certainty regarding their delivery, without the need for CPO processes, and that development of the subject sites has the potential to act as a catalyst for development throughout the entire UEA.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The submission seeks a rejection of Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.12 in its current form and requests that it be modified. It also seeks an amendment to 4.2.3.26.</p> <p>In relation to Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.12 please see Response to Key Issue in Cobh MD and Key Issue Water Management, Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.26 is to exclude a portion of land from CT-GC-06 and to recategorise the excluded area as Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses. The submission proposes extending this excluded area so that a larger area is excluded</p>

	<p>from CT-GC-06. As this would be a material change, rather than a minor modification of a Proposed Amendment, then it cannot be considered further at this stage.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this, a significant area, at the east of CT-GC-06, connected to western portion of CT-GC-06 by a green corridor to the north of the Proposed Amendment site, supports scrub habitat of ecological value and enhanced by virtue of the fact that it forms part of a larger mosaic of semi-natural habitats. Aerial imagery indicates that the site continues to support habitats of ecological value. It is therefore recommended that no further reduction of the GI zone be implemented.</p> <p>However a mapping error has come to light whereby the polygon to be excluded from CT-GC-06, which is to represent the location of an existing dwelling house and container storage yard, has been positioned incorrectly. While the polygon includes the house it does not include much of the container storage area, it extends approximately 3 metres further north than was intended and it includes a strip of green infrastructure to the west. A minor modification is proposed to correct this.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Proposed Amendment 4 .2.3.12 - See Recommendation on Key Issue in Cobh MD in Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 4 .2.3.26 with Minor Modification. See Section 1.2 of Volume One, Part 2 of this Report.</p>
Interested Party	Footpath Development Committee
Ref. No.	PADP401444148
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	This submission consists of the following text: Sli na Slainte walk cycle route.
Chief Executive's Response	Proposed Amendment 4.2.13.1 proposed the inclusion of additional text in Whitechurch to state that there may be potential to extend a pedestrian/cycleway from the north of the village to Dromgariff Lane to facilitate the creation of a Slí na Sláinte Loop walk. While this submission may be intended to support the Proposed Amendment it is not sufficiently clear from the text of the submission.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required.
Interested Party	Glounthaune Community Association
Ref. No.	PADP401443534
Proposed Amendment No.	1.4.6, 1.4.4, 4.2.6.4, 4.2.8.1, and 4.2.8.4
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to proposed amendments to Volumes 1 and 4 of the Draft Plan as follows:</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 1.4.6 (Density: reference to larger towns with a population of > 1,500 in density table 4-1): states that The approach to Glounthaune in Table 4.1: Settlement Density is not consistent with the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas , as clarified by Circular Letter NRUP 2/2021, and comments from the planning regulator on the Draft Development Plan</p>

	<p>(Recommendation 7 (ii) Residential Density). It states that under ‘small town and village’, the guidelines refer to the need to strike a balance between providing for further development while safeguarding established character. Further submits that this is expressly recognised in the SRDUA as being particularly challenging where settlements are located close to main cities and subject to development pressure – a scenario that it submits clearly applies to Glounthaune. In this context it submits that the approach for Glounthaune in table 4.1 should be reviewed.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 1.4.4 Density section: reference to larger towns with a population of > 1,500: states that there is a general confusion introduced by many amendments in Volume 1, Core Strategy, in referring to larger towns as those with a population over 1500, stating that the CSO classify rural as under 1500 and urban over 1500 population. Sets out RSES Settlement Typology reference to towns and villages of above 1,500 which provide a housing, employment or service function and to the category being broad and ranging from large commuter towns to more remote towns and villages. Submission sets out the Guidelines for planning authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas definition of small town as smaller towns and villages are defined as those with a population ranging from 400 to 5,000 persons and smaller towns (in the 2,000 – 5,000 population range). Also refers to NPF categorisation of settlements under 10,000 and above 10,000 population relating to eligibility for RRDF and URDF funding mechanisms respectively. Submission suggests that larger towns should be classified as population greater than 5000 throughout the document and in Proposed Amendment NO. 1.4.4 to correspond to the SRDUA definition.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 Key Villages: update text and table regarding overall scale of development: Submits that the population growth of Glounthaune is set out in the new core strategy table and settlement hierarchy and that Glounthaune has an assigned scale of development and as such should not be subject to an ‘aggregate’. In the interest of clarity and to comply with the Planning and Development Act 2000, it requests the removal of proposed amendment text relating to reallocation of the overall level of units for a specific Key Village.</p> <p>The submission welcomes the following proposed amendments: Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.1 (Glounthaune: include additional text regarding the significance of trees at Ashbourne House). Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.4 (Glounthaune: correct text in relation to GN-U-01 so that it reflects GN-U-01 specific objective text).</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendments 1.4.4 and 1.4.6 and requests that the inclusion of Glounthaune in Table 4.1 – Settlement Density Location Guide be reviewed in light of the Office of the Planning Regulator’s comments (Recommendation No.7).</p> <p>Glounthaune is a town with proposed high quality Public Transport Corridor Potential and is projected to have population growth to over 1500 population during the Plan period. For these reasons, it has been included in Table 4.1 as being appropriate for high density development in the town centre area of the settlement.</p> <p>In relation to the Core Strategy and Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4, this policy was introduced to allow for some flexibility and to acknowledge the evolving nature of water service infrastructure availability particularly in those settlements that will not exceed 1,500 population during the lifetime of the Development Plan. This policy will allow for development to occur in a sustainable manner in accordance with the overall Core Strategy for the County.</p> <p>The support for Proposed Amendments 4.2.8.1 and 4.2.8.4 is noted.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.4.4 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.4.6 with No Modification.</p>

	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.4 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Glounthaune Sustainable Development
Ref. No.	PADP401448816
Proposed Amendment No.	1.4.6, 1.4.4, 4.2.6.4, 4.2.8.1, 4.2.8.2, 4.2.8.4, 4.2.3.1, 4.2.2.1
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to proposed amendments to Volumes 1 and 4 of the Draft Plan as follows:</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 1.4.6 (Density: reference to larger towns with a population of > 1,500 in density table 4-1): states that the approach to Glounthaune in Table 4.1: Settlement Density is not consistent with the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas or comments from the planning regulator on the Draft Development Plan (Recommendation 7 (ii) Residential Density). It states that the guidelines refer to the need to strike a balance between providing for further development while safeguarding established character. Further submits that this is expressly recognised in the SRDUA as being particularly challenging where settlements are located close to main cities and subject to development pressure and submits that the approach for Glounthaune in table 4.1 should be reviewed.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 1.4.4 (Density section: reference to larger towns with a population of > 1,500): States that there is a general confusion introduced by many amendments in Volume 1, Core Strategy, in referring to larger towns as those with a population over 1500, stating that the CSO classify rural as under 1500 and urban over 1500 population. Sets out RSES Settlement Typology reference to towns and villages of above 1,500 and sets out the Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas Guidelines definition of small town as smaller towns and villages are defined as those with a population ranging from 400 to 5,000 persons and smaller towns (in the 2,000 – 5,000 population range). Also refers to NPF categorisation of settlements under 10,000 and above 10,000 population relating to eligibility for RRDF and URDF funding mechanisms respectively. Submission suggests that larger towns should be classified as population greater than 5000 throughout the document and in Proposed Amendment NO. 1.4.4 to correspond to the SRDUA definition.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 (Key Villages: update text and table regarding overall scale of development): Submits that Glounthaune has an assigned scale of development and as such should not be subject to an ‘aggregate’ and requests the removal of proposed amendment text relating to reallocation of the overall level of units for a specific Key Village.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 (Glounthaune: amend GN-R-01 to include additional text and to change density from medium to high origin of amendment). States that it does not support this amendment and that the density should not change or should be reduced due to the lack of amenities in the area.</p> <p>The submission welcomes the following proposed amendments: Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1. (Carrigtwohill: reduce area of ct-1-01). Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.1 (Glounthaune: include additional text regarding the significance of trees at Ashbourne House). Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.4 (Glounthaune: correct text in relation to GN-U-01 so that it reflects gn-u-01 specific objective text). Submission also expresses support for Proposed Amendment 4.2.2.1. (consequential amendments to scale of development arising from amendments to the Core Strategy.</p>

<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendments 1.4.4 and 1.4.6 and requests that the inclusion of Glounthaune in Table 4.1 – Settlement Density Location Guide be reviewed in light of the Office of the Planning Regulator’s comments (Recommendation No.7).</p> <p>Glounthaune is a town with proposed high quality Public Transport Corridor Potential and is projected to have population growth to over 1500 population during the Plan period. For these reasons, it has been included in Table 4.1 as being appropriate for high density development in the town centre area of the settlement.</p> <p>In relation to the Core Strategy and Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4, this policy was introduced to allow for some flexibility and to acknowledge the evolving nature of water service infrastructure availability particularly in those settlements that will not exceed 1,500 population during the lifetime of the Development Plan. This policy will allow for development to occur in a sustainable manner in accordance with the overall Core Strategy for the County.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 proposes amending objective GN-R-01 to change the density from Medium A to High, reducing the net site area from 2Ha to 1HA and including additional wording to require sensitive design, protection of champion trees and trees of special heritage value and provision of recreation or small scale community use.</p> <p>The Ashbourne House site, located in the lower area of the village, close to the village centre and within less than 200m of the rail station is considered suitable for high density residential development. While the submission points to a lack of amenities in the area the proposed amendment text requires the provision of small scale community or recreation for the GN-R-01 site. This would connect with the new cycleway and may be accommodated sensitively on the site. In addition, a site of over 4Ha has been zoned at GN-C-01 for community facilities.</p> <p>It is proposed to reduce the approximate net developable area of the GN-R-01 zoning to reflect the significance of the wooded gardens around Ashbourne House. A more efficient use of the developable area of the site is proposed through a higher density. Screening that will be afforded by the mature trees on the site will facilitate assimilation of higher density development.</p> <p>The submission’s support for the following Proposed Amendments is noted: Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1, 4.2.8.1, 4.2.8.4 and 4.2.2.1.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p>	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.4.6 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.4.4 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.3.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.4 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.2.1 with No Modification.</p>
<p>Interested Party</p>	<p>John Horgan</p>
<p>Ref. No.</p>	<p>PADP396973697</p>
<p>Proposed Amendment No.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Submission Summary</p>	<p>The submission references Proposed Amendment 4.2.4.1 and states that it refers to three parking areas: 1. The maritime boatyard.</p>

	<p>2. Public right of way to strand. 3. Boat-House and boatyard on the south side.</p> <p>It is stated that submitter is the owner of the property and was not aware of the incorporation of the property or the public right of way. The submission is accompanied by images, from the Draft County Development Plan map browser, of the CH-C-01/CH-U-12 zoned site in Cobh.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	The submission makes reference to Proposed Amendment No 4.2.4.1 which is to correct an objective labelling error to change CH-C-01 to CH-U-12. There is no amendment proposed to the area or text of this objective. As such, the submission does not relate to the Proposed Amendment. While the submitter's concern is not clear it seems to relate to the issue of landowner lack of awareness of zoned land status.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required.
Interested Party	Ned Murphy as Receiver over certain assets of Higgins & Twomey
Ref. No.	PADP401277833
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.9.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to proposed amendment 4.2.9.2, a proposal to include additional land within the WT-GC-01 zoning. The additional land is a 0.43Ha site in Watergrasshill for which the submission states there is active market interest.</p> <p>The submission outlines that the population target for Watergrasshill will necessitate 149 new housing units in the village to 2028. States that the site is zoned 'Existing Built Up Area' in the Fermoy MD LAP and that a small section of the site also includes part of the O-01 zoning objective. Further states that the only change to the site in the Draft Plan was to replace 'Existing Built Up Area' with 'Existing Residential/Mixed residential and Other Uses',</p> <p>Submission states that proposed amendment 4.2.9.2 effectively dezones the site and it counters the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -national and regional planning policy advocates compact growth and infrastructural led development, this site is adjacent to a residential area and the Draft Plan clarifies that there are existing water services in Watergrasshill. -appendix C of Volume 1 of the Draft Plan identifies Watergrasshill as a settlement suitable for further growth due to carrying capacity of zoned lands, waste water treatment capacity, existing scale of population and social/community infrastructure, existing employment and accessibility to other employment centres, well defined town centre, strong market demand. - the illustrated subject site (proposed amendment site and adjoining land) was previously subject to a permission for 5 dwellings - ref. 07/11914). - other lands outside the development boundary of the village, in the greenbelt, are proposed to be rezoned to residential use with no clear planning rationale - specifically Proposed Amendment no 4.2.9.3. <p>Reiterates that there is market interest in the site to deliver housing appropriate to its context, and to contribute to achieving the vision for Watergrasshill as the primary focus for the development of the surrounding rural areas, to encourage consolidation of the settlement, to retain and improve local services and facilities. States that as part of any future residential development, there will be a buffer maintained with the Bogaghard Common and Pond.</p> <p>Requests that the 'Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses' that applies to part of the site is retained.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission outlines a subject site of c1.1Ha which comprises the c0.2Ha site of Proposed Amendment 4.2.9.2 and an area of land adjoining this, running the length of the Proposed Amendment site and extending to the north east. This adjoining land was zoned as Open Space in the 2017 LAP and as Green Infrastructure, WT-GC-01, in the Draft Plan.</p> <p>The Proposed Amendment site forms an integral part of a larger area of green infrastructure around the Bogaghard Pond wetland area (WT-GC-01). This area is identified on the Wetlands Surveys of Ireland maps and it is important to protect this small wetland area and adjoining green infrastructure due to its ecological value. The Proposed Amendment site is difficult to differentiate from the adjoining Green Infrastructure area as it contains similar ecological features. It is considered appropriate to retain the overall integrity of the Green Infrastructure area and include the Proposed Amendment site within this overall area rather than erode the Green Infrastructure area.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.9.2 with No Modification.
Interested Party	O'Mahony Developments
Ref. No.	PADP401308976
Proposed Amendment No.	1.2.13, 4.2.2.1 and 4.2.4.25
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Proposed Amendments 1.2.13 (Include new section County Core Strategy Statement and table), 4.2.2.1 (Consequential amendments to the scale of development arising from amendments to the Core Strategy) and 4.2.4.25 (Cobh: represent CH-R-11 as a residential reserve site) to the Draft Plan. It is made on behalf of the landowner of the site at Carrignafof which is subject of Proposed Amendment 4.2.4.25 and it outlines that 4.2.4.25 would result in the subject site being rezoned from Residential to Residential Reserve.</p> <p>It states that it would appear that Proposed Amendment 1.2.13 is being made to comply with a direction from the OPR for a further reduction in the population and housing targets in the Core Strategy and that amendments 4.2.2.1 and 4.2.4.25 are an attempt to distribute these cuts across the settlement hierarchy and Cobh respectively. It opines that the integrity of the current review of the Plan has been undermined by the recommendations made by the OPR which are based on advisory guidelines and are not legally binding on the Planning Authority. It states that the proposed amendment has also failed to follow the advice of the OPR and the RSES to allocate a sufficiently high growth targets should be assigned to the rail corridor and Key Settlement of Cobh which is served by the suburban rail service.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment No. 1.2.13 - submits that this is based on a misrepresentation of the legal status of the recommendations made in the OPR submission, outlines the role of the OPR in the process as summarised in the previous CE report and it cites case law that stated that ministerial guidelines are not prescriptive or mandatory.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment No. 4.2.2.1 - states that it proposes a drastic reduction (by almost 30%) in the scale of development for Cobh and that the Planning Authority has failed to follow the alternate advice of the OPR and the RSES to allocate a sufficiently high growth target to be assigned to the rail corridor including the key Metropolitan settlement of Cobh. Submits that the consequential change (Ref. 4.2.4.25) would result in an arbitrary rezoning of land from residential to 'Residential Reserve' that it submits is not in accordance with Section 10(2) of the Planning Act.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment No. 4.2.4.25 - Submission outlines the residential zoning of the site in the 2017 LAP and states that its landowner has engaged in comprehensive pre-planning</p>

	<p>consultation with Cork County Council under the Large-Scale Residential Development process in relation to their lands in Cobh with a layout submitted that includes all of the CH-R-08 zoning, and that they will be in a position to make a planning application on their lands within the coming weeks. Submits that any change to the Draft Plan zoning would undermine this process and would potentially prevent a developer who is already active in the town, from developing much needed housing in Cobh.</p> <p>Submission includes Confirmation of Feasibility (CoF) for 160 units from Irish Water.</p> <p>States that the lands are located close to the town centre and should not be the first to be considered as a 'Residential Reserve'.</p> <p>Submits that Proposed Amendment No. 4.2.4.25 be rejected in its entirety.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>In line with national policy requirements to integrate transport and land use planning and to deliver compact growth, proximity to public transport and other services and the ability to deliver compact growth objectives were key considerations in the categorisation of land for residential zoning in the Plan.</p> <p>It is important to ensure an adequate supply of land for housing and it is considered that the lands identified as Residential Reserve will play an important role in providing a contingency in the event that other lands identified for residential development in a particular settlement do not come forward.</p> <p>Recategorising CH-R-11 as Residential Reserve does not preclude the development of this site in the lifetime of the Plan. Objective ZU-18-21 states that 'Residential Reserve' lands will not generally be required for development over the period of the Plan to 2028. From the beginning of the 4th year of the Plan (2025), consideration may be given to the development of Residential Reserve land subject to criteria specified under (a)-(e) of the objective (as amended by Proposed Amendment 1.18.2, which is also subject of a proposed minor modification). These include that the proposed site can be serviced and offers a reasonable substitute in terms of capacity, sequential development, access to services, amenity etc.</p>

Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.4.25 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Pat O'Connor
Ref. No.	PADP401204024
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.7.5
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Proposed Amendment 4.2.7.5 (Carrignavar: amend map browser so that it reflects Carrignavar zoning map in Volume 4 of Plan) and to a c21.5ha site to the north of the village core and the GAA grounds. Submits that the village provides a range of services and describes nearby developments as well as subject site.</p> <p>Outlines existing planning policy provisions with reference to objectives in the 2014 County Development Plan for key villages, the County Metropolitan Strategic Planning Area, Rural Communities and Development Boundaries, as well as outlining text relating to Carrignavar from the 2017 Cobh MD Local Area Plan including a reference to an anticipated scale of development of a maximum of 100 units over 10 years subject to the provision of water and wastewater treatment infrastructure and notwithstanding outstanding permissions. Sets out extracts from the Draft Plan including the vision for Carrignavar, details of services in the village, and text regarding a sequential approach to be taken to development, regarding brownfield/infill sites being most suitable lands for future development and, in addition, a reference to the development potential of lands to the east and north of the village.</p> <p>States that the land owner of subject site made a pre-draft submission regarding the village development boundary and that, having reviewed the online version of the draft plan to find that the submission had not resulted in an extension of the development boundary but that the existing village development boundary had been retained, did not make a submission to the Draft Plan on that basis.</p> <p>An inconsistency is highlighted where the subject site is partially within the village boundary depicted on the map browser but where the Development Boundary as per the Draft CDP, Volume 4 excludes part of this area of the subject site from the development boundary and it is stated that the inconsistency resulted in the landowner not having the opportunity to make a submission to have the development boundary amended. Finds the outcome of the planning process here to be unreasonable and unexpected.</p> <p>Questions the basis for reducing the extent of the development boundary making reference to the issue of rural population decline, the NPF, the Government's Action Plan for Rural Development and the 2014 County Development Plan.</p> <p>States that population growth and new residential development should be targeted within our cities, towns and villages to combat continuous sprawl into the countryside but that the sustainable development of villages in Cork County over the previous plan period has largely failed to materialise with few recent planning applications for new dwellings in Carrignavar contrasting with the occurrence of new one off housing outside the village.</p> <p>Concludes with a submission that proposed amendment 4.2.7.2 be removed from consideration in the finalisation of the new Plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.2.7.2 which is to amend the development boundary of Carrignavar as represented on the map browser so that it aligns with the reduced development boundary represented on the Carrignavar zoning map in Volume 4 of the Draft Plan.

	<p>Changes to the boundary are highlighted in the Draft Plan text. Paragraph 2.7.7 of the Draft Plan, in relation to the development boundary, set out that ‘the boundary has been drawn tighter than that of the 2017 Local Area Plan reflecting the more limited growth potential envisaged in this plan.’</p> <p>Paragraph 2.7.9 sets out that ‘Lands closest to the village core are to be developed prior to land on the fringes. It is considered that the most suitable lands for future residential development are brownfield or infill sites. In addition, land to the east and north of the village has development potential.’</p> <p>This revised boundary for Carrignavar, corresponding with this text, is depicted in volume 4 of the Draft Plan. Regrettably, through an error, the 2017 LAP boundary was inadvertently depicted on the map browser. The supportive information on the map browser needs to align with the legal text of the plan.</p> <p>The map in the Draft Plan is correct and in the event of any discrepancy between this and the map browser the Draft Plan takes precedence.</p> <p>The boundary as set out in the section for Carrignavar in Volume 4 of the Draft Plan is considered to be sufficient to cater for the growth target for the village over the life of the Plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.7.5. with No Modification.
Interested Party	Ruden Homes Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP401346381
Proposed Amendment No.	1.2.13; 4.2.2.1; 4.2.6.4, and 4.2.8.9
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Proposed Amendments 1.2.13 (Include new section County Core Strategy Statement and table), 4.2.2.1 (Consequential amendments to the scale of development arising from amendments to the Core Strategy), 4.2.6.4 (Key Villages: Update text and table regarding overall scale of development) and 4.2.8.9 (Glounthaune, consequential to core strategy changes, update population/housing tables and references as appropriate) and relates to lands at Ballynaroona, Glounthaune, zoned GN-C-01 in the Draft Plan.</p> <p>The submission considers that the integrity of the development plan review process has been undermined by the fact that, in preparing the draft and amended draft of the County Plan, the Chief Executive reduced the Core Strategy targets below the level which would be deemed to be appropriate by the Planning Authority under Section 10(2) of the Planning Act and that this was done in order to comply with ultra vires requirements of the Office of the Planning Regulator (OPR).</p> <p>It states that the adverse effect of the inadequate Core Strategy targets has been exacerbated by the fact that the distribution of the Core Strategy targets within the settlement hierarchy failed to take due account of the need to provide for significant growth in Key Towns which are served by the Cork Suburban Rail Service.</p> <p>It states that, In the case of Glounthaune the Draft County Plan and the Proposed Amendments failed to have due regard to submissions seeking retention of zoning on land which had previously been zoned serviced and permitted for residential development. Furthermore, the omission of the revised tables 4.2.18 and 4.2.19 from the Proposed Amendments makes it impossible to determine whether the inadequate Core Strategy</p>

target can be achieved. It states that this is particularly important given that the current planning application on Ashbourne House (Reg. No. 21/5072) which it submits indicates that the density assumptions in the amended Draft Plan are unrealistic and that the limited area zoned for residential development is not capable of meeting even the reduced population and housing targets for Glounthaune within the period of the next County Plan.

It states that it would appear that Proposed Amendment 1.2.13 is being made to comply with a demand by the OPR for a further reduction in the population and housing targets in the Core Strategy and that amendments 4.2.2.1 and 4.2.4.25 are an attempt to distribute these cuts across the settlement hierarchy and Cobh respectively. It opines that the integrity of the current review of the Plan has been undermined by misrepresentation of the legal status of the submissions by the OPR, imposition of reductions in the population and employment targets in the Core Strategy which are based on questionable assumptions and methodologies, failure to have due regard to the strategic role of the Key Towns and Key Villages in the distribution of the reduced Core Strategy targets to individual settlements, failure to apply proper sequential testing in the selection of the most sustainable lands within each settlement and failure to zone additional land in the Amendments proposed for Glounthaune to compensate for the additional restrictions to be imposed by the updated Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and by the new objectives for ecology, biodiversity and tree preservation.

It notes that, although the Council has generally complied with the OPR's demands for reduction in the Core Strategy targets it has failed to follow the advice of the OPR and the RSES that relatively high growth targets should be assigned to Key Towns and Key Villages and particularly those such as Mallow and Glounthaune which are well connected to the suburban rail service. It submits that this is compounded by the fact that in some cases the reduction has been implemented by de zoning lands which have recently been zoned, serviced and permitted for residential development. It states that the net effect of the changes made in response to the OPR's demands is that the inadequate Core Strategy targets cannot be achieved within the Plan period as some of the sites required to deliver those targets would be de zoned if the amended Draft Plan is adopted.

Proposed Amendment No. 1.2.13 - submits that this is based on a misrepresentation of the legal status of the recommendations made in the OPR submission, outlines the role of the OPR in the process as summarised in the previous CE report and submits an opinion that an implicit assumption that recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are legally binding on the Members of a Planning Authority is inconsistent with a recent high court decision, citing details of previous case law.

It opines that Cork County Council appears to have uncritically accepted the position adopted by the OPR that the population and housing targets set out in the current Plan and the Draft Plan contained "clear breaches of the relevant legislative provisions" which the planning authority is "required" to address in the Proposed Amendments to ensure consistency with the relevant policy and legislative provisions, setting out advice that the Chief Executive complied with the ultra vires requirements issued by the OPR throughout the current review of the County Plan by advising the members of the Council that they were legally obliged to dezone lands which they had decided were suitable for sustainable development when the 2017 Local Area Plans were adopted.

Adds that in the case of the subject site this led to the de zoning of a site on which the Chief Executive had previously decided to grant permission under Planning Register Ref. No. 18/06310 having regard to the location of the site within the key village. Argues that by acceding to the OPR's demands to dezone land that the Planning Authority had recently determined to be suitable for development, the planning authority could be considered to contravene their obligations under Section 10(2) of the Planning Act.

	<p>Submits that the CE’s report on the submissions made in response to the Draft County Plan sought to justify the rezoning of some of the previously zoned residential lands in Glounthaune on the general grounds of there being sufficient land zoned for residential development in Glounthaune to meet the growth target identified for the village and submits that this confirms that the rezoning was an indirect result of the Planning Authority’s decision to comply with the ultra vires requirement of the OPR, adding an opinion that there is no legal basis for a planning authority to base a decision made under Section 10(2) in regard to the extent of residential zones on the opinion of the Planning Regulator where this opinion conflicts with the views of the Members and relies on misrepresentation of advisory targets and guidelines as mandatory legal requirements.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment No. 4.2.2.1 and 4.2.6.4 – sets out that these Amendments are proposed to reduce the scale of development in the Key Villages to match the reduction in the Core Strategy targets which was required by the OPR but without prescription as to precisely how the reduced targets should be implemented for each settlement. Submits that the way in which Cork County Council decided to implement the OPR’s demand for reductions is, in the case of Glounthaune, contrary to what the OPR had intended, quoting extracts of the OPR submission.</p> <p>Submits that the fact that Glounthaune is designated as a Key Village with direct access to the suburban rail service indicates that the OPR did not intend that Glounthaune would be subject to drastic cuts in population and housing targets. Finds that the Chief Executive has not availed of the opportunity of the amendment phase of the review to achieve a more sustainable distribution of the inadequate targets in the Core Strategy and suggests this could be achieved by transferring some of the Core Strategy targets to settlements which have a rail connection, water services capacity and an active housing market.</p> <p>Submits that the Council has taken a generally dismissive attitude to the submissions by the owners of lands in Glounthaune which it states were previously zoned, serviced and permitted for residential development and have been rezoned in the Draft County Plan, and submits an opinion that the Chief Executive’s response failed to consider the legitimate interests of the owners of lands which have been rezoned in the Draft County Plan as the main priority throughout the review process was to bring the extent of the zoned residential land into line with the OPRs ultra vires requirements. The proposed amendment stage of the County Plan review should have been used to develop a more balanced and legally correct approach which would revise the Core Strategy based on critical analysis, regard to regional spatial and public transport strategies, review of deliverability of previously zoned lands and redesignation of previously zoned lands as residential reserve. Argues that instead proposed amendments 4.2.2.1 and 4.2.6.4 reduce the target for Glounthaune and increase those for Watergrasshill and Carrignavar which it states have poor public transport connectivity and where the existing water treatment plants have consistently failed to comply with the terms of their water discharge licenses.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment No. 4.2.8.9 – submits that this is supposed to provide an update and amendment of tables 4.2.18 and table 4.2.19 in order to show how the Core Strategy targets will be achieved on the ground and that it should also include an amendment to Objective GN-GO-01 to reflect the updated population and housing figures but that, as the text of the Proposed Amendment does not include the amended versions of tables 4.2.18 and 4.2.19 or the revised figures for objective GN-GO-01, these changes are to be adopted without public consultation.</p> <p>Finds this significant as it states that it is not possible to justify the scale of the proposed rezoning without knowing what revised assumptions have been made in the case of table 4.2.18 in regard to: the number of units which could be delivered within the built footprint; the number of units which could be delivered on the remaining residential zones and the estimated net residential area zoned.</p> <p>Sets out an extract from the Chief Executives Report on submissions to the Draft with</p>
--	--

	<p>regard to subjects lands at Glounthaune stating that any decision to dezone land which has recently been permitted for housing development by Cork County Council and is the subject of a current SHD application to An Bord Pleanala has the potential to impose a major financial loss on a developer. Seeks clarification as to whether the reduced target for Glounthaune in the amended draft of the County Plan was primarily dictated by the ultra vires submission by the OPR, whether there will be sufficient land zoned, serviced and available to active developers to ensure that the Core Strategy target for Glounthaune will definitely be delivered within the Plan period and whether the criteria used for the selection of land for zoning were consistently applied and took due account of the landowners' capacity to deliver the targets and that there would be sufficient connectivity to all social community and retail facilities and not just to the rail station.</p> <p>Submits that justification of the extent of residential zoning in the proposed amended draft plan is important as there are other amendments proposed for Glounthaune which require flood risk assessment which may reduce development capacity, extend the development boundary to include land that was part of the Metropolitan Greenbelt since 2005 and which extend GN-R-01 and increase its density despite a current planning application demonstrating that a density in excess of 25/ha cannot be achieved. Also submits that provision for extended zoning and higher density is made without requiring the owners of those lands to make any provision for community facilities.</p> <p>Submits that the net effect of the OPR's intervention regarding subject lands is that lands previously zoned residential have been rezoned entirely for community use even though the mix of residential and community use on the site which was previously agreed with the planning authority and the adjoining school would make adequate provision for any community facilities required in this part of Glounthaune.</p> <p>Submits an opinion that there is no rational basis for the assumption in the Chief Executive's report that the subject site has sufficient connectivity to provide community facilities for the entire settlement but is "too peripheral" for a housing development even though it immediately adjoins the existing primary school.</p> <p>Concludes that, given the restrictions imposed by Section 12(10)(c) it would appear that the only means available to the Planning Authority to address the issues raised above would be to amend the current zoning map for Glounthaune to designate all non-commercial areas within the proposed development boundary for a mix of residential and community uses with the appropriate mix of houses and community facilities required for each site to be determined at the planning application stage, stating that unless this approach is adopted, there may be an obligation to apply to the High Court for a judicial review of the County Plan, when adopted, and an order requiring the Planning Authority to issue a new Draft County Plan in accordance with Section 11 of the Planning Act.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the</p>

	<p>county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p> <p>With regard to the submission subject site this does not relate to a Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, and responded to, they cannot be considered further at this stage.</p> <p>In relation to Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.9 the amendment states that table 4.2.18 Glounthaune Population, Housing Supply and Residential Land Area, and table 4.2.19 Glounthaune Population 2006-2028 will be updated and amended to reflect changes to the Core Strategy. This Proposed Amendment also refers readers to Proposed Amendments to Volume One of the Plan for details regarding changes to the Core Strategy, and refers readers in particular to Proposed Amendment 1.2.13 which sets out the Core Strategy growth target for the settlements, including for Glounthaune. The details to be provided in the revised Glounthaune tables have therefore been provided in Proposed Amendment 1.2.13 which would have allowed the submitter to give this consideration.</p> <p>The submission states that the intervention of the OPR has led to the dezoning of a site on which the Chief Executive decided in February 2019 to grant permission under Planning Register Ref. No. 18/06310. However this site was zoned GN-C-01 for the provision of community facilities in the Draft Plan and is not subject of a Proposed Amendment. The planning application referred to was refused by An Bord Pleanála.</p> <p>With regard to GN-R-01, which it is proposed to amend, see the response to submission PADP401448816 in this document in relation to Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.2.</p> <p>The submission raises concerns regarding the growth target for Glounthaune and delivery of same. The proposed amendment to the GN-R-01 zoning gave rise to a reduction in the growth target for Glounthaune from 420 residential units to 379. It is expected that this target will be delivered primarily through the development of land zoned in the Draft Plan. It is not anticipated that lands shown as having flood risk in Glounthaune will reduce development capacity. The minor boundary extensions that are proposed will not contribute significantly to the growth target of Glounthaune.</p> <p>While the submission also raises a concern regarding an increase in the targets for Watergrasshill (to 149) and Carrignavar (to 32), the modest growth targets proposed for both settlements are substantially lower than that of Glounthaune and are considered appropriate to the levels of services, employment and facilities in both villages.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.2.13 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.2.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.6.4 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.8.9 with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Ruden Homes Ltd.

Ref. No.	PADP401371136
Proposed Amendment No.	1.2.13; 4.2.2.1; 4.2.6.4, and 4.2.8.9
Submission Summary	This submission is a duplicate of submission reference PADP401346381
Chief Executive's Response	See submission PADP401346381 above.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See submission PADP401346381 above.
Interested Party	Whitechurch Development Committee
Ref. No.	PADP401427781
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.13.1, 4.2.13.2
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendments 4.2.13.1, 4.2.13.2 stating that it welcomes inclusion of text regarding the potential to extend a pedestrian/cycleway from the village to Dromgariff lane and of text referring to existing facilities at Whitechurch and Waterloo Community Centre. States that the construction of a gym adjacent to dressing rooms is underway and that plans are advanced for the development of 2 new Tennis Courts. Submits a request for an amendment regarding the extension to the graveyard to be included stating that the extension is long overdue and of concern to the community as the graveyard is full and that lands, adjacent to the graveyard are available for this extension.
Chief Executive's Response	The support for Proposed Amendments 4.2.13.1, 4.2.13.2 is noted. Provisions of plan would not preclude the provision of an extension to the graveyard subject to normal proper planning and sustainable development considerations.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.13.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.13.2 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Whitechurch Dromgariff Footpath Development Group
Ref. No.	PADP401448852
Proposed Amendment No.	4.2.13.1
Submission Summary	This submission proposes the development of a footpath between Whitechurch Village to the bottom of Dromgariff Lane to provide a safe environment for residents to walk to village/school/church/community centre etc. while also extending the existing, very popular, 3.5km Sli Geal Health Walk route to provide an amenity for all of the community. Sets out a rationale for this including Whitechurch population of 3,000 people, 57 households along route between Whitechurch village and Dromgariff Lane, route being off

	<p>main road, Children’s House Montessori school being within walking distance of village but not accessible by footpath with its location on the main road presenting a safety concern, and potential of proposed footpath to complete the Slí na Sláinte route providing a safe environment for all who currently walk the route between Whitechurch community centre (Slí Geal 3.5Km Health walk)) and the Dromgarraff lane loop(7Km).</p> <p>Includes images of route including proposed 1.5km footpath and location of Montessori school.</p> <p>States that a local residents’ group in Whitechurch has come together to drive a petition for the re-development of a footpath between the Village and Dromgarraff Lane, submitting that in recent years the volume of traffic has rendered this main road unsafe for residents who traditionally walked to services. Submits that a survey of local residents found that a footpath previously existed along this route.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>As this submission is a detailed proposal for the development of a footpath between Whitechurch village and Dromgarraff Lane, along with a rationale for same, it is likely that it supports Proposed Amendment 4.2.13.1 which is to add text to the plan stating that there may be potential to extend a pedestrian/cycleway from the north of the village to Dromgarraff Lane to facilitate the creation of a Slí na Sláinte Loop walk.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.2.13.1 with No Modification.</p>

5 East Cork MD

The submissions for the **East Cork MD** are organised as follows:

General submissions for East Cork MD see pages 97-124 of this Report, Volume Two Part 2(a).

Submissions on the **Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 Broomfield, Midleton**, East Cork MD **See Volume Two Part 2(b)**.

Submissions on the **Proposed Amendment No 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada**, East Cork MD **See Volume Two Part 2(c) (A-K) and Volume Two Part 2 (d) (L-Z)**

General submissions for Midleton

Interested Party	Athena Private Investments Limited
Ref. No.	PADP401448017
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.18
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to part of the MD – X-01 lands in Midleton which are the subject of part (b) of proposed amendment no. 4.3.3.18. Submission expresses concern that the lands (6.1acres), which have recently been acquired by Athena Private Assets Limited (APAL), have gone from having a mixed-use zoning in the Draft Plan to a proposed Green infrastructure zoning as part of the proposed amendments following the updated Strategic flood Risk Assessment.</p> <p>The submission contends that the subject lands form part of the town centre and that the lands in the ownership of APAL (i.e. to the east of the river) should be included as part of the existing built up area/residential area or should retain the mixed use MD X-01 zoning as provided in the 2017 MD Local Area Plan and the 2021 Draft CDP. Development should be allowed on the eastern portion of the lands with flood compatible uses located to the west of the lands. The submission states that these lands are the optimum choice for residential development due to their proximity to Midleton Railway Station and the Town Centre, can be developed to meet ambitious population and housing targets and can be delivered in the short term. It is considered that the lands are within the town and can be developed, supported by a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, to accommodate growth in a sustainable location.</p> <p>Submission indicates that it supports the Draft Plan approach to having a single plan for the town and environs (replacing the Town Plan) and the promotion of sustainable development in locations connected by rail to reduce car dependency. Submission also supports the principle of town centre densification and consolidation of built-up areas but suggests a more innovative, flexible and pioneering approach could have been taken in the preparation of the Draft Plan in terms of the approach to mapping of existing and new development areas, the identification of new areas for residential use and the introduction of a zoning matrix. Submission notes the national policy supports the provision of housing within close proximity to employment nodes as well as public transport provision. It is considered that the lands the subject of this submission are suitable in this</p>

	<p>regard given their proximity to the rail station, employment and residential areas, and that there is still an opportunity to further improve the pattern and nature of urban development within Midleton by reconsidering proposals for this site.</p> <p>Submission continues to outline the National, Regional and Local planning policy context of the site in terms of the provisions of the National Planning Framework, Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy, County Development Plan 2014, Local Area Plan 2017, Midleton Town Development Plan 2010 etc. It notes the demand for housing in the area and the need to increase the delivery of housing and considers that the lands the subject of this submission, if well designed and well considered can be developed and withstand a 1 in a 1,000 year flood event. Submission therefore argues for the reinstatement of the zoning.</p> <p>The submission includes a flood risk assessment report prepared by Byrne Looby. The report outlines that when the Midleton Flood Relief Scheme [FRS] currently being developed is constructed, including the provision of a flood defence wall along the east bank of the Owenacurra River, then there will be opportunity to develop the site as flood plain storage will no longer be an issue. It is considered that the flood defence for the site [when development is proposed] is likely to depend on raised ground levels rather than a flood defence wall in order to mitigate the residual risk due to overtopping or breach of a flood defence wall. It is argued that the report supports maintaining the development objectives of 'Mixed Use' [X-01] for the site and that such would not result in inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding, would not increase flood risk elsewhere and that any residual flood risks can be managed effectively.</p> <p>Submission concludes by requested that the amendment not be adopted and that lands instead be included within the existing built-up area or that the MD-X-01 objective be retained and amended to include a requirement for 'all development proposals shall be accompanied by a site-specific flood risk assessment'.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The development of Flood Zones in Midleton has followed the same approach as used throughout the county. This has delivered a Stage 2 SFRA, which is an appropriate level of detail for a county-wide plan and is in accordance with the Planning Guidelines. The flood zone mapping in Midleton was developed utilising the most up to date model outputs available from the Midleton Flood Relief Scheme project. Whilst it is acknowledged in the SFRA that site specific studies at development management stage may produce flood extents which are larger or smaller than those used to derive the Flood Zones, it has not been the approach that a Stage 3 assessment has been carried out for specific sites in County Cork as part of the SFRA.</p> <p>The site spans the east and west sides of the Owenacurra river. To the east of the Owenacurra, the site is largely within Flood Zone A and B. To the west of the Owenacurra there is some flooding from the Owenacurra River, although the primary source of flooding is from the Water Rock Stream. A significant portion of the site is within Flood Zone B, with another relatively large area of encroachment and ponding of the 1% AEP event, leaving a proportion of the site within Flood Zone A. Land to the west of this part of the site is largely within Flood Zone C.</p> <p>The presence of a potential, or constructed, flood relief scheme in Midleton does not and will not alter the flood zones because the Flood Guidelines state clearly</p>

	<p>that the presence of flood protection structures should be ignored in determining flood zones. This is because areas protected by flood defences still carry a residual risk of flooding from overtopping or breach of defences and the fact that there may be no guarantee that the defence will be maintained in perpetuity. However, the outputs from the early stages of the FRS have been reviewed and approved by OPW and form the baseline assessment for the scheme in Midleton and the basis of the Flood Zones in the town.</p> <p>Flood Defences are designed to protect existing properties and it is not intended that they facilitate new development in areas outside the core of the settlement where Part 1 and/or 2 of the Justification Test have been failed. Even within the core of the settlement, the defences do not automatically facilitate new development, but will allow for a reassessment of associated flood risks.</p> <p>As outlined above, substantial parts of the site are within Flood Zone A and B based on the best currently available information. The Council have examined the Site Specific FRA and it has not provided sufficient justification for moving away from the outputs of the FRS. It is considered appropriate and consistent to continue to use the outputs (flood extents) from the flood relief scheme which provide a comprehensive and consistent data set across the town and its contributing catchment area which can be attributed to the 1% (Flood Zone A) and 0.1% (Flood Zone B) extents. On this basis, the conclusions of Part 3 of the Plan Making Justification Test remain unchanged i.e. the Justification Test has been applied and failed. Water compatible development is therefore the appropriate form of land use on the portion of the lands subject of proposed amendment 4.3.3.18, and green infrastructure zoning should be retained. It is considered premature to permit development in Flood Zone A and B on either the east or west of the site until the flood relief scheme has been completed. At that time a reappraisal of flood risks to the site can be undertaken, which will include consideration of residual risks, including scheme failure and climate change.</p> <p>The SFRA's application of the Justification Test across the county is considered to be consistent.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.11.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.20 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.18 (a) with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.18 (b) with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Garry, Suzanne and Simon Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP401246888
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.41
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission on behalf of Fitzgerald Family Bakery Limited requests that Cork County Council set aside the proposal to rezone part of MD-T-06 for residential use by way of Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.41.</p> <p>The submission requests that the site be either reinstated to the existing town centre use or, more appropriately, re-designated as Green Infrastructure to act as</p>

	<p>a buffer between the Owenacurra Business Park, in particular Fitzgerald’s Bakery, and the newly developed Respond Housing scheme to the south.</p> <p>The submission states that they currently employ 130 people in the business and operate on a 24-hour 7 day a week basis. The submission outlines concerns in relation to potential complaints from future residential occupants on the T-06 lands to the immediate south and considers that the business and its operations are incompatible with a residential zoning objective.</p> <p>The submission also refers to a refusal for residential development on the site by ABP in 2020 under case reference ABP-308073- 20 (19/06873) which stated that “the siting of the proposed residential development, in close proximity to light industrial units and accessing the distributor road which serves the retail park, would conflict with established neighbouring uses and would be substandard in terms of residential amenity.”</p> <p>The submission also refers to Objectives EE 4-3 and EE 4-4 in the CDP 2014 which refer to the development of industry in appropriate locations and also to Objective ZU 18-10 in the current plan in relation to Existing Business Use zonings.</p> <p>To conclude the submission states that if this proposed amendment proceeds it will significantly negatively impact this long established, successful business, and will jeopardise existing employment and proposed investment in the growth of the business.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission is not in favour of the proposed amendment 4.3.3.41 to include undeveloped lands at Market Green, Midleton for Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and other Uses.</p> <p>The lands are presently zoned for Town Centre Uses in the Draft Plan as part of the MD-T-06 lands for which the objective for the site is ‘to provide for the development of non-retail town centre uses including office based employment, leisure, civic or healthcare uses and retail warehousing uses selling bulky format goods. This area is not suited to comparison shopping.’</p> <p>It is proposed that the north western undeveloped portion of these lands be included for Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Uses. The Planning Authority considers that this area of land is suitable for this use given the close proximity of these lands to the town centre and existing residential development.</p> <p>Consideration of normal planning criteria, including the relationship between any proposed uses on this site and existing uses on adjoining lands will be considered at the development management stage.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.41 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Ger Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP401355335
Proposed Amendment No.	
Submission Summary	This submission references submission ref number DCDP346202400 which was made to the Draft CDP. The submission states that local knowledge and site particulars have not been taken into account in coming to the decision not to change the zoning from Green Infrastructure to Existing Residential/ Mixed Residential and Other uses. The submission states that the intention in requesting

	<p>this rezoning was to provide sites for three family members on our family owned land. The submission states that in a time where the provision of housing is a central government policy and a Local government policy they can see no reason why this application could not be included in the CDP. The submission made to the Draft Plan outlines the request in further detail setting out the policy context and stating that the Green Infrastructure zoning is of limited merit on this site currently where it is not accessible by the public and that a change in zoning to Existing Residential/ Mixed Residential and Other Uses would be a better use of this sustainably located site and would not preclude the development of the remainder of the landholding for recreational and amenity uses if considered necessary. The submission also comments on the GAA's development application which it states fulfils the Green Infrastructure requirement on this site.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at his stage.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>No Further Action Required.</p>
Interested Party	<p>Glenveagh Properties</p>
Ref. No.	<p>PADP401417074</p>
Proposed Amendment No.	<p>1.2.13 4.3.2.1 4.3.3.4</p>
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.4 in Lakeview, where lands are proposed to be recategorized as 'residential reserve'. The submission states that the primary reason provided by Cork County Council for the proposed amendment to MD-R-04 in the Draft Plan is based on a submission by the Office of the Planning Regulatory, which this submission rejects. The submission also refers to Proposed Amendments 1.2.13 and 4.3.21 and states that Proposed Amendments to the Draft Cork County Development Plan have included significant changes to not only the quantum of residential lands being zoned but also in the overall approach to the zoning of residential lands in the County.</p> <p>The submission states that it is very late in the review process to be introducing significant changes to the Draft Plan and it does not consider that the Council has had the opportunity to undertake the level of analysis and assessment which is needed for the level of change being introduced.</p> <p>The main points of this submission are as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Proposed Amendment No. 1.2.13: this amendment deals with the further reductions in the County Core Strategy targets and is based on the presumption that the recommendations made in the OPR submission are binding. In the submitter's opinion the recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are not binding on the Planning Authority. <p>The submission refers to the decision of Humphreys J. in <i>Cork County Council v the Minister for Housing Local Government and Heritage</i> [2021] IEHC 683 [2021 No. 189 JR]. The judgement commenced by referring to previous case law that: "Ministerial guidelines are what they are described to be, namely guidelines, and while they cannot by statute be ignored, and indeed while the obligation to have regard to them is one stated in positive terms, they are not prescriptive or mandatory."</p> <p>It was in that context, that paragraph 36 of the Court decision found that: "The misunderstanding that permeates the approach of the OPR and the Minister is</p>

	<p>unfortunately fundamental. SPPRs contained in s. 28 guidelines are mandatory, but otherwise the duty in respect of s. 28 guidelines is to have regard to them, not to comply with them.”</p> <p>The submission considers that Cork County Council appears to have uncritically accepted the position adopted by the OPR in relation to the dezoning/rezoning of certain lands.</p> <p>- Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.2.1: this submission is a consequential amendment arising from the Council’s inclusion of a revised Core Strategy and proposes a drastic reduction in the scale of development for Midleton. The submission states that consideration needs to be given to the appropriateness of re-zoning 85.99 hectares of land in Midleton at the final stages of the development plan review process and the impact of these changes over the lifetime of the Development Plan.</p> <p>- Proposed amendment 4.3.3.4: These lands form part of the larger residential zoning objective MD-R-04. Cork County Council are currently considering an application for 97 no. units on the western portion of the zoned lands at Whitegate Road. Outside of the Water-Rock Masterplan Area, there are limited opportunities for residential development to provide housing for the future population growth of the town. The submission considers that these lands are ideally place for continued residential development in Midleton and that the proposed re-zoning of the lands to Residential Reserve is inappropriate. The submission states that at a minimum these lands should be considered as part of the Residential Additional Provision but consider that their retaining their residential zoning will best facilitate the delivery of much need housing in Midleton.</p> <p>The submission concludes by stating that it rejects Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.4 in its entirety.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>This submission disagrees with proposed amendments 1.2.13. and 4.3.2.1 which make changes to the Core Strategy and reduce the growth target for Midleton. The submission also seeks the rejection of Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.4 which proposes to change part of the MD – R-04 lands at Lakeview Midleton from Residential to Residential Reserve.</p> <p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned</p>

	<p>lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.2.13. with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.2.1. with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.4 with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Jim Luby & Tom Rodgers, Joint Statutory Receivers over certain assets of John and Elaine Barry
Ref. No.	PADP401399474
Proposed Amendment No.	1.11.1 4.3.3.36
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.36 at Baneshane, where it is proposed to amend the boundary of MD-R-01 and rezone section of the site that is at risk of flooding as Green Infrastructure MD-GC-19, for open space suitable for water compatible uses. The submission also refers to Proposed Amendment No. 1.11.1 – Flood Risk Management.</p> <p>The submission states that proposed amendment would result in a proportion of an approved Part 8 Residential Scheme being partially rezoned from Residential to Open Space. The submission acknowledges that the amendment is an attempt to address issues raised in the Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) however it proposes that the amendment is modified so that it is consistent with the existing permission and Part 8 approval on the lands for 70 dwelling units.</p> <p>The submission states that if adopted, Amendment Ref. 4.3.3.36 would result in an inconsistent zoning boundary on our clients' lands. It is submitted therefore that Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.36 is modified so that a portion of the western section which overlaps with the approved residential scheme is omitted from the proposed amendment and remains as part of the MD-R-01 objective.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>It is proposed to zone the majority of land within Flood Zone A / B for water compatible uses, which is in accordance with the Planning Guidelines and follows the outcome of the Justification Test which has been failed for the site. The submission requests the boundary of the open space be realigned to be consistent with a previously granted planning permission. It should be noted that even if this were to occur, highly and less vulnerable development would still not be permitted in Flood Zone A / B, so redesign of the housing estate would be required in the event of a resubmission or new planning application on the site. It</p>

	<p>is recommended the amendment is adopted to show a clear delineation between the water compatible and highly / less vulnerable land uses.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.11.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.36 with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Liam Walsh Agri Limited
Ref. No.	PADP401397343
Proposed Amendment No.	<p>1.11.1 4.3.3.20 4.3.3.18 (a) 4.3.3.18 (b)</p>
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to Proposed Amendments 1.11.1, 4.3.3.20, 4.3.3.18 (a) and 4.3.3.18 (b).</p> <p>The submission relates to the western section of the MD-X-01 lands and states that the proposed amendments would result in the vast majority of their client's site being rezoned from mixed-use to green infrastructure. The submission outlines the primary reason provided for the proposed amendment is based on updated flood risk mapping for all of MD-X-01, however the submission states that in the case of these lands the updated mapping is more favourable than that previously included in the 2017 East Cork Municipal District Local Area Plan (LAP) and the Draft 2022 Cork County Development Plan (CDP). The submission includes a Flood Risk Assessment which was carried out by Irish Hydrodata and also states that the lands were surveyed by Precise Control.</p> <p>The main points outlined in the submission are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There has been no change in National Policy since the 2017 LAP or Draft CDP was issued; • MD-X-01 West and MD-X-01 East have different sources of flood risk and should have been assessed separately • The updated flood risk mapping actually shows less flood risk on the site than the 2017 LAP and draft CDP; • Irish Hydrodata using actual site survey data show even less Flood risk than OPW/Arup maps in terms of MD-X-01 West lands • Given the more favourable flood risk mapping on our clients' site now available to the Council, there is no justification that it results in a much less favourable zoning on the site; • The Council appears to have been extremely inconsistent in relation to the application of the updated Strategic Flood Risk mapping and associated justification tests; • The MD-X-01 zoning objective in the draft Plan included less vulnerable uses at ground floor level and a requirement for a site-specific flood risk assessment/flood risk management measures. Given that the recent flood risk mapping and Irish Hydro Data report are more favourable to these lands, the submission states that a move to the less favourable zoning is completely unjustified.

	<p>The submission includes the text of Proposed Amendment 1.11.1 – ‘Flood Risk Management’, Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.18 – ‘Omission of MD-X-01’ and Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.20 ‘Strategic Flood Risk Assessment’ and includes an assessment of the following amendments;</p> <p><u>Assessment of Proposed Amendment No. 1.11.1:</u></p> <p>The submission welcomes the fact that the Council has updated flood risk mapping available to inform the development plan review, however given that the updated flood mapping shows a reduction in flood risk, they cannot understand how this would result in a much less favourable zoning on the site. Submission queries the weight given to the recommendations made by the OPR and expresses the view that the Chief Executive’s implicit assumption that recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are legally binding on the Members of a Planning Authority is inconsistent with the decision of <i>Humphreys J. in Cork County Council v the Minister for Housing Local Government and Heritage [2021] IEHC 683 [2021 No. 189 JR]</i>. Reference is made to case law findings that “guidelines are not prescriptive or mandatory” and that the duty is to “have regard to them, not to comply with them”.</p> <p>The submission states that Cork County Council appears to have uncritically accepted the position adopted by the OPR in relation to the de-zoning of certain lands following the publication of updated flood zone mapping. The submission outlines two fundamental issues with this:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The updated flood zone mapping is more favourable to our clients’ lands than the 2017 LAP and the draft 2022 CDP. 2. By acceding to the OPR’s demands to dezone land that the Planning Authority had recently determined to be suitable for development (with less favourable flood mapping), the planning authority could be considered to contravene their obligations under Section 10(2) of the Planning Act that: a development plan shall include objectives for— (a) the zoning of land for the use solely or primarily of particular areas for particular purposes (whether residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, as open space or otherwise, or a mixture of those uses), where and to such extent as the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, in the opinion of the planning authority, requires the uses to be indicated; (emphasis added). <p><u>Assessment of Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.18:</u></p> <p>The Updated Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) was published with the proposed amendments on 18th January 2022 and states that MD-X-01 fails the justification test on the basis that “the site is largely within Flood Zone A and B. Although there is a flood relief scheme planned for Midleton, even with this scheme in place residual risks for residential development will be significant.”</p> <p>The submission does not agree with the SFRA’s conclusions in relation to the subject site i.e. part of the western portion of the MD-X-01 zoning. Submission disagrees with the SFRA’s conclusion that the western section of the MD-X-01 site is “largely within Flood Zone A and B”, and points out that the updated flood risk mapping has reduced the flood risk on the site.</p>
--	---

	<p>The submission includes 1/100 and 1/1000 flood zone maps from the draft CDP and the updated SFRA.</p> <p>The submission outlines that, in relation to the residual risk, no flood defence wall is proposed by the OPW for the western section MD-X-01 and therefore there is no residual risk in relation to MD-X-01 west. Submission states that this is because the 'OPW clearly identified the source of MD-X-01 from the west along the side of the rail line and proposed to address this through a 2m x 2m culvert along the south side of the rail line through MD-X-01 to the river would largely remove/reduce both the 1/100 and 1/1000 Flood risks from MD-X-01'.</p> <p>Submission indicates that the Council's conclusions in relation to residual risks are not therefore justified in relation to these lands and questions why the SFRA completely overlooks the potential of the land for less vulnerable uses and went straight to a "water compatible development" zoning. This is not in accordance with Table 3.1 of the 2009 Guidelines on Flood Risk Management and is also inconsistent with other zoning decisions in the draft CDP and the Proposed Amendments.</p> <p>The submission notes that in accordance with the Section 4 of the 2009 Guidelines, ('Designing for Residual Flood Risk of the Technical Appendices'), any development proposal on our clients' site will be subject to a site-specific FRA and will demonstrate that appropriate mitigation measures can be put in place and that residual risks can be managed to acceptable levels. Also, and in accordance with the draft CDP (MD-X-01) zoning objective, this will include the provision of less vulnerable uses at ground-floor level and the use of flood-resistant/resilient construction measures.</p> <p>The submission includes examples of town centre and special policy zonings in Bandon, Fermoy and Midleton where zoning in areas of flood risk were deemed to have passed the Justification Test and were retained and argues that this demonstrates inconsistency in the Council's zoning decisions in the Proposed Amendments. In considering these amendments and in particular Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.18, the submission requests that the Council have regard to the approach adopted in Bandon, Fermoy and Midleton town centre which is completely at odds with the approach adopted on the subject site.</p> <p>In conclusion the submission proposes that either Proposed Amendment No 4.3.3.18 is rejected in its entirety, or that Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.18 a) is extended to include all of the western section of the MD-X-01 site included in the Draft Plan.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The development of Flood Zones in Midleton has followed the same approach as used throughout the county. This has delivered a Stage 2 SFRA, which is an appropriate level of detail for a county-wide plan and is in accordance with the Planning Guidelines. The flood zone mapping in Midleton was developed utilising the most up to date model outputs available from the Midleton Flood Relief Scheme project. Whilst it is acknowledged in the SFRA that site specific studies at development management stage may produce flood extents which are larger or smaller than those used to derive the Flood Zones, it has not been the approach</p>

	<p>that a Stage 3 assessment has been carried out for specific sites in County Cork as part of the SFRA.</p> <p>The site spans the east and west sides of the Owenacurra river. To the east of the Owenacurra, the site is largely within Flood Zone A and B. To the west of the Owenacurra there is some flooding from the Owenacurra River, although the primary source of flooding is from the Water Rock Stream. A significant portion of the site is within Flood Zone B, with another relatively large area of encroachment and ponding of the 1% AEP event, leaving a proportion of the site within Flood Zone A. Land to the west of this part of the site is largely within Flood Zone C.</p> <p>The presence of a potential, or constructed, flood relief scheme in Midleton does not and will not alter the flood zones because the Flood Guidelines state clearly that the presence of flood protection structures should be ignored in determining flood zones. This is because areas protected by flood defences still carry a residual risk of flooding from overtopping or breach of defences and the fact that there may be no guarantee that the defence will be maintained in perpetuity. However, the outputs from the early stages of the FRS have been reviewed and approved by OPW and form the baseline assessment for the scheme in Midleton and the basis of the Flood Zones in the town.</p> <p>Flood Defences are designed to protect existing properties and it is not intended that they facilitate new development in areas outside the core of the settlement where Part 1 and/or 2 of the Justification Test have been failed. Even within the core of the settlement, the defences do not automatically facilitate new development, but will allow for a reassessment of associated flood risks.</p> <p>As outlined above, substantial parts of the site are within Flood Zone A and B based on the best currently available information. The Council have examined the Site Specific FRA and it has not provided sufficient justification for moving away from the outputs of the FRS. It is considered appropriate and consistent to continue to use the outputs (flood extents) from the flood relief scheme which provide a comprehensive and consistent data set across the town and its contributing catchment area which can be attributed to the 1% (Flood Zone A) and 0.1% (Flood Zone B) extents. On this basis, the conclusions of Part 3 of the Plan Making Justification Test remain unchanged i.e. the Justification Test has been applied and failed. Water compatible development is therefore the appropriate form of land use on the portion of the lands subject of proposed amendment 4.3.3.18, and green infrastructure zoning should be retained. It is considered premature to permit development in Flood Zone A and B on either the east or west of the site until the flood relief scheme has been completed. At that time a reappraisal of flood risks to the site can be undertaken, which will include consideration of residual risks, including scheme failure and climate change.</p> <p>The SFRA’s application of the Justification Test across the county is considered to be consistent.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p>	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.11.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.20 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.18 (a) with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.18 (b) with No Modification.</p>

Interested Party	O'Flynn Construction Co. Unlimited Company
Ref. No.	PADP401283627
Proposed Amendment No.	1.2.13, 4.3.2.1 4.3.3.16
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.16 in Baneshane, where lands are proposed to be recategorized as 'residential reserve'. The submission states that the primary reason provided by Cork County Council for the proposed amendment to MD-R-28 in the Draft Plan is based on a submission by the Office of the Planning Regulatory, which the submission rejects. The main points of the submission are as follows;</p> <p>- Proposed Amendment No. 1.2.13: this amendment deals with the further reductions in the County Core Strategy targets and is based on the presumption that the recommendations made in the OPR submission are binding. In the submitter's opinion the recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are not binding on the Planning Authority.</p> <p>The submission refers to the decision of Humphreys J. in <i>Cork County Council v the Minister for Housing Local Government and Heritage</i> [2021] IEHC 683 [2021 No. 189 JR]. The judgement commenced by referring to previous case law that: "Ministerial guidelines are what they are described to be, namely guidelines, and while they cannot by statute be ignored, and indeed while the obligation to have regard to them is one stated in positive terms, they are not prescriptive or mandatory.</p> <p>- Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.2.1: this submission is a consequential amendment arising from the Council's inclusion of a revised Core Strategy and proposes a drastic reduction in the scale of development for Midleton. The submission states that this proposed amendments and proposed amendment 4.3.3.16 have failed to follow the advice of the OPR and the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) to allocate a sufficiently high growth target to the rail corridor and Key Settlement of Midleton which is well connected to the suburban rail service.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The submission also states that from a sequential perspective it does not make sense that lands located next to a designated neighbourhood centre and a school are re-categorised as 'Residential Reserve'. <p>The submission concludes by stating that it rejects Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.16 in its entirety.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission disagrees with proposed amendments 1.2.13. and 4.3.2.1 which make changes to the Core Strategy and reduce the growth target for Midleton. The submission also seeks the rejection of Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.16. which proposes to change the MD – R-28 lands at Banshane Midleton from Residential to Residential Reserve.</p> <p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which</p>

	<p>have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.2.13 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.2.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.16 with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	O'Flynn Construction Co. Unlimited Company
Ref. No.	PADP401395221
Proposed Amendment No.	<p>1.2.13 4.3.2.1 4.3.3.4</p>
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.4 in Lakeview, where lands are proposed to be recategorized from residential to 'residential reserve'. The submission relates to the western section of these lands.</p> <p>The submission states that the primary reason provided by Cork County Council for the proposed amendment to MD-R-04 in the Draft Plan is based on a submission by the Office of the Planning Regulatory, which this submission rejects. The submission also refers to Proposed Amendments 1.2.13 and 4.3.2.1.</p> <p>The main points of this submission are as follows;</p> <p>- Proposed Amendment No. 1.2.13: this amendment deals with further reductions in the County Core Strategy targets and is based on the presumption that the recommendations made in the OPR submission are binding. In the submitter's opinion the recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are not binding and are inconsistent with the decision of Humphreys J. in <i>Cork County Council v the Minister for Housing Local Government and Heritage [2021] IEHC 683 [2021 No. 189 JR]</i>. The judgement commenced by referring to previous case law that:</p>

	<p>“Ministerial guidelines are what they are described to be, namely guidelines, and while they cannot by statute be ignored, and indeed while the obligation to have regard to them is one stated in positive terms, they are not prescriptive or mandatory.”</p> <p>It was in that context, that paragraph 36 of the Court decision found that: “The misunderstanding that permeates the approach of the OPR and the Minister is unfortunately fundamental. SPPRs contained in s. 28 guidelines are mandatory, but otherwise the duty in respect of s. 28 guidelines is to have regard to them, not to comply with them.”</p> <p>The submission considers that Cork County Council appears to have uncritically accepted the position adopted by the OPR in relation to the rezoning/dezoning of certain lands.</p> <p>- Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.2.1: this submission is a consequential amendment arising from the Council’s inclusion of a revised Core Strategy and proposes a drastic reduction in the scale of development for Midleton.</p> <p>The submission states that the proposed amendment has failed to follow the advice of the OPR and the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) to allocate sufficiently high growth targets to the rail corridor and Key Settlement of Midleton which is well connected to the suburban rail service. By acceding to the OPR’s demands to rezone/dezone land that the Planning Authority had recently determined to be suitable for development, the planning authority could be considered to contravene their obligations under Section 10(2) of the Planning Act.</p> <p>- Proposed amendment 4.3.3.4 proposes to re-categorise the eastern section of MD-R-04 to Residential Reserve. The submission states that shortly after the adoption of the 2017 LAP zoning, O’Flynn Construction engaged with Cork County Council, An Bord Pleanála and Irish Water in relation to a Strategic Housing Development (SHD Ref. 302436-18) consisting of the following components: ? 308 residential units (236 no. houses, 72 no. apartments/duplexes): The demolition of out buildings and rear annex’s associated with Lakeview House (a Protected Structure), change of use and extension of Lakeview House to provide a creche; and ? All associated ancillary development works. O’Flynn Construction were unable to progress with the submission of an SHD application due to wastewater infrastructure constraints in the network serving the proposed development</p> <p>In order to progress development on the site, in December 2021 O’Flynn Construction submitted a ‘Phase 1’ planning application for 97 dwellings, creche and on-site wastewater treatment unit. The submission states that their client intends on commencing development in 2022 or as soon as permission is secured on the site and will be looking to develop all of the MD R-04 lands as soon as is practicable. However, and due to the proposed change Ref. 4.3.3.4, their client is now faced with a potential obstacle based on a completely arbitrary zoning boundary on their lands and submit it to be unfair and unreasonable.</p> <p>The submission concludes by stating that it rejects Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.4 in its entirety and proposes that either;</p> <p>A. Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.4 is modified so that the eastern section of our clients’ lands is omitted from the proposed amendment and remain as part of the MD-R-04 objective. or</p> <p>B. Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.4 is rejected in its entirety. Notwithstanding</p>
--	--

	<p>Section 12(10)(c) of the Planning Act, it would appear that the most logical means available to the Planning Authority to address the issues raised in this submission would be to modify Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.4 in accordance with Option A above.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission disagrees with proposed amendments 1.2.13. and 4.3.2.1 which make changes to the Core Strategy and reduce the growth target for Midleton.</p> <p>The submission also deals with Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.4 in Lakeview, where it is proposed to re-categorise part of the MD- R-04 lands from residential to 'residential reserve'. The submission seeks either the rejection of this amendment or the modification of the area of land included in the amendment to ensure part of their lands are omitted from the area being recategorised as residential reserve so they can be retained as residential.</p> <p>The Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p> <p>The adoption of the development plan does not alter the status of any grant of planning permission.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.2.13. with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.2.1. with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.4. with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Paul Moore
Ref. No.	PADP401293888

Proposed Amendment No.	1.2.13 4.3.2.1 4.3.3.8.
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to Proposed Amendments 1.2.13, 4.3.2.1 and 4.3.3.8. The submission states that the proposed amendments would result in a proportion of the MD-R-17 lands at Water-Rock being rezoned from Residential to Residential Additional Provision (RAP). The submission states that the primary reason provided by Cork County Council for the proposed amendment to MD-R-17 is based on a submission by the Office of the Planning Regulatory, which this submission rejects.</p> <p>The submissions main concerns are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Proposed Amendment No. 1.2.13: this amendment deals with further reductions in the County Core Strategy targets and is based on the presumption that the recommendations made in the OPR submission are binding. In the submitter’s opinion the recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are not binding and are inconsistent with the decision of Humphreys J. in <i>Cork County Council v the Minister for Housing Local Government and Heritage</i> [2021] IEHC 683 [2021 No. 189 JR]. The judgement commenced by referring to previous case law that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Ministerial guidelines are what they are described to be, namely guidelines, and while they cannot by statute be ignored, and indeed while the obligation to have regard to them is one stated in positive terms, they are not prescriptive or mandatory.” It was in that context, that paragraph 36 of the Court decision found that: “The misunderstanding that permeates the approach of the OPR and the Minister is unfortunately fundamental. SPPRs contained in s. 28 guidelines are mandatory, but otherwise the duty in respect of s. 28 guidelines is to have regard to them, not to comply with them.” The submission considers that Cork County Council appears to have unequivocally accepted the position adopted by the OPR in relation to the dezoning/rezoning of certain lands. The submission considers that the recommendations made by the OPR in regard to advisory guidelines are not binding on the Planning Authority. - Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.2.1: this submission is a consequential amendment arising from the Council’s inclusion of a revised Core Strategy and proposes a drastic reduction in the scale of development for Midleton. The submission states that the proposed amendment has failed to follow the advice of the OPR and the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) to allocate sufficiently high growth targets to the rail corridor and Key Settlement of Midleton which is well connected to the suburban rail service. - Proposed amendment No.4.3.3.8 is also a consequential amendment arising from the Council’s inclusion of the revised Core Strategy and proposes to re categorise MD-R-17 to Residential Additional Provision (RAP). In the 2017 LAP, the 4.3.3.8 lands comprised the MD-R-18 residential zoning and included the delivery of the important MD-U-03 link road and service corridor which is required to open up the norther portion of the UAE and help to deliver community facilities, including a secondary school. Shortly after the adoption of the 2017 LAP zoning, our client engaged with Cork County Council and Clancy Homes who are now at an advanced stage of preparing a Large-Scale Residential Development (LRD) and phased residential development of our clients’ lands. This phased delivery of our

	<p>clients' lands will be undermined if Proposed Amendment Ref. 4.3.3.8 is adopted. This Proposed Amendment could also have easily been avoided if part of the eastern section of our clients' lands (where there are a number of existing dwellings) was changed to existing built up area.</p> <p>The submission concludes by stating that given the limitations of Section 12(10)(c) of the Planning Act, it would appear that the only option available to the Planning Authority to address the issues raised in this submission would be reject Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.8 in its entirety.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>This submission disagrees with proposed amendments 1.2.13. and 4.3.2.1 which make changes to the Core Strategy and reduce the growth target for Middleton. The submissions also seeks the rejection of Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.8 which proposes to change part of the MD – R-17 lands at Water- Rock in Middleton from Residential to Residential Additional Provision. It is considered that the amendment will undermine the delivery of the Water Rock UEA.</p> <p>Identification of lands as 'Additional Provision' is a new provision introduced by the new Draft Development Plan Guidelines issues in 2021 to ensure sufficient provision of housing lands/sites. The approach recognises that a degree of choice in development sites to be provided locally is desirable to avoid restricting the supply of new housing development through inactivity on a particular landholding or site. The Plan makes it clear that Additional Provision sites are available for residential development throughout the lifetime of the plan, subject to proper planning and sustainable development. In this context it is considered that the designation of the MD – R17 lands as additional provision will not adversely impact on the delivery of the Water-Rock UEA.</p> <p>With regard to the wider Core Strategy issues, the Core Strategy of the Plan has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy. Having regard to evolving public policy and legislative requirements in this area, the changing situation regarding public investment in infrastructure across the county and in the interests of promoting sequential and compact growth, the Council has responded to this and made changes to the Core Strategy and to land use zonings across the County. At all times the Planning Authority has been fully mindful of its responsibilities in terms of the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, the provisions of government policy, guidelines and legislation. The Planning Authority is satisfied that the revisions to the Core Strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represent the best allocation of growth throughout the county for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p>

	With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 1.2.13 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.2.1 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.8 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Residents of Ballinacurra
Ref. No.	PADP401375268
Proposed Amendment No.	
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Ballinacurra in Midleton and raises a number of concerns about the developments that have occurred in around Ballinacurra over the past 20 years. The submission states that the infrastructure needed to support the rise in the number of residential units has not been provided.</p> <p>The submission raises the following concerns;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are no facilities for pedestrians or cyclists. The new cycle path to Midleton is welcomed but the cycling facilities and footpaths within the village are lacking. There is a Council owned wide margin along the R630 which could be developed to allow cyclists and pedestrians safe access to amenities in the area. • The sewage system is over capacity. • The water system is barely functioning. • Repairs are short lived and have left the road surface in bad condition. • Roads are heavily congested particularly the junction of the R629 and the R630. • MD-R-04 – there is a proposal to develop the MD-R-04 with consideration given for a school and a nursing home. The submission is concerned that this will further impact traffic on the R630. Access roads to the N25 are heavily congested and further development south of the N25 will only add to this congestion. • MD-X-03 – The submission notes the proposed amendment to change the wording on this site from Residential to Existing Residential. This site previously had an application for high density housing which was totally inappropriate for a village. The submission notes that no development of this site should occur until the recommendations by ABP with regard to flooding in the area and the danger of the crossroads at Carneys Cross have been addressed. • MD-AG-03 (MD-RR-29) – The submission welcomes this proposed change for the inclusion of a school on this site as Ballinacurra have been looking for a school for years. This site would also be a good location for a park and a playground with these amenities currently lacking in the village. • The submission requests that Ballinacurra be recognized as a village in the new Development Plan which would ensure that any future development in the area would be compatible with that of a village and not a suburb. • Flooding – The flood defense measures being suggested for Midleton will push the rising water further downstream which will in turn increase the risk of flooding for Ballinacurra. The submission asks that areas in the flood plain be de-zoned.

	To conclude the submission says that Ballinacurra has been mentioned in every development plan which reference the lack of amenities however nothing has been done to change that in any of the recent plans. There is an opportunity to include them in this plan.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>In relation to the point raised regarding MD-RR-29 please see the response to OPR Submission in Key Issues Section 2.2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p> <p>In relation to the point raised regarding development on the MD-R-04 site please see response to submission PADP401395221 from O'Flynn Construction and response to submission PADP401417074 from Glenveagh Properties in this report.</p> <p>All other points raised in this submission do not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required.
Interested Party	Walsh Group
Ref. No.	PADP401394612
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.10
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission which was lodged on behalf of the Walsh Group states it has some concerns with the new terminology used in Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.10 but that it has no major objection to the change set out to re-categorise MD-R-19 from Residential to Residential Long Term Strategic and Sustainable Development (FRAP) subject to CCC facilitating the development of these land for residential development during the plan period in accordance with proper planning and development considerations.</p> <p>The submission requests that the Council have regard to the concluding commitment on page 30 of Proposed Amendments to Volume 1, Main Policy Material, wherein the Council states in respect of FRAP lands:</p> <p>"It is intended that these sites are available for residential development throughout the lifetime of the plan, subject to proper planning and development".</p> <p>The submission concludes by saying that this wording in relation to FRAP lands should be sufficient to enable the Walsh Group to bring forward the development of the subject lands at the earliest opportunity pending an appropriate planning and development context and suitable market conditions.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The submission has some concerns with the new terminology used in Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.10 but states that it has no major objection to the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.10 which proposes to change part of the MD – R-19 lands at Water-Rock from Residential to Residential Long Term Strategic and Sustainable Development (FRAP).</p> <p>No changes are proposed to residential provision in relation to Long Term Strategic Lands.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.10 with No Modification.

Submissions for Castlemartyr

Interested Party	Anne Marie Brown
Ref. No.	PADP397762793
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to land at Castlemartyr which is the subject of proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 and which, the submission states, has been vacant and an eyesore in the village. The submission proposes that the lands be rezoned for use as an outdoor recreation/exercise area and a parking area for the village.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission proposes that the lands be rezoned for use a recreation/exercise area and a parking area. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Anthony Kidney
Ref. No.	PADP396696651
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and specially to lands subject of proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submission states that Castlemartyr needs community facilities and a playground or park would be perfect in this area.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that the village needs community facilities and a playground or park in this area. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Castlemartyr Mogeely Community Alert
Ref. No.	PADP396715013
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and states that the Pig Market site in the town would be an ideal location for a Community Amenity Use for both young and old.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission refers to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in

	Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that the village needs additional parking facilities. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Castlemartyr Resort & The Hunted Hog Pub
Ref. No.	PADP397062434
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and the need for a parking area in the village. The submission states that the village is growing both in terms of population and new businesses opening however the lack of parking is having a negative impact on all of the businesses in the village. Consideration needs to be given to the provision of additional parking facilities to secure the future of all stakeholders in the village.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission refers to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that the village needs additional parking facilities. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Castlemartyr Tidy Towns
Ref. No.	PADP396603643
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and specially to lands subject of proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submitter lives and has a business on the Main Street and states that there is a major problem on the Main Street with a lack of parking. The submission states that businesses are losing out because customers cannot get parking. Pathways have been widened in recent years and bollards installed resulting in some parking being removed. The submission refers the land which is the subject of this proposed amendment which is currently vacant and could be used for car parking.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that there is major problem on the main street in Castlemartyr with a lack of parking and that this land is currently vacant and could be used for car parking. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.

Interested Party	Catherine Nestor
Ref. No.	PADP400570812
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and the area known as the Pigmarket which is subject of proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submission states that this area should be used as an amenity/green area with seating and outdoor exercise equipment.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that this area should be used as an amenity/green area for the village. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Colette L'Hostis
Ref. No.	PADP396623729
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and specifically the need for a car park, playground, community amenity area e.g. exercise stations. The submission states that this area, which is the subject of proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3, has always been an eyesore and would be a fantastic addition to the village.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that there is a need for a car park, playground and community amenity area in the village. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Desmond Rothwell
Ref. No.	PADP401129069
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and the area known as the Pigmarket, which is the subject of proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submission states that this area needs to be retained for public amenities, a green area and a playground.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that this site needs to be retained for public

	amenities for the village including a green area and a playground. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Jacqui's Hair salon
Ref. No.	PADP398377900
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and states that car parking is urgently needed for businesses in the village.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission relates to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to make a change to the Draft Plan to change the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that car parking is urgently needed for businesses in Castlemartyr. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	JIM MULLINS
Ref. No.	PADP396745923
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and states that it is in dire need of a community hall and a playground. The submission refers to the site in the town, subject to amendment 4.3.6.3, which it states would be an ideal location for a community hall and a playground and questions if the site levels could be raised to counteract any flood risk issues.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that Castlemartyr needs a community hall and playground and that this site would be an ideal location. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Lorraine Parr
Ref. No.	PADP398090876
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3.
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr. The submission states that this site would be ideal for a car park or a playground for the local area as Castlemartyr does not have a playground.

Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that this site would be ideal for a car park or playground. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Maeve Moroney
Ref. No.	PADP396681546
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed amendment 4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and specifically the area known as the pig market, which is subject of proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submission states this site would be an ideal location for a village playground and park which is badly needed. The submission also states that the children in St Joseph's NS were previously allowed to use the pig market as a playing area and village events were held there in the past.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that Castlemartyr needs a playground and a car park. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification
Interested Party	Margaret Bohane
Ref. No.	PADP397100600
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3.
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and to the need for a parking area for the village as businesses are suffering due to a lack of street parking. The submission states that this is a huge problem for all businesses on the street.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission references proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to make a change to the Draft Plan to change the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that that there is a need for a parking area in the villages as businesses are suffering due to a lack of street parking. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification
Interested Party	Martina Joyce postmaster
Ref. No.	PADP397103474

Proposed Amendment No.	4363
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and the issue of car parking. The submission states that businesses in the village are heavily reliant on passing traffic. The submission claims that valuable car parking spaces are being taken up on the Main Street by people travelling to Cork on public transport either to work or shop. The submission refers to the pig market site in the village which is subject to proposed amendment 4.3.6.3 and states that in its present state it is a waste of valuable land. The submission suggests that if flooding is an issue on the site then a car park would be an ideal option which is badly needed by both businesses and residents who collect children from the nearby school.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that this site would be ideal location for a car park for businesses and residents. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification
Interested Party	Michael Shanahan
Ref. No.	PADP398253423
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and refers to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submission states that this site is in a very central location in the village and would be suitable for a play area with car parking which are both needed for Castlemartyr.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that this site would be suitable for a play area with car parking which are needed for the village. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification
Interested Party	Mike Falahee
Ref. No.	PADP398366825
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and states that in the designated area of Proposed Amendment 4.3.6.2, an amenity area such as a playground with parking should be developed.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that an amenity area such as a playground

	should be developed on this site. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification
Interested Party	Orla Fronc
Ref. No.	PADP396915471
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submission proposes the building of a much-needed community centre at the Pig Market site in Castlemartyr which the submission states is a very central location in the village and within walking distance of all the housing estates. The submission also states that this site would be a great location for a playground with an adjoining car park with the remainder of the area used for a green area with benches and planting. This area could also incorporate safe walking access to the National School which would alleviate a lot of the traffic problems on the N25 Cork to Waterford road and on the Kiltha park road at drop off and pick up times.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission refers to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission proposes the building of a much-needed community centre on this site and also notes that this site would be a good location for a playground with an adjoining car park. The submission also notes that this area could incorporate a safe walking access to the National School which would help to alleviate traffic issues at peak times. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification
Interested Party	Paul Feeney
Ref. No.	PADP400749468
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.6.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and specifically to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3. The submission states that a playground would be an ideal use for this land as it would be a safe and secure environment for the children in the village to use. The submission also proposes some car parking spaces on the site which would make it a lot more accessible. The car park would also benefit the local shops/pubs/restaurants in the village. The submission concludes by stating that the lack of parking around the area has resulted in local businesses missing out on potential income.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission refers to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that a playground with car parking spaces would be an ideal use for this land. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and

	water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification
Interested Party	Sophie Lahive
Ref. No.	PADP396691398
Proposed Amendment No.	4.36.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Castlemartyr and states that Castlemartyr needs a community facility such as a playground or park.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission refers to proposed amendment no. 4.3.6.3 where it is proposed to re-zone the land use of the south eastern portion of CM-T-01 in Castlemartyr from Town Centre to Green Infrastructure Recreation. The submission notes that Castlemartyr needs a community facility such as a playground or park. The proposed objective for the site allows for open space and water compatible community uses including car parking, amenity/green infrastructure, or other flood compatible uses.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification

Submissions for Ballycotton

Interested Party	D & B Waterman Ltd
Ref. No.	PADP401449902
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.12.4
Submission Summary	This submission relates to proposed amendment 4.3.12.3 which proposes an extension of the development boundary of Ballycotton village. Submission notes that the changes are welcome but considers that they do not go far enough. Submission requests that the boundary be further extended to include additional lands, previously identified in Draft Plan submission DCDP346247714 . Submission also makes reference to a proposed Ballycotton Relief Road (Walkway / Cycleway / Emergency Accessway) and includes a map showing an indicative route for such a road. Submission indicates that provision of such a road would benefit the village through enhanced connectivity, facilitating growth, easing congestion and opening up land for development, which would in turn help support school enrolment. The indicative route shown for the road would enable access to additional lands which could be included within the development boundary. Submission notes that zoned lands, which it indicates are earmarked for a combination of Social and Affordable houses, are inaccessible at present. Submission also includes a Ballycotton Link Road Feasibility Study.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission notes that Proposed Amendment 4.3.12.3 is welcome but requests that the boundary be further extended to include additional lands previously identified in submission DCDP346247714 to the Draft Plan. It is considered that there is a sufficient amount of land located within the existing development boundary to cater for the proposed level of population growth in the village over the lifetime of this plan.

	<p>The Planning Authority has no plans to provide a link road as detailed in the submission in Ballycotton. It is envisaged that any such improvements, if required, would be developer led and funded.</p> <p>A further extension to the boundary would be a material change and is not feasible at this stage in the process. No change proposed to the boundary.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.
Interested Party	Myles Ponsonby
Ref. No.	PADP400917546
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.12.2
Submission Summary	The submitter owns Glebe House and approximately half (the western half) of the proposed green infrastructure site in Ballycotton, East Cork. (Proposed amendment no. 4.3.12.2.) The submission requests that the northern boundary of the proposed Green Infrastructure site is moved 10 metres to the south, so as not to reduce the area of the submitters existing garden.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission requests a change to Proposed Amendment 4.3.12.2 which proposes an extension to the development boundary of Ballycotton to include a Green Infrastructure zoning for Passive Open Space to the south of the village. The submission requests that the northern boundary of the site is moved 10 metres to the south.</p> <p>The line of the northern boundary of the proposed amendment follows the existing development boundary for Ballycotton.</p> <p>A map has not been included with this submission.</p> <p>No change proposed.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments with No Modification.

6 Macroom MD

Interested Party	Annette Harrington
Ref. No.	PADP401136246
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment number 4.4.3.25, which proposes to zone land for Business and General Employment Use at Coolyhane Macroom.</p> <p>Submitter is opposed to this greenfield site being rezoned to accommodate general business, industrial developments or high density housing as it would result in the loss of open countryside, create negative impacts on the environment and safety issues for the public and residents due to the increased volume of traffic and the road conditions.</p> <p>Despite a district meeting being held regarding this proposal in October 2021, there has been no consultation with locals in the proposed identified site. Information regarding the proposal was found out by the submitter's family by chance on 10th February 2022. Communication re this proposed change to the Plan has not been transparent/ open which is considered unfair and unreasonable.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	Bridie and Francis Purcell
Ref. No.	PADP398793849
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	<p>This submission refers to lands at Coolehane, Macroom, the subject of Proposed Amendment No. 4.4.3.25. The amendment proposes to include a new Business Zoning MM-B-02 on lands immediately south of the Macroom Bypass.</p> <p>This submission does not support the proposed amendment.</p> <p>The submitters indicate that they own and farm the majority of the lands at Coolehane and they have not been consulted about the proposed zoning and have not sought to have any of their lands rezoned.</p> <p>It is noted that the Draft Plan submission from Matt O'Mahoney requesting the zoning for Business and General Employment uses is centred on the connectivity of the site to the town centre via a link bridge. The submitter's farm has already been significantly impacted by land take and severance as part of the construction of the Macroom bypass and they are strongly opposed to further lands being taken from their holding for the construction of a link bridge.</p> <p>The Macroom Town Development Plan has previously made provision for a link bridge solely to provide vehicle access from the N22 Macroom Bypass to the town centre via the mart area. Alternative accesses are now under construction at Coolcower and the Millstreet Road to provide connectivity between the bypass and the town centre.</p> <p>It is further noted that, on lands to the east of the lands now proposed for zoning,</p>

	<p>there have been several collapses from the site onto the Mill road due to steep topography, resulting in the closure of the L3424 on numerous occasions and serious endangerment to the public using the road. Development of the lands proposed for rezoning may result in similar collapses onto the public road.</p> <p>The submission references reports from previous planning applications in the area where development seeking direct access to the Mill road / L3423 was refused and development was only permitted on the basis of access being from an inner relief road. Previous planning reports have noted the substandard nature of the L3423 road in terms of width, alignment, sightlines, lack of footpaths / cycle paths and public lighting etc, and development fronting the road has been refused previously on the basis that it would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard. The L3424 road serving the site is used as an unofficial bypass for Macroom and caters for a high volume of traffic. Developing the proposed site for business will inevitably lead to more HGVs on the road.</p> <p>In relation to Water Services it is noted that the Council are currently laying a 3m culvert on the eastern side of the site to convey water from the bypass to the River Sullane. It is suggested that this will sever the road, making it impossible to lay a foul sewer to the east to service the proposed zoning. Laying a sewer to the west would undermine the foundations of the historic Mill, due to the matrix of existing services including gas, water and telecoms in the adjoining narrow road.</p> <p>The zoning relies on access to the L3423 which decisions on previous planning applications in the area have deemed to be substandard and inadequate to cater for development. The zoning should not proceed, and if it does the submitter intends to take the issue to the Office of the Planning Regulator.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	Bridie and Francis Purcell
Ref. No.	PADP400484060
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	<p>This submission is linked to submission number PADP398793849 and is from the same submitter - Bridie and Francis Purcell.</p> <p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.4.3.25 to zone land for Business and General Employment use at Coolyhane, Macroom, south of the new bypass.</p> <p>The submitters are part owners of the land that is proposed for rezoning. They oppose the zoning and do not want the land developed.</p> <p>They own other lands in the area and control a substantial part of the road frontage along the Mill Road and adjoining Coolehane Road and do not wish for any development on same. Major upgrades to the existing road infrastructure would be required to develop this site. Submission indicates that they will strongly resist any attempt to CPO any additional lands from them to facilitate the upgrading of the road to cater for the proposed rezoning.</p> <p>Submission includes a link (https://photos.app.goo.gl/4RLvp6f8HbGA3i4M7) to photographs of the adjoining site where the bank has collapsed onto the Mill Road on numerous occasions during the last two years endangering the public. Photograph Nos. 1 and 9 show the steep green field proposed for rezoning. Photographs No. 2, 3, 4, 10, 11 show where the contractor (building the bypass) has stabilised the banks with stone and concrete. Photographs No. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14</p>

	and 15 show where the banks have collapsed onto the Mill Road due to construction works from the site of the N22 to the North of the Mill Road. Submitter is concerned that development of the lands the subject of this amendment will lead to similar collapses due to the more severe topography thus endangering the public.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	Catherine Harrington
Ref. No.	PADP401118441
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	This submission is objecting to Proposed Amendment 4.4.3.25 in Macroom. It is considered that the proposed change would be out of context (environmental and ecological) with the area and wholly unsuitable for the area given the nature of the road infrastructure. In addition, submitter considers that the process / measures to notify the public about the proposed amendments / changes to the Plan are inadequate, and regard should be had to this in finalising the plan.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	Comhaltas Cosanta Gaeltachta Chúil Aodha
Ref. No.	PADP401312356
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	This submission, on behalf of Comhaltas Cosanta Gaeltachta Chúil Aodha expresses dissatisfaction with the decision not to refer to Cúil Aodha as a 'village' in the draft development plan noting that this suggests that their community is not included in the county's development plans at all and that this has been done without consultation. The community are concerned about the lack of facilities in this area including the lack of up - to - date sewerage services. The submission also raises concerns that Cuil Aodha is being surrounded by windmills and states that the County Council are not restricting such developments. In particular the submission also highlights the detrimental impact of these developments on the repair of the local roads (the Grousemount Wind Farm is cited as an example). The submission requests that the Council recognise small communities such as Cúil Aodha and not forget about them.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate specifically to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	John and Kathleen Harrington
Ref. No.	PADP401002748

Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment number 4.4.3.25 to the Draft Cork County Development Plan, at Coolyhane Macroom. Submitter is against the conversion of the site identified in the amendment from a green area to any of the following uses: general business / industrial / residential use the reasons for this are as follows:</p> <p>(1) Safety : The area itself is unsuitable for the proposed use and the roads are too narrow and cannot safely support a high volume of traffic, heavy good vehicles and cyclists. Residents of the area already have safety issues entering and existing their property due the narrow nature of the road. Increased traffic on the road will make the situation worse and pose a greater risk to residents of the area who have the right to feel safe in their homes. In addition, there are no footpaths in the area which is already is a safety concern. Any increase in the number of people who would be potentially accessing the area by foot would be extremely dangerous due to the narrow roads, lack of paths and street lighting. Additional footfall in the area would be a concern even if the infrastructure was built to facilitate it.</p> <p>(2) Unsuitable for the area: business / industrial use in the area would be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context with this beautiful agricultural area and would have an adverse impact on the amenity of the area which residents currently enjoy. Introduction of industrial use to the area would be very concerning when there are more suitable spaces elsewhere that would be less disruptive to the local residents. The significance of a community’s interaction with the landscape is a major part of what shapes a rural communities’ pride of place. The development would be isolated from existing physical and social infrastructure and would be out of context for the area.</p> <p>(3) Biodiversity: The site proposed for rezoning is currently a rich green area which promotes strong healthy biodiversity. The proposed change of use would cause damage to various species / habitats on site with the unnecessary disruption of the land. The Council should not facilitate biodiversity decline.</p> <p>(4) Environmental Impact : the development would have negative environmental impacts on the area and the submission expresses concern re how the following matters will be safely dealt with : water (Macroom already has an existing issue with the water service), sanitation, rubbish disposal, industrial pollution, noise pollution and excess lighting. It is concerning that the proposed development is a shift away from sustainable green belt land use.</p> <p>(5) Maps used in the Plan: submitter takes issue with the inclusion of their residential property in the highlighted area (area covered by the proposed amendment for business use). They are unhappy about this and question the accuracy of the mapping.</p> <p>Submission asks that the Council take account the matters listed above and listen to the genuine concerns people have about this proposed amendment and show their support to the local citizens.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	John O'Sullivan

Ref. No.	PADP400953217
Proposed Amendment No.	4.4.6.1
Submission Summary	This submission requests that a 3.11 ha (7.7 acre) site at Grange, Killumney be included in the development boundary of the settlement. The submission supports this request setting out the location, past planning history of the site (75/1668 and 81/3325) and also notes that because of its Key Village status that the need for housing in this settlement has greatly increased in recent years.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission requests a change to Proposed Amendment 4.4.6.1 to provide for an additional site to be included in the development boundary of Killumney / Ovens. It is considered that this request is material in nature and outside the scope of this proposed amendment which is to update the Mapping in accordance with the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.4.6.1 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Joseph Lawler
Ref. No.	PADP398856909
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.4.12
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the proposed removal of Millstreet relief road (MS-U-01). The submission argues that the road is vital for Millstreet to grow as at present the town is land locked and this would free up more land for development. The submission requests that the council would reconsider the stretch of road from the Macroom road to Drishane road noting that it is a similar distance as the Kanturk relief road.</p> <p>The submission states that if the zoned residential scheme is developed where the proposed relief road was to be positioned, that the opportunity will be lost for ever. The submission notes that there is considerable pressure on the junction in the square especially at peak times which it argues will only get worse with the opening of the Macroom bypass.</p> <p>The submission acknowledges that this is all dependent on funding, however, it also argues that if the relief road is built, it will make the town safer and more attractive for people to shop in as a large volume of passing traffic will not have to go through the town and that a footpath and dedicated cycle lane could be incorporated enabling a ring walk around the town and enhancing the lives of people in Millstreet.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.4.4.12 to delete MS-U-01 (Proposed Relief Route).</p> <p>This relief route has been identified in Development Plans since 1996. The decision to remove this objective was taken given high cost-benefit and likelihood of the objective attracting funding over the lifetime of the Development Plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.4.4.12 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Liz Harrington
Ref. No.	PADP401140421
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 4.4.3.25

Submission Summary	This submission opposes amendment number 4.4.3.25 to the Draft Cork County Development Plan which involves the rezoning of land at Coolyhane, Macroom for business / industrial use. The submitter is opposed to the zoning on the grounds of road safety in the area for both current residents and pedestrians, the negative impact on the environment and biodiversity in the area and the fact the proposed use is unsuitable for the area itself e.g. inappropriate and completely out of context for a beautiful agricultural area.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	Michael O' Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401084054
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	<p>This submission refers to Proposed Amendment 4.4.3.25 at Coolyhane Macroom, brought forward following a submission to the Draft Plan from Matt O'Mahony & Associates Ltd.</p> <p>The submission strongly objects to the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.4.3.25 for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the Coolyhane road is not wider enough to cater for the increased traffic and HGVs the development would generate and does not have footpaths or public lighting . - there is not sewer connection available to serve the development. - development would give rise to a noise disturbance from factories. - access via Bealick Bridge is unsuitable as it cannot take additional traffic to serve the proposed development. - the topography of the site is steep and unsuited to the construction of factories. - development of the land will pose a risk Environmentally and Mentally to the residents in the locality. - Submitters dwelling bounds the proposed development and will be devalued by proximity to the development. - Macroom has enough lands already zoned in the area to cater for employment uses. - green belt around the town should be retained. <p>Submitter strongly objects to the proposed change and is taking legal advice on the issue. Concerns of local residents should be taken into account prior to a decision being reached on this proposed amendment to the plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	Patrick Kelleher
Ref. No.	PADP401384440
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	This submission references the Draft Plan Submission DCDP345231978 for Matt O' Mahony at Coolyhane Macroom which has given rise to the Proposed Amendment

	<p>No. 4.4.3.25, to rezone land for Business and General Employment use.</p> <p>This submission does not support the proposed amendment.</p> <p>The submitter lives adjacent to the site and considers the proposal to be at odds with national policy in terms of the location of the site relative to the town centre and given the lack of local infrastructure to serve the development (proper access roads and services etc) . It is considered that the proposal will result in ad-hoc development which will further erode and decimate the town centre which is already feeling the impact of peripheral development. It is considered that this view is supported by the Planning Department as evidenced by the number of development proposals in the area which have been refused planning permission already due to the lack of public services in the area and the inadequate roads infrastructure. Alternative sites are available to cater for this type of development. The proposal is considered premature pending the installation of all critical infrastructure in the area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Interested Party	Peter Dineen
Ref. No.	PADP400931420
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	This submission requests that the local authority include the Village Design Statement (VDS) for Coachford as part of the County Development Plan in relation to Coachford village, its landscape and heritage. In appending the VDS prepared for Coachford in 2013, the submission also requests that the local authority use the VDS as a useful 'tool' to ensure that the future developments and changes add to local distinctiveness and character of the village. The submission notes that the VDS provides a link into the planning system so that planners can use the VDS to improve the local distinctiveness of design proposals.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to any Proposed Amendment and therefore while the issues raised are noted, they cannot be considered further at this stage.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Ruden Homes Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP401388380
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.4.17.1
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Crookstown and seeks a modification to Proposed Amendment No. 4.4.17.1.</p> <p>The submission notes that Proposed Amendment 4.4.17.1 provides a new flood zone map for the village. Submission indicates that the revised map shows that the extent of the flood risk on lands owned by Ruden Homes has been reduced and this change is welcomed. Ruden Homes have plans to develop their land in the village for housing and are undertaking a site-specific flood risk assessment for their land. It is anticipated that the flood risk can be managed to an acceptable level, ensuring that residential development generally avoids flood risk areas. The submission includes</p>

	<p>an indicative site layout map for a residential development.</p> <p>Submission requests that proposed amendment 4.4.17.1 be modified to include new text to clarify the implications of the new flood risk mapping for lands within the development boundary. It is requested that such text would recognise the reduced flood zone implications for the village and to provide opportunities for infill sites within the village to be delivered.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>There are no specific land use zoning objectives for Crookstown. The SFRA and Draft Development Plan Policy (Proposed Amendment 1.11.1) requires application of the sequential approach, noting the Justification Test has not been applied (or passed) so future development must be supported by an appropriately detailed FRA, and should be within Flood Zone C for highly vulnerable development, and Flood Zone C or B for less vulnerable development. As such, the SFRA and proposed flooding policy objectives allow for potential infill development within Flood Zone C subject to all other planning considerations. The policy text and objectives proposed by amendment and the information set out in the SFRA is considered sufficient and additional text is not warranted.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 4.4.17.1 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Timothy Kelleher
Ref. No.	PADP401386658
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 4.4.3.25
Submission Summary	<p>This submission references the Draft Plan Submission DCDP345231978 for Matt O' Mahony at Coolyhane Macroom which has given rise to the Proposed Amendment No. 4.4.3.25, to rezone land for Business and General Employment use.</p> <p>This submission does not support the proposed amendment.</p> <p>The submitter lives adjacent to the site and considers the proposal to be at odds with national policy in terms of the location of the site relative to the town centre and given the lack of local infrastructure to serve the development (proper access roads and services etc) . It is considered that the proposal will result in ad-hoc development which will further erode and decimate the town centre which is already feeling the impact of peripheral development. It is considered that this view is supported by the Planning Department as evidenced by the number of development proposals in the area which have been refused planning permission already due to the lack of public services in the area and the inadequate roads infrastructure. Alternative sites are available to cater for this type of development. The proposal is considered premature pending the installation of all critical infrastructure in the area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.7 of this report.

Volume Five

7 Bandon Kinsale MD

Interested Party	Ashbourne Holdings Limited
Ref. No.	PADP401094628
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.13
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale and zoning KS-RA-03 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.13. The submission raises the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.13 designates this town centre site as KS-RA-03 and places a restriction of two storeys maximum to the height of any development on-site; • No other regeneration areas within Kinsale have such a height restriction imposed (Table 5.1.10); • Such a restriction contravenes the Urban Development and Building Heights Guidelines (2018) and undermines the viability of any proposal to regenerate this infill and vacant site; • Requests modification of amendment to remove the height restriction of two storeys.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission requests a change to Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.13 to provide for the removal of the height restriction of two storeys. Planning Authority supports modification to remove the two storey height restriction having regard to the pattern of development in the immediate area.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment No. 5.1.5.13 with Minor Modification.
Interested Party	Bandon Golf Club
Ref. No.	PADP401066798
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.4.28
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Bandon and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.28 and BD-X-05 (Castlebernard). The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It welcomes the overall amendment; • Raises concern that opening up the estate to the public may have implications for the playability of sections of the golf course in a safe manner; • Proposes text should be modified to include reference to the golf course and that any proposed plans take account of the existing course layout and the future safe playing of golf without modifications to the course layout.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission requests a change to Proposed Amendment 5.4.1.28 to provide for additional text to ensure that any proposed plans take account of the existing course layout and the future safe playing of golf without modifications to the course layout.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment No. 5.1.4.28 with Minor Modification. See Section 1.2 of Volume One Part 2 of this report for details of the Modification.
Interested Party	Bernard O' Donovan

Ref. No.	PADP398361072
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hillcrest does not currently have safe pedestrian access to town centre; • Lack of footpaths previously raised with Elected Members. Some improvements made but c.70m area between Hillcrest and the nearest footpath and street lighting; • Supportive of better connectivity but connection via an unconnected estate is not helpful.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate until such a time as proper footpaths and lighting have been installed to connect the estate to the Town Centre. Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Con Nyhan
Ref. No.	PADP401388579
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.4.27 and 5.1.4.28
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Bandon and Proposed Amendments. BD-GC-09 (5.1.4.27) and BD-X-05 (5.1.4.28). The submission raises the following issues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landowner notes and welcomes proposed amendments relating to his lands; • Wishes to maintain an active role in successful delivery of Castlebernard Demesne and associated masterplan.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.4.27 and 5.1.4.28.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Donal Kelleher
Ref. No.	PADP401208761
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.4.9 and 5.1.4.12
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Bandon and Proposed Amendments 5.1.4.9 and 5.1.4.12 and BD-GO-02. The submission raises the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renaming of Northern Relief Road to North Bandon Connectivity and Access Corridor is noted though it is unclear why. • Awaiting the publication of the findings of the feasibility of this road which are overdue. Results should be used to produce an evidence based County Development Plan; • BD-GO-02 (Amendment 5.1.4.12) places the North Bandon Connectivity and Access Corridor on the same footing as the Southern Relief Road. The

	<p>Southern Relief Road should be prioritised as per policy objective in the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy for the Southern Region, requiring improvements to the N71.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Queries how North Bandon Connectivity and Access Corridor will be funded when funding is unavailable for the completion of the unfinished N71.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission is noted.</p> <p>The re-naming of the Northern Relief Road to the North Bandon Connectivity and Access Corridor relates to the naming of the project within the Capital Projects Section and is effectively a non-material factual change.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendments 5.1.4.9 and 5.1.4.12 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Cotter Estates
Ref. No.	PADP401385584
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.12
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale zoning KS-T-02 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.12. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.12
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.12.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.12 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Damien Buckley
Ref. No.	PADP400144322
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing estate road in Hillcrest is not wide enough to support level of through traffic for proposed development; • Hillcrest does not have a connecting footpath or lighting to other footpaths in the area; • Limited visibility on exiting estate road onto R605 and no traffic calming measures in place; • Hillcrest often used for excess parking from GAA Club nearby therefore road not suitable for further traffic; • Understands that Kinsale GAA are seeking to relocate to nearby lands further along R605, which would be more suitable as an access point for proposed zoned lands.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate.</p> <p>Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Donal and Joan Foran

Ref. No.	PADP400552291
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9.
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estate Road is narrow and suited only as a cul-de-sac. Not suitable for construction traffic or HGVs; • Entrance to estate has limited visibility and is on the crest of a hill and not suitable for additional traffic; • Lack of footpaths to R605 and road is too narrow to accommodate same.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate. Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Eugene and Sheila O'Callaghan
Ref. No.	PADP400258942
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estate road is too narrow and was never intended for use as through road. Entrance is blind and there is no footpath; • Impact on residents by way of proximity of houses to road; air and noise pollution; Health and safety of children playing in estate.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate. Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	JJ Hurley
Ref. No.	PADP401236824
Proposed Amendment No.	N/A
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Belgooly and does not relate to a specific proposed amendment. The submission raises the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed plans required for Belgooly with its residents to be consulted. • No housing should be completed until footpaths, bus stops, Waste Water Treatment Plants and traffic calming measures in place.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not relate to a specific Proposed Amendment, rather it provides various comments in relation to the Draft Plan for Belgooly. Material changes to wording of the Draft Plan for the village, as requested, cannot be considered at this stage of the review process.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required.
Interested Party	Kinsale Chamber of Tourism
Ref. No.	PADP401344490
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.14
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Kinsale and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.14. The submission raises the following: Kinsale chamber of Tourism acknowledges and appreciates the inclusion of the "Reimagining Kinsale" document in the amended draft Development plan; Requests detailed masterplan to prioritise: 1. Relief Road; 2. Regeneration of Council-owned Town Centre sites subject to flood study; 3. Improvement of public realm, car parking and traffic issues.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.14. It also requests additional text to include a masterplan for the Town. Material changes to the wording of the Draft Plan for the Town, as requested, cannot be considered at this stage of the review process.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required.
Interested Party	Liam and Sarah Corkery
Ref. No.	PADP400805042
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following: • No objection to zoning but concerns in relation to access via a cul de sac with narrow roads and inadequate footpaths and lighting; • Entrance to estate has limited visibility and is on the crest of a hill and not suitable for additional traffic; • Estate road too narrow for heavy traffic. Alternative access point for zoned lands should be used.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate. Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Mark Gannon and Denis Cahalane
Ref. No.	PADP400489625
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.8.5
Submission Summary	This amendment relates to Courtmacsherry and zoning X-02 – Proposed Amendment 5.1.8.5 (Woodpoint House):

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcomes Proposed Amendment 5.1.8.5 but requests clarification that amendment supports variety of tourism accommodation types including hotel, self catering houses/apartments and associated services.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.8.5 but requests additional wording for the purposes of clarification. The addition of a specific reference to hotel accommodation can be added to the wording of the Proposed Amendment.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.8.5 with Minor Modification.
Interested Party	Mark Robertson
Ref. No.	PADP400478798
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extension to the Gaelscoil and provision of nursing home is welcomed; • Hillcrest not suitable as access road – too narrow and inadequate footpaths; • Queries accessible footpaths and availability of bus service to serve nursing home at this location; • Concerns re: increased traffic and noise/air pollution; emergency services access; construction traffic; and health and safety of children in the estate; • Requests infrastructure be put in place prior to zoning the lands.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate.</p> <p>Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Martin McGinn
Ref. No.	PADP399655064
Proposed Amendment No.	5159
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No issue with zoning in general; • Issue is with Hillcrest as an access point for zoned lands as it would impact on quality of life for existing residents; • Safety concern due to number of children living in the estate; • Existing road and footpaths in Hillcrest are too narrow and not capable of being widened; • Zoned land should have its own independent access.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate.</p> <p>Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.</p>

Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Mary Lynch
Ref. No.	PADP401375530
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.12.1
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kilbrittain and to Proposed Amendment 5.1.12.1 (Scale of Growth of Villages):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern about zoning agricultural lands as residential and its impact on adjoining community grounds; • Concerns in relation to access and public rights of way; run off and waste water management and impact on waterways; health and safety re: boundaries; impact on birds and bats; insurance costs; environmental damage.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not relate to a specific Proposed Amendment, rather it provides various comments in relation to the Draft Plan for Kilbrittain. Material changes to the Draft Plan for Kilbrittain cannot be considered at this stage of the review process.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required.
Interested Party	Michael Barry and Hatley Homes Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP401385607
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.2, 5.1.5.3 & 5.1.5.4
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale and zoning references KS-RR-01 and Proposed Amendments 5.1.5.2, 5.1.5.3, 5.1.5.4. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests that the quantum of zoned residential lands in Kinsale is not accurately reflected in Draft Plan or Proposed Amendments. Some zoned lands are already developed and occupied and one incorrect site area suggest inconsistencies which result in shortfall of available zoned lands; • Where lands are already under construction or due for imminent delivery of units should be excluded from total number of available ha available for development. • Submission includes table of changes for proposed zoning. • 5.1.5.2: Proposes a shortfall of zoned lands in Kinsale and therefore part of KS-RR-01 should be changed to KS-RAP-01A as it adjoins readily available serviced lands; • 5.1.5.3: Active development site with planning permission in place for further development therefore should be amended to Existing Residential/Mixed Residential/Other Uses; • 5.1.5.4: Site area should be corrected to 1.7ha from the stated 3.51ha. This will then allow for a further 1.8ha of Residential Additional Provision lands to be provided in Kinsale.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>There are two distinctive issues raised within this submission that will require separate responses.</p> <p>The first of these relates to the site area for KS-R-03 which is stated within Proposed Amendments to Volume Five West Cork Chapter 1 Bandon Kinsale MD Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.4 as 3.51ha. This should be corrected to</p>

	<p>1.7ha which is the correct site area for this zoning. This represents a non-material change and shall be amended as such.</p> <p>The second issue relates to the zoning proposed under Amendments 5.1.5.2 and 5.1.5.3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the case of 5.1.5.2, the Planning Authority is precluded from considering an increase in area of land zoned for any purpose at this stage of the Review Process under S.12(10)(c) of the 2000 Planning & Development Act (as amended). • In the case of 5.1.5.3, as the development on-site is not yet complete, it is considered that the site shall retain its Residential (Additional Provision) zoning.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.2 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.3 with No Modification. Make a non-material change for the site area of KS-R-03 (Amendment Ref. 5.1.5.4).</p>
Interested Party	Myriam McAuliffe
Ref. No.	PADP400281915
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estate road is too narrow for additional traffic. Entrance is blind and there is no footpath. Visitor parking already reduces width of road which is narrower than newer estates; • Impact on health and safety of residents by way of proximity of houses to road; noise pollution; increased traffic and speed. • Council have a duty of care of children and citizens.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate.</p> <p>Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.
Interested Party	Peppard Investments Ltd. and Micheál O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401034530
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale, Proposed Amendments 5.1.5.8 and 5.1.5.9 and KS-C-04. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed amendments 5.1.5.8 and 5.1.5.9 are welcomed; • Requests modification to wording of KS-C-04 (Amendment No. 5.1.5.9) to include wider description of age friendly housing as follows: Nursing home and integrated elder care facilities (including assisted living, continuing care and independent living).
Chief Executive's Response	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendments 5.1.5.8 and 5.1.5.9 and requests a change to 5.1.5.9 to include wider

	description of age friendly housing as follows: Nursing home and integrated elder care facilities (including assisted living, continuing care and independent living).
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.8 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with Minor Modification.
Interested Party	Riverstick Walkway Committee
Ref. No.	PADP401390670
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 5.1.10.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Riverstick and Proposed Amendment 5.1.10.3. The summary raises the following issues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcomes amendment 5.1.10.3 (U-02 Support the provision of improved connectivity, including footpaths, between the village centre and residential areas) and proposes additional wording as follows: "Continue to develop connectivity to the riverside walkway at Ballymartle Wood. Preserve access to Ballymartle Wood and support best practice guidelines, taking account of the need to protect freshwater habitats and species." Reference is made to the sale of Ballymartle Wood by Coillte and the Committee aims to prevent this sale and focus on working with partners such as Cork County Council on environmental protection, biodiversity and conservation of this vital local civic amenity.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission requests a change to Proposed Amendment 5.1.10.3 to provide for additional text to develop connectivity to the riverside walkway at Ballymartle Wood and to preserve access to Ballymartle Wood and support best practice guidelines, taking account of the need to protect freshwater habitats and species. The location of Ballymartle Wood is located entirely outside of the development boundary for Riverstick. Material changes to the Draft Plan for Riverstick cannot be considered at this stage of the review process.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No Further Action Required.
Interested Party	Siobhan and Brian O Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP400918052
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Kinsale and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and KS-C-04. The submission raises the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern in relation to lack of existing footpaths and the risks associated with increased traffic volumes; • Road not wide enough to facilitate proposed increase in traffic through the estate.
Chief Executive's Response	This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate. Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.

Interested Party	Siobhan Connell and Joseph Connell
Ref. No.	PADP400403149
Proposed Amendment No.	5.1.5.9
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Kinsale and KS-C-04 and Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9. The submission raises the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Zoning should require nursing home and retirement housing to be completed prior to commencement of other development on-site; • Objects to use of Hillcrest as an access road; • Poor visibility at exit of estate. Increased safety risk with increased traffic volumes; • Estate road too narrow for use as thoroughfare; • Continuous footpaths not available; • Requests relocation of proposed open space to north east corner to be alongside Hillcrest, to minimise the impact of development on existing homes.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 and requests that there is no further access via Hillcrest Estate.</p> <p>Broadly, the overall County Development Plan and particularly Chapter 12 (Transport and Mobility) focusses on permeability of settlements which will be a consideration of any new development in this area.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.1.5.9 with No Modifications.

8 West Cork MD

Interested Party	Baltimore Tidy Towns
Ref. No.	PADP401090587
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.14.3, 5.2.14.4, 5.2.14.5 and 5.2.14.7
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Baltimore and supports a number of Proposed Amendments as follows:</p> <p>5.2.14.3: Supports the insertion of a general objective regarding the provision of additional parking in the village and the need to improve traffic management particularly during peak visitor times.</p> <p>5.2.14.4: Supports the insertion of a general objective regarding the provision of new community facilities in the village where possible</p> <p>5.2.14.5: Supports the insertion of a general objective supporting measures which address dereliction in the village</p> <p>5.2.14.7: Supports the removal of land designated as the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area from the development boundary. Submission welcomes the acknowledgment of the protection afforded to these important green spaces which provide key habitats for protected species.</p> <p>The submission queries if the 37 units allocated scale of growth under the DB-01 objective will include units built since the 2020 geo-directory which they state includes approx. 18 units with full time residents in 2021 and 2022 and plots already for sale with planning permission.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The positive comments and support for a number of the proposed amendments detailed in this submission is noted.</p> <p>With regard to the query regarding when the allocated scale of growth takes effect, the planning authority wish to clarify that the Core Strategy units, allocated to settlements in the County, comes into effect from when the plan comes into force in June 2022.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.3 with No Modification.</p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.4 with No Modification.</p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.5 with No Modification.</p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7 with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Bernard O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401098614
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Baltimore and does not support Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7, which proposes to exclude an area of land designated Special Protection Area from development boundary. The following issues are highlighted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The area affects approx. 2/3 acres of land within family ownership for over 50 years. • Submission seeks to retain the land within the development boundary to facilitate the future housing requirements of immediate family members returning from abroad and who wish to reside in the area. The submission states it is not intended to be sold for development.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A passing bay has recently been provided on the road in Cove Hill as a stipulation of a planning permission for the benefit of all road users. • Submitter would be satisfied to consent to the removal of part of the area from the zone on the western end as shown on the map provided.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>At present there is an overlap between the boundary of the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) and the development boundary of the village of Baltimore. As part of the plan review it was sought to minimise the potential for conflict between the SPA and the development boundary of Baltimore by minimising the overlap between the two.</p> <p>Special Protection Areas are designated under the Birds Directive to support the conservation of wild birds. Regulations in relation to the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) were made in 2010.</p> <p>This particular SPA is designated for the protection of two species, namely Chough and Peregrine Falcon. Both of these species breed on the cliff face edges of the SPA. Chough feed on invertebrates in grassland habitats proximal to their breeding sites. The SPA boundary was drawn to include both their breeding sites and important feeding areas.</p> <p>The lands referenced in this amendment comprises a rocky coastal headland and would not generally be considered suitable for development. The lands are at the very edge of the settlement in an area of very high landscape and ecological value. The land is elevated and visually sensitive and prominent close to the coastline. There is considerable pressure for development in the area and as noted above, they have been included within the boundary of the SPA as they form part of the breeding and feeding areas for the protected birds.</p> <p>The Natura Impact Report recommended that the lands be zoned as Green Infrastructure Conservation to protect them from inappropriate development. Instead it was decided to exclude the land from the development boundary entirely as proposed in this amendment to prevent further incremental erosion of lands within the SPA and to support the conservation objectives for the area.</p> <p>Ample alternative land is available within the Development boundary of Baltimore to cater for housing development and it is recommended that these lands be omitted from the boundary.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7 with No Modification.
Interested Party	BFTA Ltd
Ref. No.	PADP400945617
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.7.5 and 5.2.7.13
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Skibbereen and specifically proposed amendments 5.2.7.5 and 5.2.7.13 which propose to change the zoning from Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and Other Zones to Green Infrastructure arising from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. The following issues are highlighted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The flooding regime in Skibbereen and surrounding areas has changed significantly since the publication of the various data points and mapping on which the proposed amendments are based. • Requests that the existing zonings are maintained and allow for site specific

	<p>flood assessment as part of the normal planning process during any future planning application</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • States that the lands do not flood, are not liable to flooding and have no history of flooding. • An assessment of the Flood Risk was carried out by J.B Barry & Partners, the following points are made; • The CFRAMS map developed in 2011, shows the site in Flood zone A, the maps used in the Draft Plan also show the site in Flood zone A. • Since the preparation of the CFRAMS map, a flood defence scheme was completed in 2019, which provides protection from areas previously at flood risk. • A confirmation drawing shows the site is in an area of ‘benefitting land’ and is therefore no longer inundated by flood waters from the 0.5% AEP fluvial flood. • As the site is in a defended area, the risk of flooding has greatly reduced, and as such it is considered that the site is now in Flood zone C, the lowest level of flood risk. • The submission considers that the information contained in the Cork County Council mapping website, the West Cork Municipal District Area plan, and the CFRAMS flood maps is out of date and does not reflect the benefitting impacts of the flood relief scheme. A site-specific flood risk assessment can demonstrate that the flood risk can be managed and mitigated. • Planning permission was recently granted for a residential development in a defended area in Co. Dublin. • The submission concludes by stating that as the proposed amendment is based on out-of-date data, an approach other than retaining the existing zoning and allowing for a site-specific approach would be extremely injurious to the submitter. • A detailed Flood Risk Assessment is provided prepared by JB Partners, the conclusion of this assessment reflects the points mentioned above.
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The contents of the submission are noted. The submission refers to two submissions:</p> <p>5.2.7.5 proposes to insert a new green infrastructure zoning SK-GC-18 on a site at The Marsh on the North-western side of the Schull Roundabout.</p> <p>5.2.7.13 inserts the Updated Flooding Mapping layer for the settlement.</p> <p>The site is located within Flood Zone A as indicated by the updated flood zone maps developed as part of the SFRA process and using the best available data. The presence of a completed flood relief scheme in Skibbereen does not alter this because the Flood Guidelines state clearly that the presence of flood protection structures should be ignored in determining flood zones. This is because areas protected by flood defences still carry a residual risk of flooding from overtopping or breach of defences and the fact that there may be no guarantee that the defence will be maintained in perpetuity. Furthermore, Flood Defences are designed to protect existing properties and it is not intended that they facilitate new development in areas outside the core of the settlement where Part 1 and/or 2 of the Justification Test have been failed.</p> <p>For completeness, the Justification Test has been applied and failed, primarily because it is a greenfield site outside of the core of a settlement where alternative lands at less risk of flooding are available. Details of the Justification Test will be available in an update to the SFRA. Water compatible development is therefore the appropriate form of land use here as set out in Proposed Amendment 5.2.7.5.</p>

	Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.7.5 with No Modification. Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.7.13 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Carhue Developments
Ref. No.	PADP401308748
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.5.9 and 5.2.5.10
Submission Summary	<p>This detailed submission relates to the settlement of Clonakilty and specifically proposed amendments 5.2.5.9 and 5.2.5.10 on the northern side of the town. While welcoming the Council's continued recognition of the development potential of these overall lands at Scartagh, the submission is concerned about the revisions proposed to the quantum and configuration of zoned lands and requests that this be reconsidered having regard to the need to deliver infrastructure in the area and the practicalities of master planning the area.</p> <p>Submission notes the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ that the lands the subject of the submission are now proposed to be zoned for a mixture of Agriculture, Residential Additional Provision, a linear park and community uses, with the area available for residential reduced from 10. ha to approximately 8.2ha. ○ development of the Scartagh lands will contribute to the development of two pieces of critical infrastructure in Clonakilty – the Northern Relief Distributor Road and the proposed linear park and active travel route. Submission includes a map showing an indicative layout for the road and the linear park / active travel, taken from a feasibility study commissioned by Carhue Development in support of their plans for the area and to determine the optimum route for the road. Submission raises concerns that the land take required to deliver these items of infrastructure, in addition to the reductions in residential zoning provided for the proposed amendments may adversely impact on the viability of the development. In addition the configuration of the zoned lands relative to the route of the road will not allow for the most the efficient use of the zoned land. It is therefore requested that additional lands be zoned to address the shortfall in the developable lands, improve the efficiency of the layout and overall master planning of the area. ○ Submission further notes the Shannonvale Foods Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.24 and considers that the overall development of lands in the area should be delivered through an agreed access strategy and an agreed strategic infrastructure solution. ○ The 'Residential Additional Provision' classification for these lands these lands is noted in the context of the statement in the plan that such lands will be available for residential development throughout the lifetime of the plan, subject to normal planning and sustainable development. It is considered essential that this position is carried forward into the final plan. ○ Submission concludes by requesting that the proposed amendments to the boundaries of the CK-R-02 be revised and extended to encompass the adjoining lands to the west.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission includes a number of appendices including a review of the planning policy context as it relates to the lands, and a Zoning/Northern Relief Road Analysis prepared by Shipsey Barry Architects.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The submission highlights various issues regarding Proposed Amendments 5.2.5.9 and 5.2.5.10. and the issues raised are noted.</p> <p>Changes to the Core Strategy of the Plan and the zoned land supply are further discussed in the context of the response to the submission of the Office of the Planning Regulator. There is also specific discussion of Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.9. in this response. Please see Volume One Part One of this report for further details in relation to this issue.</p> <p>The main request of this submission is for additional lands to be zoned for residential use to offset the reduction in zoning proposed by the published amendments and address the expected land take needed to deliver the Northern Relief Distributor Road, the linear park and active travel route. Further changes to the distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process. The Core Strategy and zoned land supply has evolved throughout the review process in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received at pre-draft and draft plan stage from statutory bodies and from the public. The Planning Authority are satisfied that there is sufficient land identified throughout the County, and in Clonakilty, to meet the housing targets set out in the Core Strategy and that the strategy as set out in the Proposed Amendments represents the best allocation of growth for the period of the next Development Plan. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles. The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on the implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p> <p>The Council will work with all stakeholders to facilitate the delivery of the objectives of the plan and the infrastructure needed to facilitate development.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.9 with No Modification.</p> <p>Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.10 with No Modification.</p>
Interested Party	Carmel Costigan
Ref. No.	PADP401042192
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.6.1
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Bantry and does not support Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.1 to change the Draft Plan from BT-R-06 to Agriculture BT-AG-02, with

	<p>respect to lands comprising a series of fields to the north of Bantry town centre, which are stated to be c. 5.17 ha and in agriculture use. The lands are zoned BT-R-06 for Medium B Density Residential Development in the Draft Plan with provision for a link road connecting the site with the BT-R-05 to the north. (Note: The BT-R-05 site is subject to a separate amendment 5.2.6.28).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • States that there are sites more remote from the town centre of Bantry, than the subject lands, which have either retained their residential zoning objectives or have been amended to facilitate future residential development. • A review of these lands is provided, and the submission considers that some of these sites are not within the built-up footprint of the town or are not continuous to development lands or contiguous to Tier 1 lands. The submission notes that no clear rationale for the proposal is provided. • Outlines that the sequential approach to zoning for residential development is not being followed in Bantry in accordance with the relevant guidelines that reflect compact growth contrary to SPPR DPG 7. • The subject lands are considered sequentially more favourable than others more remote from the town centre. • Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.28 & 5.2.6.21 are considered profoundly inappropriate in approach and that due regard is not being given to national policy. • The sustainable attributes of the subject lands are discussed; no physical constraints are identified. • Requests that the subject lands residential zoning objective be reinstated.
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>This submission relates to Bantry and does not support Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.1 which proposes to change the Draft Plan BT-R-06 residential zoning to Agriculture BT-AG-02.</p> <p>This change arises from the adjustments made to the Core Strategy and land supply required in response to the emergence of new guidelines and in response to submissions received from statutory bodies and from the public. The overall growth allocation for Bantry had been reduced from 554 units in the Draft Plan to 344 units in the revised Core Strategy. This necessitated a review of the residential land supply requirements.</p> <p>Issues considered in preparing and revising the Core Strategy are detailed in Chapter 2 of the Draft Plan and in the Proposed Amendments documents which have been subject to public consultation. The site in question has access constraints which it is considered are unlikely to be resolved over the life of the Plan. The lands have been retained within the development boundary and it is proposed to zone them as agriculture. As development happens on the ground and zoned lands are built out, new lands will come into play in future plan cycles and the zoning of these lands can be reconsidered in future plans. It is acknowledged that the site is close to the town centre and would support compact growth if access can be addressed.</p> <p>The Planning Authority will continue to monitor progress on implementation of the Core Strategy and make any necessary adjustments as appropriate, to ensure that the Core Strategy housing targets are met.</p>

	<p>Further changes to the quantum and distribution of zoned lands are not proposed at this stage of the review process.</p> <p>With regard to zoning generally, it should be noted that Section 10 (8) of the Planning and Development Act provides that there shall be no presumption in law that any land zoned in a particular development plan (including a development plan that has been varied) shall remain so zoned in any subsequent development plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.1 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Cork South West Green Party
Ref. No.	PADP401420268
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.6.22
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Bantry and does not support the change proposed in Amendment No. 5.2.6.22 which concerns the lands around Bantry house. Submitter is of the view that consideration should not be given to the provision of any additional dwelling at this stage of the consultation. Furthermore, it states that there are no minutes publicly available for the Special Municipal District Meeting for the West Cork MD on the 1st of November 2021 when this amendment was discussed. This is an inappropriate amendment in light of the objectives outlined for Bantry House.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>This submission relates to Bantry and proposed amendment 5.2.6.22 which includes additional text in the body of the plan under the heading of Bantry House in relation in relation to the BT-GC-07 zoning around Bantry House.</p> <p>The proposed amendment provides further clarity on the importance of the BT-GC-07 zoning protecting the area of open space surrounding Bantry house, and recognising its landscape characteristics, noting that these lands are integral to Bantry house and the town setting.</p> <p>The text of the proposed amendment is very clear in stipulating that there may be limited circumstances where a need for a dwelling might arise for a family member within this area. It is also stipulated that this is subject to the availability of a suitable site adjacent to the family home/within the family holding. This is subject to normal planning and sustainable development considerations and is also subject to the policy requirement that such development would not detract from the overall intent of the BT-GC-07 objective.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.22 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Dan Connolly and Michael O'Neill
Ref. No.	PADP401273775
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.5.12

Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Clonakilty and is made in respect of Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.12, which proposes to zone c. 9 hectares of land located to the southwest of Clonakilty town in Clogheen as a Residential Reserve CK - RR-01.</p> <p>The submission seeks a modification to the proposed amendment to increase of the boundaries of the CK-RR-01 lands to include additional lands.</p> <p>Submission notes that the north eastern portion of the additional lands proposed for zoning are zoned in the Clonakilty Town Plan 2009 (R-16) and a small portion of the north western side is zoned in the West Cork MDLAP 2017.</p> <p>Submission welcomes the inclusion of the proposed amendment inserting the residential reserve in Clonakilty. However, the reduced allocation of residential reserve proposed in Clonakilty is also noted, particularly when compared to other settlements in the County. Extract from Table 2.1 is provided, the submission notes that Clonakilty has less than half of the Residential Reserve apportioned to the North Cork Strategic Planning Area, and lower order settlements.</p> <p>A higher allocation of residential reserve in Clonakilty is considered justified on the basis of the key town status designated in the RSES.</p> <p>The submission provides a number of reasons why the inclusion of the additional lands in the residential reserve area, noting the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of lands would help deliver widening of the L40076, and creation of active travel routes, and provide for a more cohesive master planning approach. • Location of lands adjacent to the built-up area with direct access to water and waste water services, pedestrian linkages to the town centre, and amenities available in Clogheen Meadows through the hotel lands.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The planning authority notes the request to make a modification to proposed amendment no. 5.2.5.12 by seeking the inclusion of additional lands within the boundary of CK-RR-01.</p> <p>The submission of the Office of the Planning Regulator has recommended that the plan is made without this amendment. Please refer to Volume One Part 1: Key Issues for discussion on this issue.</p> <p>In addition, it is noted that any changes to the quantum and distribution of zoned land would be considered a material change, which is not feasible at this stage of the process.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.12 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.</p>
Interested Party	David and Geraldine Jennings
Ref. No.	PADP400274383
Proposed Amendment No.	NA
Submission Summary	<p>A number of observations are provided in relation to proposed amendments for Clonakilty town. Submitters are part owners of the CK-R-05 lands which are zoned residential and have previously sought changes to the area zoned due to lack of access to their lands that are adjacent to their Caravan and</p>

	<p>Camping park.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With regard to Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.6 submission notes that this land lies directly across the N71 from the area of land which they proposed for zoning as an alternative to the CK-R-05 zoning. Queries why the elevated position of these lands would be considered different in relation to visual prominence in wider views relative to their land. This was cited as a reason not to zone their alternative lands. Submit that the lands recommended for residential development would have a wider visual impact upon the entrance to the town • With regard to Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.25: Submit that this area which is being zoned Industry to cater for the food industry sector is located on the brow of the hill on the descent into Clonakilty • The reason for seeking an alternative to the CK-R-05 zoning, is because it is adjacent to their business, Desert House Caravan & Camping Park, and this should also be considered as a valuable asset to the town and tourism industry. • Re Proposed Amendment No. 5.2.5.3. submission notes that the lands on the Ring Road (L4015) are to be modified from agriculture use to 'Open space to be protected from development enhancing the visual amenities of the area' . Submits that these lands are situated directly below the CK-R-05, noting that the CK-R-05 lands are also highly visible from the Ring Road and also the Inchydoney Road, but it was not considered to be a visual prominence issue.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The contents of the submission are noted. The submission refers to and provides observations in relation to three proposed amendments.</p> <p>With regard to Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.6, the planning authority notes that this lands forms part of a larger area of land on the northern side of the town which is zoned for various uses in the Draft Plan. Some adjustments to the configuration of these zonings are also proposed under a number of other proposed amendments. The planning authority recognises that the lands on the northern side of the town are elevated, however, the inclusion of an appropriate level of zoned land is necessary to ensure adequate land is available to meet the growth targets for Clonakilty which is a key town in the RSES. The Plan contains the appropriate policy framework to ensure that new development is designed to minimise visual impact and to protect the landscape of towns such as Clonakilty.</p> <p>Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.25 makes provision for a new Industrial zoning to facilitate the future specific needs of the food sector in Clonakilty. The text of the objective is worded to ensure that the visual impact of any new development is minimised.</p> <p>The site subject to 5.2.5.3 is a visually sensitive site, and the wording of the objective appropriately addresses this issue.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required
Interested Party	David O'Hea
Ref. No.	PADP401043355
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.5.2
Submission Summary	The submission relates to Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.2 in Clonakilty which proposes to omit an area zoned Existing Residential/mixed residential and other uses on the western boundary and by zoning this area as Greenbelt GB

	<p>1-1. The lands are c. 0.4 ha located on the western side of the town, in Tawnies Lower, to the immediate west of Dunnes Stores.</p> <p>The submission does not support the proposed amendment arising from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site is zoned Commercial in the Clonakilty Town Plan 2009 and is zoned existing built up area and other uses in the Draft Plan; • Permission was granted on the site in 2007 to construct a medical centre and associated site works. In 2013, a planning application for a Primary Healthcare centre was refused 13/50000. • When the site was purchased there was a reasonable expectation that the site could be developed having regard to the zoning. • States that the change in zoning was brought about by an amendment which didn't afford the site owner sufficient time to make representations or to prepare a submission objecting to the change in status of the lands. • Cost of the land was reflective of the its zoning and potential at the time, the proposed change will devalue the site and may result in financial loss. • The Clonakilty Flood Relief Schemes were completed in 2021, and flood defences benefitting the site have been put in place. • Site is accessed and has the same site levels as Dunnes Stores, which is zoned town centre/neighbourhood centre. • The proposed zoning of the site as greenbelt is not in keeping with the past or current zoning pattern and development of this area and reduces the land available for development on the western side of the town. • May potentially lead to dereliction and creation of an eyesore.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The site is located within Flood Zone A as indicated by the updated flood maps developed as part of the SFRA process and using the best available data. The presence of a completed flood relief scheme in Clonakilty does not alter this because the Flood Guidelines state clearly that the presence of flood protection structures should be ignored in determining flood zones. This is because areas protected by flood defences still carry a residual risk of flooding from overtopping or breach of defences and the fact that there may be no guarantee that the defence will be maintained in perpetuity. Furthermore, Flood Defences are designed to protect existing properties only and it is not intended that they facilitate new development in areas outside the core of the settlement where Part 1 and/or 2 of the Justification Test have been failed.</p> <p>For completeness, the Justification Test has been applied and failed, primarily because it is a greenfield site outside of the core of a settlement where alternative lands at less risk of flooding are available. Details of the Justification Test will be available in an update to the SFRA. Water compatible development is therefore the appropriate form of land use here, and greenbelt zoning should be retained.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.2 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Dúchas Clonakilty Heritage
Ref. No.	PADP401121934
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.5.12

<p>Submission Summary</p>	<p>This detailed submission relates to Clonakilty and requests a change to Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.12 which inserts a new Residential Reserve CK-RR-01 in Clogheen on page 26 of the West Cork Chapter 2 – West Cork MD.</p> <p>Submission requests an additional point to the text of the proposed objective as follows;</p> <p><i>(e) Protect the integrity of the historic ‘Watery Boreen’ by retaining its stone walls, using an authentic and appropriate track surface, and preventing access by machinery during the construction phases of the development. Restrict use of the ‘Water Boreen’ to pedestrians and cyclists as envisaged in the Masterplan and ensure the long-term preservation of its natural and built heritage.</i></p> <p>The submission provides a detailed background to the Watery Boreen, which forms the southern boundary of the settlement in the plan. It currently comprises a grassy track, which runs approx. 1.2 km east to west from the western extension of Upper Lamb street/Clogheen Road (L4045-0 and L8048-0) to Connors Cross. It averages 4.5 metres in width, the boundaries are formed by dry stone walls, with openings to fields some with historic wrought iron gates.</p> <p>A detailed historical, archaeological and ecological appraisal of the Watery Boreen is provided in the submission. It highlights inaccuracies in the Masterplan for the lands submitted at Draft Plan stage. While the Masterplan called the boreen ‘The Bog Road’, this is considered to be inaccurate. Furthermore, the submission notes that the road is no longer classified as a public road.</p> <p>A detailed cartographic representation of the area is provided, the significance of the Boreen is described based on an historical and archaeological review of the area and may potentially date back to 1632, as a routeway between Clonakilty and Rosscarbery for a period of at least 245 years. This is described as contributing to the heritage value of these routeways, which must be conserved and protected. The significance of the Rocky Road – L80471 is also detailed.</p> <p>The suggested role of the Watery Boreen as set out in the Masterplan submission prepared by Coakley O’Neill highlights its potential role to enhance the east-west pedestrian and cycle movements across the masterplan area. The need for clarification of the final appearance of the Boreen under any proposed scheme is highlighted. The submission clarifies that the Boreen walls are of stone and do not comprise hedgerows. Requires appropriate treatment and finishes to protect their heritage value that match the historic environment and complement local distinctiveness.</p> <p>The continuing care and maintenance of the road is queried. While the road is not considered to be a public road, it is a public right of way, and could be formally recorded as such as per amendment 1.14.22 ‘Green Infrastructure: Amend Text and Objectives regarding Rights of Way and objective GI14.8. The potential to use the Watery Boreen and Rocky Road as a looped trail is highlighted. The uncertainty around responsibility for ownership and care of the Boreen must be resolved.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>The planning authority notes the request to make a modification to proposed amendment 5.2.5.12 by seeking the inclusion of additional text in objective CK-RR-01 in relation to the Watery Boreen.</p>

	<p>It is noted that the submission of the Office of the Planning Regulator has recommended that the plan is made without this amendment. Please refer to Volume One Part 1: Key Issues for further details in relation to this issue.</p> <p>In addition, it is noted that any changes to the text of the objective would be considered a material change, which is outside the scope of this stage of the process.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.12 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Dúchas Clonakilty Heritage
Ref. No.	PADP400900698
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.5.20
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Clonakilty seeks a change to Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.20 relating to the Shannonvale Foods factory to the north of the town.</p> <p>Requests that the final line of the proposed amendment specifies in greater detail the location of the proposed expansion in order to allay concerns over the adjacent cemetery.</p> <p>The submission quotes the text of the proposed amendment and raises concerns regarding the final sentence which states “The plan recognises that there may be limited opportunity for further expansion on their current site and recognise that future expansion of the facility may require consideration of development on adjacent sites.”</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the location of a rectilinear enclosure located to the NE corner of the factory. Notes that any future development should not encroach on the cemetery and a buffer zone should be created around this sensitive area to allow for the preservation of any overspill burials outside the site’s enclosure, any development should be preceded by archaeological investigation.</p> <p>Requests a change to the wording of the text of the amendment as follows:</p> <p>“The plan recognises that there may be limited opportunity for further expansion on their current site and recognise that future expansion of the facility may require consideration of development on adjacent sites to the south. There is to be no expansion north towards the historic cemetery, SMR: CO 135-023, or within a 30 m exclusion zone outside the cemetery enclosure.</p> <p>A rationale for the requested change, and overview of the cemetery is provided. Images are provided of the views of the cemetery and its close proximity to the Shannonvale Foods facility. Noted that the southwestern corner if the rectilinear enclosure of this cemetery is very close to the north wall of the factory, established in c.1852 and was last used in c.1985. Any expansion in the direction of the cemetery or its environs should be prohibited. The need for a buffer zone around the banked enclosure if also highlighted.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	The contents of the submission are noted. The Draft Plan contains a number of strong policies which afford adequate protection of sites and features of

	Archaeological Interest in chapter 16 Built and Cultural Heritage, therefore further modifications to the text of proposed amendment 5.2.5.20 are not required.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.20 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Dunnes Stores
Ref. No.	PADP401340646
Proposed Amendment No.	4.1.3.21
Submission Summary	This is a duplicate of submission no. PADP401377535.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response to PADP401377535.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation to PADP401377535.
Interested Party	Dunnes Stores
Ref. No.	PADP401377535
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.5.2
Submission Summary	<p>The submission does not support Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.2, which proposes to change the zoning of an area of land adjacent to the boundary of Dunnes Stores from Existing Residential/mixed residential to Greenbelt GB 1-1 arising from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.</p> <p>The area in question lies to the west of the existing Dunnes Stores supermarket on lands owned by the company, who are in operation at this location since 2003 and who currently employ 89 people. The submission is accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment technical report. The Dunnes Stores site access crosses the River Fealge and lies to the east of a newly constructed flood storage area. The majority of the site is zoned CK-T-04 Town/Neighbourhood Centre. The western portion of their lands are zoned Existing Residential/Mixed Residential and other Uses in the Draft Plan. Submission outlines proposal to refurbish and extend the supermarket in 2022 subject to planning permission. Requested that land within their ownership be retained inside the development boundary, and rezoned Town/Neighbourhood Centre.</p> <p>The submission does not support the proposed amendment for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flood storage area and embankment 100m to the west of the site will significantly reduce flood risk, and that neither Flood Zone a nor B are realistic. • Risk of flooding within the site is low and that a sudden and catastrophic breach of the embankment is highly unlikely (extremely low probability) Retail is less vulnerable to flooding. • Cites example of ALDI development granted permission under planning reference no. 20/270 for the construction of a new store at the Waterfront, Inchydoney road which is located in Flood zone A & B for tidal & river flooding in the West Cork MDLAP and the CFRAMS study for Clonakilty. Cork County Council had regard to the River Fealge certified Drainage Scheme/Flood defence Scheme, and the applicants proposed mitigation measures. (land raising), recommend grant of permission.

	Therefore, requested that given the level of flood protection afforded to the site, due to the presence of flood storage area and embankment 100 metres to the west, the land within their ownership be retained within the development boundary, and re zoned Town Centre/Neighbourhood Centre (CK-T-04).
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The submission includes a Flood Risk Assessment which states it demonstrates that flood risk is low because of the presence of defences.</p> <p>The site is located within Flood Zone A (defended) and B as indicated by the updated flood maps developed as part of the SFRA process and using the best available data. The presence of a completed flood relief scheme in Clonakilty including the works circa 100 metres from the site does not alter the flood zones because the Flood Guidelines state clearly that the presence of flood protection structures should be ignored in determining flood zones. This is because areas protected by flood defences still carry a residual risk of flooding from overtopping or breach of defences and the fact that there may be no guarantee that the defence will be maintained in perpetuity. Furthermore, Flood Defences are designed to protect existing properties and it is not intended that they facilitate new development in areas outside the core of the settlement where Part 1 and/or 2 of the Justification Test have been failed.</p> <p>The Justification Test has been applied and failed, primarily because it is a greenfield site outside of the core of a settlement where alternative lands at less risk of flooding are available. Water compatible development is therefore the appropriate form of land use here, and greenbelt zoning should be retained.</p> <p>Regarding historic planning decisions, each planning application is determined on its own merits in accordance with adopted planning policy at the time of decision. This SFRA process has been carried out having regard to the Flood Guidelines and historic planning decisions do not alter the outcome in this instance.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.2 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Gerald O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401100964
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7, which proposes to exclude an area of land designated Special Protection Area from the development boundary of Baltimore.</p> <p>The submission does not support the proposed amendment for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are existing houses in the affected area, mostly in family ownership for over 50 years. It is not intended that land would be sold for development. • The request is to facilitate future housing requirements of an immediate family member who hope to return to live in the area. Submitters family links to the area are outlined. • Land was acquired by family members to install a vehicle passing bay on the

	<p>roadway to facilitate a family dwelling currently under construction and to facilitate other residents on the narrow roadway. It states that this was done at no cost to the Council.</p> <p>A second dwelling for a family member in the affected area will commence construction in the near future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitter would be satisfied to consent to the removal of part of the area on the western end as shown on the map provided.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>At present there is an overlap between the boundary of the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) and the development boundary of the village of Baltimore. As part of the plan review it was sought to minimise the potential for conflict between the SPA and the development boundary of Baltimore by minimising the overlap between the two.</p> <p>Special Protection Areas are designated under the Birds Directive to support the conservation of wild birds. Regulations in relation to the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) were made in 2010.</p> <p>This particular SPA is designated for the protection of two species, namely Chough and Peregrine Falcon. Both of these species breed on the cliff face edges of the SPA. Chough feed on invertebrates in grassland habitats proximal to their breeding sites. The SPA boundary was drawn to include both their breeding sites and important feeding areas.</p> <p>The lands referenced in this amendment comprises a rocky coastal headland and would not generally be considered suitable for development. The lands are at the very edge of the settlement in an area of very high landscape and ecological value. The land is elevated and visually sensitive and prominent close to the coastline. There is considerable pressure for development in the area.</p> <p>The Natura Impact Report recommended that the lands be zoned as Green Infrastructure Conservation to protect them from inappropriate development. Instead it was decided to exclude the land from the development boundary entirely as proposed in this amendment to prevent further incremental erosion of lands within the SPA and to support the conservation objectives for the area.</p> <p>Ample alternative land is available within the Development boundary of Baltimore to cater for housing development and it is recommended that these lands be omitted from the boundary.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7 with No Modification.
Interested Party	John and Paul O'Connor
Ref. No.	PADP401195316
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.14.7
Submission Summary	<p>The submission does not support Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7 requesting that this amendment be rejected, retaining the subject lands within the development boundary. The lands are located at Beacon Road, Baltimore. The amendment is considered unnecessary for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overlaps of mapped settlement boundaries and Natura sites is common in the County and nationally, noting that it is not proposed to remove the overlap in the settlements of Glengarriff, Fermoy, Buttevant, Doneraile and Kanturk. • The current SPA designation already affords the subject lands protection in accordance with EU and national planning policy.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The subject lands are considered to be an infill site, surrounded by existing residential development, comprising a driveway, lawn area and mature trees and are suitable for inclusion within the development boundary of the settlement. <p>Details of the site context, current planning policy are provided. The majority of the subject site with the exception of the dwelling is located within the SPA. Development proposals can be screened for Appropriate Assessment in accordance with Section 250 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 as amended. Activities requiring consent are not prohibitive activities, but before being carried out must be granted consent by the Minister to determine if the activity can take place.</p> <p>Submission states that the NPWS recognises that there are legacy issues with the mapping of SAC and SPA boundaries and submitter suggests that there may be issues with accuracy with respect to the subject site. Notes that two small areas of the SPA are being retained within the development boundary.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>At present there is an overlap between the boundary of the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) and the development boundary of the village of Baltimore. As part of the plan review it was sought to minimise the potential for conflict between the SPA and the development boundary of Baltimore by minimising the overlap between the two.</p> <p>Special Protection Areas are designated under the Birds Directive to support the conservation of wild birds. Regulations in relation to the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) were made in 2010.</p> <p>This particular SPA is designated for the protection of two species, namely Chough and Peregrine Falcon. Both of these species breed on the cliff face edges of the SPA. Chough feed on invertebrates in grassland habitats proximal to their breeding sites. The SPA boundary was drawn to include both their breeding sites and important feeding areas.</p> <p>The lands referenced in this amendment comprises a rocky coastal headland and would not generally be considered suitable for development. The lands are at the very edge of the settlement in an area of very high landscape and ecological value. The land is elevated and visually sensitive and prominent close to the coastline. There is considerable pressure for development in the area.</p> <p>The Natura Impact Report recommended that the lands be zoned as Green Infrastructure Conservation to protect them from inappropriate development. Instead it was decided to exclude the land from the development boundary entirely as proposed in this amendment to prevent further incremental erosion of lands within the SPA and to support the conservation objectives for the area.</p> <p>Ample alternative land is available within the Development boundary of Baltimore to cater for housing development and it is recommended that these lands be omitted from the boundary.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7 with No Modification.
Interested Party	John Luke McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401089010
Proposed Amendment No.	NA

Submission Summary	<p>The submission does not relate to a specific Proposed Amendment.</p> <p>It comprises a proposal, previously submitted at Draft Plan stage, seeking the zoning of approximately 6 hectares of land in the townland of Gallanes on the northern side of Clonakilty (map provided in the submission). An extension of either the existing Development Boundary of Clonakilty or the GB1-2 zoning which adjoins the subject site is requested. Furthermore, it requests that the plan should encourage the provision of multi-generational / sheltered housing development on same. It is also requesting that the plan include a Special Policy Area objective CK-X-02 for the subject lands to: "Support the development of residential care, assisted living, group/community housing and other forms of accommodation for older persons and related uses."</p> <p>A rationale for the proposal is provided in terms of planning policy at national, regional and local level. Details of the site characteristics are provided setting out why the land would be suitable for the proposal. Appendix II provides sketch site layout drawings relating to a separate proposal also submitted at Draft plan stage, which sought the extension of the GB 1-2 lands to encompass a 0.178-hectare site.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	The submission does not refer directly to any proposed amendment. A similar submission was made at Draft Plan stage. The request to zone additional land in Clonakilty is outside the scope of this stage of the process and therefore cannot be given further consideration.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required
Interested Party	Liam Harnedy
Ref. No.	PADP400959841
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.6.28
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Bantry and does not support proposed amendment 5.2.6.28 which proposes to amend the Draft Plan by reclassifying the BT-R-05 zoning as a residential reserve BT-RR-02.</p> <p>The submission seeks to have a site of approx. 1 hectare, changed from residential reserve back to residential. The following points are highlighted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • inclusion of these lands will help provide a sufficient supply of available zoned lands in Bantry for residential use critical to ensure growth targets can be met. • the lands are located within walking distance of the commercial core and considered optimal for residential development • Proposed to take into account the BT-U-03 relief road, to connect the existing footpaths to open up the lands. Submission states that it is proposed to submit a concurrent planning application for the widening of the L-4737 road. • Considers it essential to zone land which supports investment in infrastructure improvements. • Submit that the developer has currently developed plans in line with the R-05 zoning and is an active builder who has a proven track record with a capacity to deliver diverse housing options. • Finally, a site location map is provided with the line of a new relief road highlighted in yellow, (BT-U-03), the land required for road widening is shown

	outlined in Blue. This extends along much of the length of the BT-U-03 road line.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The planning authority notes the contents of the submission which does not support the change in proposed amendment 5.2.6.28 to reclassify BT-R-05 zoning as a residential reserve BT-RR-02.</p> <p>The submission relates to approximately 1 hectare of land in the BT-R-05 zoning and requests that the residential zoning be retained in this portion of the BT-R-05.</p> <p>It is noted that the submission of the Office of the Planning Regulator has recommended that the plan is made without proposed amendment 5.2.6.27 parts (a) and (b). Please refer to Volume One Part 1: Key Issues for a discussion on this issue and it is a recommendation of this CE report not to adopt proposed amendment 5.2.6.27 (a) and (b) on foot of this.</p> <p>As the new residential zoning proposed under Proposed Amendment No. 5.2.6.27 (a) is no longer recommended, it is necessary to retain the BT-R-05 zoning to ensure a sufficient supply of residential land over the life of the Plan. Therefore it is recommended that amendment no. 5.2.6.28 is not adopted and lands be retained for residential use as per the Draft Plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Not to Adopt the Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.28 and Revert back to the Draft Plan.
Interested Party	Mark Robins
Ref. No.	PADP398893931
Proposed Amendment No.	NA
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to Schull. It seeks the inclusion of a General Objective for Schull as follows: "to Investigate the feasibility of providing a footpath between Cadogan's Strand and the junction of the R592 and L4414-0.</p> <p>The submissions outlined that this stretch of road is extremely dangerous for walkers and cyclists stating that it is for the most part blind and there is v limited space. It notes that the route is used by locals and tourists (who visit the historic Workhouse & walk the Fastnet Trails loop walk). The submission considers that a new safe path would add real value for active travel to and from the village.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	The submission does not refer directly to any specific proposed amendment. The issue referred to in the submission is already addressed in General Objective reference no. SC-GO-08 of the Draft Plan.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required
Interested Party	Murnane O'Shea Limited
Ref. No.	PADP401383419
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.7 (b)
Submission Summary	The submission relates to Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.7 (b) in Bantry. The amendment relates to zoning objective BT-X-02. The proposed change is to re-

	<p>zone a portion of the X-02 special policy area lands to a new town centre zoning objective (BT-T-03) and part (b) to amend the wording of the BT-X-02 objective, preventing future 'retail, office, residential, marine related and restaurant uses' based on the SFRA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The submission notes that there is no objection to part (a) of the proposed amendment which proposes a new town centre zoning BT-T-03. • Requests that part (b) of the proposed amendment be omitted in its entirety as future retail, office, residential, marine related and restaurant uses within the BT-X-02 lands have already satisfied the Justification Test during the preparation of the past two Local Area Plans. • Reference to Table 4 of the SFRA – Bantry Justification test is made and quotes justification for the BT-T-03 site. • Highlights that the previous justification tests for the 2011 and 2017 LAPs for the BT-X-02 objective have not been quoted in the updated January 2022 SFRA instead it recommended that the objective be amended to accommodate 'appropriate retain water compatible uses' only and that recreational, amenity, tourist related uses retail office, residential, marine related and restaurant uses be omitted. • Proposed Amendment No. 5.2.6.7 (b) is not consistent with the 2009 Flood Risk guidelines, and furthermore, submits, that the BT-X-02 zoning objective should have been informed by a 'justification test' in accordance with the guidelines. • Box 4.1 of the 2009 Guidelines is referenced and the submission states that as the BT-X-02 lands were identified for retail, office, residential, marine related and restaurant uses in the 2011 and 2017 LAPs, the lands therefore satisfied the criteria of the Justification test identified in the Guidelines and that the zoning of the lands in the 2011 and 2017 LAPs was consistent with all criteria specified in the guidelines. • Circular PL2/2014 is referenced, it is requested that proposed amendment no. 5.2.6.7 (b) be omitted from the future CDP, as it is without basis and inconsistent with the statutory guidelines. The BT-X-02 lands satisfied justification tests for these uses in the past two LAPs and no such justification test is referenced in the Updated SFRA. The submission requests that the previous text of objective BT-X-02 be restored to its original text.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The Justification Test has been applied to BT-T-03 lands, which is proposed to include part of the BT-X-02 lands and found the land use is appropriate but major new development is premature until the flood relief scheme has been completed. For the remainder of the BT-X-02 lands, it was found only water compatible uses should be permitted.</p> <p>The SFRA applied the Justification Test fully where required across the county whilst historically the approach taken in the SFRA did not include the full application of the Justification Test as set out in the Flooding Guidelines.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.6.7 (b) with No Modification.
Interested Party	Peter Allis
Ref. No.	PADP400922195
Proposed Amendment No.	NA

Submission Summary	<p>The submission does not relate to a specific Proposed Amendment but requests deletion of the following wording from Draft Plan objective CK-R-04:</p> <p>‘The overall approach to the development of this site (including road access proposals and the provision of pedestrian and cycleway links) should be co-ordinated with the development of adjoining lands to the east.’</p> <p>The revised wording of objective CK R-04 would therefore read; ‘Medium A Density Residential Development. Development should give adequate consideration to the protected structure - Railway Station and its setting to the north of the site.’</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The request to delete text from Objective CK-R-04 is a material consideration beyond the scope of this stage of the process. A submission received at Draft Plan stage made a similar request. The CE response outlined that the wording of the objective is considered appropriate, making provision for adequate connectivity between development sites.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required
Interested Party	Sherkin Island Development Society
Ref. No.	PADP394111015
Proposed Amendment No.	NA
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Sherkin Island. It identifies a number of factual corrections required to the Draft Plan as follows:</p> <p>2.27 Remove ' The island has a temporary marina summer months'. The submission notes that there is no marina on the island.</p> <p>2.27 Remove the words 'hotel' and 'public toilets' The submission states that there is no hotel or public toilets available on the island.</p> <p>2.27.5 'The existence of the hotel' is inaccurate.</p> <p>The submissions requests two additional General Development Objectives as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the provision of pier developments • Support the provision of public toilets
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The submission does not refer directly to any proposed amendment. The factual corrections referenced in the submission will be corrected in the final plan, no further action is required at this stage. The submission suggests two additional General Development Objectives. The inclusion of additional development objectives is outside the scope of this stage of the process. Chapter 7 of the Draft Plan already includes a number of objectives which recognise the need to support the Islands, their development needs particularly in relation to access, infrastructure and services. This is also reflected in GO-01 General Objectives for the West Cork Island Communities in Volume 5 of the Draft Plan.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required
Interested Party	Susan Hill
Ref. No.	PADP401064479

Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.41.2
Submission Summary	<p>Detailed submission relates to Goleen and does not support Proposed Amendment 5.2.41.2 arising from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment relating to lands on the eastern side of Goleen, adjoining Ballydivlin Bay.</p> <p>The amendment proposes to remove the X-01 objective, where it overlaps with the Flood Risk zone, with the remaining area brought within the development boundary of the village. The submission relates to a c. 0.41 ha area of land on the southern side of the local road, occupied by the existing Herons Cove Restaurant and B&B and a small area of land c. 1.57 ha in area adjoining a river on the northern side of the road. A map of the site is provided with the submission.</p> <p>The lands are currently zoned X-01 Special Policy Area in the Draft Plan. This objective while retaining the open amenity and character of the area, to be kept free from development also makes provision for limited development options to be given consideration. Some residential development may also be considered. The planning history of the lands has been provided.</p> <p>The most recent planning application 21/800 was refused permission for 2 no. short term/holiday letting dwellings. The submission cites permissions granted in the area within flood risk areas deemed acceptable by the Council and in accordance with Flood Risk Guidelines.</p> <p>States that an inconsistent approach has been taken to zoning objective X-01 and X-02 in the SFRA.</p> <p>The flood zones are considered to be indicative only and a more detailed site-specific flood risk assessment would identify the true nature of flood risk in the area. Argues that this approach has been accepted when permission was granted for developments on adjoining sites in 2016 & 2021, whereby the Planning Authority found no credible risk of flooding, granting permission for developments despite being located within flood risk zones.</p> <p>Submissions considers the removal of area around the harbour, the villages key natural asset, would be detrimental to the future development of the village contrary to the achievement of compact development. Submission provides reasons why the lands would be considered suitable for residential development. The landowner has a number of aspirations for the subject lands including, a public car park, riverside walk, and eco-park on lands on the northern side of the access roadway and small-scale tourism accommodation as an expansion to the existing Herons Cove tourist accommodation. Furthermore, this would give effect to a number of development objectives outlined for Goleen in the plan. There is concern that removing the X-01 will undermine the realization of these development objectives for the village.</p> <p>An alternative proposal is put forward, seeking to retain the development boundary of the village, and instead zoning the main flood risk area as an area of as Green Infrastructure - public open space.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>The submission seeks to retain the settlement boundary as shown in the Draft Development Plan, which would facilitate development within Flood Zone C, but disconnected from the core of Goleen, and proposes a new green infrastructure zoning for the lands within Flood Zone A and B.</p>

	<p>Part of the site is located within Flood Zone A as indicated by the updated flood maps developed as part of the SFRA process and using the best available data. Whilst the submission notes that the flood zones are indicative, the data used to determine the flood zones has been deemed appropriate for the planning decisions being made at this stage of the plan making process.</p> <p>Regarding historic planning decisions, each planning application is determined on its own merits in accordance with adopted planning policy at the time of decision. This SFRA process has been carried out having regard to the Flood Guidelines and historic planning decisions do not alter the outcome in this instance.</p> <p>The submission refers to the lands within the flood zone never flooding, however, an area with no history of flooding does not mean that it is not at risk of flooding.</p> <p>The SFRA recommended that the principle of the zoning objective X-01 be reconsidered given the justification test if applied would have likely failed and by merit of the scale of flooding within the zoning boundary it would not be possible to apply the sequential approach. This differs to the approach taken for X-02 given the sequential approach can be more readily applied and development avoided in Flood Zone A/B.</p> <p>The Justification Test has not been applied in Goleen so the sequential approach must be followed, which would not permit highly vulnerable development in Flood Zone A / B and less vulnerable development in Flood Zone A even if with the presence of defences. This would apply whether the site is inside or outside the development boundary. It should be noted that proposals for development, even in Flood Zone C, should be supported by an appropriately detailed FRA, taking into account climate change (sea level rise) and ensuring safe access in times of flood.</p> <p>Also see Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.41.2 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Tom and Deirdre Bushe
Ref. No.	PADP401096423
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7, which proposes to exclude an area of land designated Special Protection Area from the development boundary of Baltimore.</p> <p>The submission does not support the proposed amendment for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are existing houses and approval for two houses, in the process of being built, in the affected area, mostly on land in family possession for over 50 years. It is not intended that land would be sold for development. • The request is to facilitate future housing requirements for immediate family who are being reared in the area;

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitter would be satisfied to consent to the removal of part of the area on the western side as shown on the map provided.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>At present there is an overlap between the boundary of the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) and the development boundary of the village of Baltimore. As part of the plan review, it was sought to minimise the potential for conflict between the SPA and the development boundary of Baltimore by minimising the overlap between the two.</p> <p>Special Protection Areas are designated under the Birds Directive to support the conservation of wild birds. Regulations in relation to the Sheep's Head to Toe Head Special Protection Area (SPA) were made in 2010.</p> <p>This particular SPA is designated for the protection of two species, namely Chough and Peregrine Falcon. Both of these species breed on the cliff face edges of the SPA. Chough feed on invertebrates in grassland habitats proximal to their breeding sites. The SPA boundary was drawn to include both their breeding sites and important feeding areas.</p> <p>The lands referenced in this amendment comprises a rocky coastal headland and would not generally be considered suitable for development. The lands are at the very edge of the settlement in an area of very high landscape and ecological value. The land is elevated and visually sensitive and prominent close to the coastline. There is considerable pressure for development in the area.</p> <p>The Natura Impact Report recommended that the lands be zoned as Green Infrastructure Conservation to protect them from inappropriate development. Instead it was decided to exclude the land from the development boundary entirely as proposed in this amendment to prevent further incremental erosion of lands within the SPA and to support the conservation objectives for the area.</p> <p>Ample alternative land is available within the Development boundary of Baltimore to cater for housing development and it is recommended that these lands be omitted from the boundary.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.14.7 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Tony and Frances O'Dwyer
Ref. No.	PADP400542132
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.10.9
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to Schull and specifically Proposed Amendment 5.2.10.9, which comprises the insertion of a new GB 1-2 designation of c. 0.6 acres of land at Coosheen, Schull.</p> <p>The submission does not support the proposed amendment for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any rezoning with potential housing development would negatively impact on their property. • A proposal to previously rezone the land was rejected outright by the Chief Executive as 'there was sufficient land within the development boundary of Schull to meet population targets'. Submission No. DCDP 345922604 is provided. • The submission states that the land now proposed for rezoning has been

	<p>inserted by the elected councillors and does not have the approval of planning officials.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned that should the rezoning be permitted, it is possible a planning application would be successful on land zoned GB 1-2, as precedent at Colla where it states, a substantial house was built on land zoned GB 1-2. • Services are considered poor, increased risk of ground water pollution is cited, as there is no mains sewer or mains water. The access road is considered narrow, additional traffic could pose a health and safety risk, as it serves 18 existing and planned dwellings. • Concerns regarding potential removal of mature trees on the lands, finally, concerns are highlighted that any proposed development would likely be for speculative purposes and not serve the local population.
Chief Executive's Response	<p>Following discussions at the development committee meeting on the 3rd of December, it was agreed to include a proposed amendment to include the 0.6 acre Infill site as a GB 1-2.</p> <p>It is noted that the submission does not support the proposed amendment and raises a number of issues relating to the Proposed Amendment 5.2.10.9 which are detailed in the submission summary above.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.10.9 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Vincent Kerr
Ref. No.	PADP400940729
Proposed Amendment No.	5.2.5.3
Submission Summary	<p>Submission does not support Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.3 to change part of Draft Plan CK-AG-04 to CK-GC-06, arising from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment with respect to the lower portion of lands located to the north of the Ring Road and west of Fax Bridge in Clonakilty.</p> <p>Seeking that the proposed amendment 5.2.5.3 is rejected and that the draft plan zoning CK-AG-04, would remain on the lower portion of lands for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Since the completion of the CFRAMS flood maps used to determine the flood zones in the town, the Clonakilty Flood Relief Scheme has been completed, providing protection for areas previously at flood risk. • What was proposed to be built as part of the Flood Relief Scheme differs significantly to what was constructed on site in this specific location. • A revised embankment was constructed on the eastern boundary of the lower site • Submission considers that the information contained in the Cork County Council mapping website and the CFRAMS flood maps is incorrect, as it does not reflect the benefitting impacts of the flood scheme for the site, therefore there is no basis for the re-zoning of the lands. Lands are considered to be well drained by French drains connected to an outlet which discharges to the estuary. • A number of documents are submitted in support, including drawings and photographs of the as built flood defence embankment.
Chief Executive's Response	The site in question is at risk of flooding and the submission considers that the site is defended as part of the Clonakilty Flood Relief Scheme Works and because of the presence of drainage infrastructure within the field.

	<p>In carrying out the SFRA the best available data was used to inform the flood zone mapping. The comments regarding the changes which occurred in building this element of the Flood Relief Scheme are noted. However, this does not alter the conclusions of the SFRA because the Flood Guidelines are clear that the presence of flood protection structures should be ignored in determining flood zones. As such, the presence of defences on this site would not alter the extent of the flood zones, because areas that benefit from an existing flood relief scheme or flood defences still carry a residual risk of flooding from overtopping or a breach or other failure of defences and the fact that there may be no guarantee that the defence will be maintained in perpetuity.</p> <p>The site is in Flood Zone A, and as such, without application of the Justification Test, the site cannot be zoned for highly or less vulnerable development. For completeness, a Justification Test has been carried out for the site and will be included in an update to the SFRA. Given, inter alia, the sites distance from the core, it's greenfield nature, and availability of alternative sites at lower risk, the Justification Test was failed. In addition, while agriculture use is generally considered as a water compatible use, Chapter 19 of the Draft Plan highlights the potential of Agriculture zoned land to play a role in the delivery of future residential development in the period after 2028. For the avoidance of any doubt as to the suitability of the site for highly vulnerable residential development, it is considered appropriate that the lands be zoned for a water compatible use as set out in the proposed amendment. Regardless of the zoning, development on the site would still be subject to the requirement to apply the sequential approach, which would not permit highly vulnerable development in Flood Zone A / B and less vulnerable development in Flood Zone A even with the presence of defences.</p> <p>See also Response to Key Issue on Water Management in Section 2 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.5.3 with No Modification.
Interested Party	Walsh Group
Ref. No.	PADP401336592
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 5.2.10.9
Submission Summary	<p>The submission relates to lands at Coosheen, Schull specifically made in respect of Proposed Amendment 5.2.10.9, which comprises the insertion of a new GB 1-2 designation of c. 0.6 acres of land at Coosheen.</p> <p>The proposed amendment is welcomed in the submission, providing details of a number of other housing schemes being delivered by the submitters in Schull, including housing at Copper Point, proposals for housing at Colla Road which aim to provide a mix of housing types during the plan period.</p> <p>States that it is envisaged that GB 1-2 objective will facilitate those seeking larger detached houses on their own sites in a planned and sustainable manner demonstrating the necessary high standard of design and sensitivity in the landscape.</p> <p>Finally, the submission states, that it is envisaged that the quality of housing on</p>

	these lands, will demonstrate the merits of zoning additional lands from within the original c. 6.5 acres at an appropriate future stage.
Chief Executive's Response	The contents of this submission are noted.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	Adopt Proposed Amendment 5.2.10.9 with No Modification.

Volume Six

9 SEA

Interested Party	Corporate Support Unit Department of the Environment Climate and Communications
Ref. No.	PADP401357213
Proposed Amendment No.	
Submission Summary	<p>The Department of the Environment Climate and Communications notes that the revised Climate Action Plan 2021 has been published and request that the Council considers updating proposed amendment no. 1.1.1 to reflect same.</p> <p>Department officials can make themselves available for a discussion or to provide support to the County Council in areas such as Climate Action, Engagement and Adaptation, the Circular Economy, energy Generation and Networks, energy Use / Demand in the Built Environment, communications, Environmental Policy and Governance, Waste and Natural Resources.</p> <p>Geological Survey Ireland is pleased to see a number of amendments that include references to comments made in GSIs previous submissions. Proposed amendment No. 1.15.3, 1.15.4 and SEA Amendment No. 3 are welcomed.</p> <p>Geological Survey Ireland's relevant Datasets are attached at the end of the submission.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	<p>A non-material change is recommended to Proposed Amendment 1.17.2 as a consequential update following publication of National Climate Action Plan.</p> <p>The planning authority welcomes engagement with Department officials for support in areas such as Climate, Energy and Waste.</p>
Chief Executive's Recommendation	<p>A non-material amendment to Proposed Amendment 1.17.2 following publication of National Climate Action Plan.</p>
Interested Party	Environmental Protection Agency
Ref. No.	PADP396852546
Proposed Amendment No.	SCP200302.3
Submission Summary	<p>The EPA provide a 'self-service approach' via the guidance document 'SEA of Local Authority Land Use Plans – EPA Recommendations and Resources'. These should be considered, as appropriate and relevant to the Amendments.</p> <p>Sustainable Development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that the Plan, as amended, is consistent with the need for proper planning and sustainable development. Adequate and appropriate critical service infrastructure should be in place, or required to be put in place, to service any development proposed and authorised during the lifetime of the Plan; • Align with national commitments on climate change mitigation and adaptation, as well as incorporating any relevant recommendations in sectoral, regional and local climate adaptation plans; and • Ensure the Plan is consistent with key relevant higher-level plans and programmes. <p>Likely Significant Effects</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where the SEA has identified any Amendments as having potential for likely significant environmental effects or which conflict with national environmental or planning policy, clear justification should be given for proceeding with those alterations. • The Plan, prior to its adoption, should also consider and integrate the recommendations of the SEA. <p>Once the Plan is adopted/made, it is advised that an SEA Statement be prepared as per Article 9 of SEA Directive (2001/42/EC) and sent to any environmental authority consulted during the SEA process. Guidance on preparing SEA Statements is available on the EPA website.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	The planning authority has considered the EPA guidance 'SEA of Local Authority Land Use Plans – EPA Recommendations and Resources' as part of its SEA of the amendments. This includes the sustainable development actions listed and the likely significant effects on the environment. An SEA Statement will be prepared as per Article 9 of the SEA Directive.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	No further action required.



Cork County Development Plan Review

Section 12(8)

Chief Executive's Report

Volume Two: Part 2(b)

*Submissions on the Proposed
Amendment No.4.3.3.15 Broomfield,
Midleton, East Cork MD to the Draft
Plan –*

Response and Recommendations

16th March 2022



Comhairle Contae Chorcaí
Cork County Council

Contents

VOLUME FOUR	4
1 PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.3.3.15 AT BROOMFIELD, MIDLETON, EAST CORK MD	4

Volume Two of the Section 12(8) Chief Executive's Report is made up of the following parts;

Volume Two Part 1; Submissions on the Proposed Amendments to the Draft Plan-Response and Recommendations Volume One and Two of the Draft Plan.

Volume Two Part 2(a) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments-Response and Recommendations Volumes Three, Four and Five of the Draft Plan (All 8 Municipal Districts)

Volume Two Part 2(b) Submissions on the Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 Broomfield, Midleton, East Cork MD.

Volume Two Part 2(c) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (A-K).

Volume Two Part 2(d) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (L-Z).

Volume Four

1 Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 at Broomfield, Midleton, East Cork MD

Interested Party	A Barry
Ref. No.	PADP401119416
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 site reference MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aileen Lehane
Ref. No.	PADP400567020
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 Site reference MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R-27 to Metropolitan Greenbelt due to the lack of infrastructure and the submission states that it could devalue existing houses hugely, cause massive traffic problems and ruin the landscape and the local country walk that is used by so many in a busy town.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aileen Pomphrett
Ref. No.	PADP401651440
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the need for local housing to attract workers and economic success, the location within walking distance of the town centre, the need for a mix of A rated housing types and links to consumer spending and employment generation.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aileen Pomphrett
Ref. No.	PADP401628373
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including close proximity to train station, walking distance of town centre and schools and proximity to Midleton-Youghal greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aine White, Cronin Wall Properties Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP402001697
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. It states new local housing is a major contributor of employment and income generation which will help to ensure financial stability for local businesses and is essential to a town's longterm economic success.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aisling Gilroy
Ref. No.	PADP400643301
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It notes reasons as to why the site should remain in the greenbelt, outlining potential issues if the site is developed with privacy, visual impact, noise pollution, increased traffic and lack of amenities in the area. It notes, as a resident in the area they would be negatively impacted by the development of this site. It notes there are ample sites available in Midleton that are more suitable for development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Aisling Mulhall
Ref. No.	PADP398999966
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission fully supports proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 to revert residential zoned land to greenbelt zoning. The submission states that the council took a clear majority vote in December acknowledging the public support behind maintaining greenbelt status and the green field land overlooking the town. The majority of the East Cork elected members have also given a clear mandate to maintain greenbelt status in the County Development Plan.</p> <p>The Draft CDP had stated additional land was required for zoning for housing targets within the time frame of the plan, however as the number of units required has been reduced the submission questions why additional land would be needed. The submission states that Midleton would appear to have ample zoned land throughout the town with 2500 units planned for Water-Rock.</p> <p>The submission states that the Council is currently assessing 4 applications, 2 of which are in Water-Rock totalling almost 900 units in the town, a key indicator that the strategy for this area is coming to fruition. The varied developers involved also shows that Midleton is not solely dependent on one housing provider for future housing stock.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Amanda Cody
Ref. No.	PADP401384000
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, the new greenway, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Amy Cody
Ref. No.	PADP401381482
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to

	Midleton town centre, the train station, the new greenway, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Amye Wilson
Ref. No.	PADP401527051
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the train station. Submission requests for further homes to be developed in Broomfield and highlights their need to relocate because the commute to Mallow is very long each day.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Andre Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401659892
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use due to the current climate.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Andrew Dillon
Ref. No.	PADP401446919
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt as Broomfield has become over-developed, has traffic congestion and lacks social infrastructure
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Andrew Lynch
Ref. No.	PADP401639256

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use stating it is the ideal location for new development and Midleton needs more housing. The submission requests the Council reconsider the proposed change of zoning to this site.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Angela Kelleher
Ref. No.	PADP399531947
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically objective MD-R-27 in the Draft Plan. The submission supports the proposed amendment to maintain the land as Greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Angela Kelleher
Ref. No.	PADP400952607
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to its elevated nature arguing that it would impact on the privacy of properties drainage issues and also notes the potential disruption caused by rock blasting and dust, well as the existing traffic on the local roads noting in particular the traffic generated by new houses would lead to traffic gridlock. The submission also raises concerns about the total lack of amenities, playgrounds, leisure services, shops, cafes and green spaces in the Broomfield area and notes that there are other more suitable sites in the Midleton area with planning permission for new homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ann O Dowd
Ref. No.	PADP400891371
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual impact and intrusion of privacy. • Drainage issues.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extra traffic. • Lack of amenities. Negatively impact the surrounding area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anna Hughes
Ref. No.	PADP401655143
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including site location, close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anne Marie Brennan
Ref. No.	PADP401541960
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission notes here is a high demand for new housing in Midleton.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anne Sweeney
Ref. No.	PADP401585465
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use. They state they are looking to downsize and buy a new built 2 bed home in the Midleton area where they can walk to town, shops and meet friends. Other estates such as Blossom Hill are sold out. Submission questions why de-zoning would be considered when there is a housing crisis that will not be solved soon and there is a need to build more houses. Dezoning will have a damaging impact on lives and the town.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Annett Dahill
Ref. No.	PADP401537177
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. The submission states this is the ideal location for housing development and states for those who are paying high rents and those looking to buy it is imperative these houses get delivered fast.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Annette O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401595766
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anthony & Claire O Connor
Ref. No.	PADP401365685
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site will cause negative visual impact. • Surface water runoff from the site may cause flood risks to lower lying properties. • There isn't sufficient waste water capacity to support development of the site. • Inadequate road infrastructure will cause traffic congestion and impact negatively on road safety. • The zoning of site MD-R-27 is not necessary as housing demand will be catered for in the Water Rock area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anthony Loughry
Ref. No.	PADP401553803
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the site's close proximity to Midleton town, the train station and Midleton to Youghal greenway, and the severe shortage of new homes available.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Antoinette Scannell
Ref. No.	PADP401439371
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to traffic congestion and the area is already too built up.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aoife Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400700061
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt arguing that development will destroy the visual impact of the area as well as cause traffic gridlock and that there is already a severe lack of amenities in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aoife Waterman
Ref. No.	PADP400897188
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to its elevated nature arguing that it would impact on

	the privacy of properties and also notes the potential disruption caused by rock blasting and dust, well as the existing traffic on the local roads noting in particular the traffic generated by Middleton Distillery. The submission also raises concerns about the total lack of amenities for children, schools and green space in the Broomfield area and notes that there are already plenty of other sites in the Middleton area with planning permission for new homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Arun Sasisdharan
Ref. No.	PADP401292999
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Middleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of A rated new homes, the sites close proximity to Middleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ashleigh Wellman
Ref. No.	PADP401555241
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Middleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Middleton town centre, the train station, schools and the new greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Austin Stack
Ref. No.	PADP401446358
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt because there is too much traffic already in the area and not enough infrastructure. Submission highlights there is already busy queues with traffic getting out of Broomfield each morning and the area cannot take any more cars.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ava Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP401572346
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and other services and amenities. It notes there is a huge demand for housing in the area and a housing development with mix of housing types is needed.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ava Mangan
Ref. No.	PADP401140151
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site- visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities, greenspace and play areas.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bairbre Healy
Ref. No.	PADP401347842
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including area's close proximity to Midleton town centre and the train station.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Barrie Paine
Ref. No.	PADP401356480
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including it is an ideal location for housing development, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train line, all local amenities and easy access for commuting to Cork City.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Barry Kearney
Ref. No.	PADP399541776
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission refers to a site in the town which it states is not suitable for development as it overlooks the town and should therefore remain in the Greenbelt. The submission also states that traffic is already bad in this area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Barry Rumley
Ref. No.	PADP401602557
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including this being a perfect location for housing in a town where new houses are badly needed. Such housing would be of huge interest to their family.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Beata Mankowska
Ref. No.	PADP400559425
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R27 to Metropolitan Greenbelt due to issues including traffic gridlock, lack of amenities including green spaces and playgrounds in Broomfield, and also the elevation of the site which will have visual impact on the surrounding area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Ben Griffin
Ref. No.	PADP401415134
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The greenbelt is uses as a local facility as a walking route to escape the urban jungle. • Negative effects of the dust and pollution generated during construction. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities and amenities. • Suggests sites like Waterrock are more suitable for development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ben Sheehy
Ref. No.	PADP401997619
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use as it represents an ideal location for a housing development of 1-4 bed houses. It is an ideal location to live in close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and the Midleton Greenway.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bernadette Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP401125656
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the MD-R-27 land kept in the greenbelt outlining the following reasons:</p> <p>Elevated Site - Visual impact, privacy & drainage risk. Traffic gridlock. Lack of amenities, green space & play areas. The extensive cave network under Broomfield area. It concludes that, if the pandemic has taught us anything, it was the importance of having beautiful areas to enjoy just outside the boundaries of Midleton town.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Blossom hill and Broomfield residents

Ref. No.	PADP401117693
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. The following are reasons: 1. Elevated site visual impact and drainage risk 2. Traffic gridlock, restricted road network already in Broomfield with 15-20 minutes delays already leaving the area in the morning. 3. Lack of amenities, green space and play areas
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brendan Kearney
Ref. No.	PADP399036301
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to proposed amendment no. 4.3.3.15 to return MD-R-27 to a greenbelt zoning. Submission supports the amendment.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brendan Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP402140127
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the suitability of the location being within a short walk of the town, greenway and train. It states they have been looking to buy in Midleton for 12 months but that there are few houses available.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brendan Wolohan
Ref. No.	PADP401114370
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brian & Michelle Hughes
Ref. No.	PADP401244007
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing access road for this land is a small, single-carriageway country road with just enough space for a single car in places. This road would not be suitable for either the necessary construction traffic for the proposed development or to support the subsequent resulting increase in residential traffic. • Elevation of the site, this road is very steep which may impact any future attempts to improve the road. • Increased traffic levels. • Significant visual impact on an elevated site. • Impact to the privacy of the properties surrounding the land. • Drainage issues from water run off to lower lying properties.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brian Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP401387534
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and new greenway.</p> <p>Submission strongly supports new housing in Broomfield, providing a mix of homes in this suitable and convenient location.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brid Kelly
Ref. No.	PADP400575335
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to elevated nature of the Broomfield site MD-R-27. The submission is opposed to the land being used for residential development due to an increase in traffic and notes that there is already considerable gridlock in the mornings trying to get out of the Broomfield estate onto</p>

	Avoncore. The submission also raises concerns about drainage and water run-off and the significant blasting of rock required during the development and also raises concerns about dust and the quality of the air during the development. The submission notes that this greenfield site is in an area used regularly for walking and exercise.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bridget McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400686160
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to its elevated nature arguing that it would impact on the privacy of the property as well as the drainage risk to the properties at the end of the road. The submission also argues that there is already gridlock on the local roads and that there is a total lack of amenities, services and green space in the Broomfield area and that the area is being turned into an unsustainable community.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bryan Stack
Ref. No.	PADP399316309
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically to objective MD-R-27 in the Draft Plan. The submission supports proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 to maintain the greenbelt status of the land.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caitriona O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP400832685
Proposed Amendment No.	MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and zoning MD-R-27. Submission requests to keep green zone.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Carol Quinn

Ref. No.	PADP401593419
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the huge shortage of new homes in Midleton and the fact that town and greenway are only a 15/20 minute walk.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	carol shields
Ref. No.	PADP399538783
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 site number MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that lands in Broomfield are not suitable for planned development as the traffic is already very heavy in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caroline Caplice
Ref. No.	PADP401651403
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. The ability of the site to provide A rated 1-4 bed homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Castle Rock Homes (Midleton) Limited
Ref. No.	PADP401389129
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to lands at Broomfield in Midleton and specifically to the MD-R-27 residential lands which are the subject of amendment no. 4.3.3.15, which proposes to re-instate the lands back into the Metropolitan Green Belt. The submission notes that the stated origin of the proposed amendment is the requirement to address changes to the Core Strategy of the Plan. While the submission recognises the need to change the Core Strategy in

	<p>response to the OPR’s submission it expresses surprise by the options taken in Midleton particularly on these lands which are in such close proximity to the Midleton railway station.</p> <p>The submission requests that the lands are included for residential development in the adopted 2022-2028 CDP for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The de-zoning of the subject lands is unjustified and is inconsistent with the OPR’s recommendations to apply an ‘evidence based’ approach regarding the zoning of lands in settlements and contrary to SPPR DPG 7 to of the Draft Development Plan – Guidelines for Planning Authorities 2021. ‘Planning authorities shall adopt a sequential approach when zoning lands for development, whereby the most spatially centrally located development sites in settlements are prioritised for new development first, with more spatially peripherally located development sites being zoned subsequently.’ - The subject lands will contribute to more compact and sustainable development, orientated around the sites location circa 7 minutes walking from Midleton train station, proximity to Midleton town centre and existing services, social and recreational infrastructure in the area. - The lands represent a more appropriate, deliverable and sustainable location for the future expansion of Midleton Town when compared to other proposed zoned lands in the settlement. The submission considers that the lands should be prioritised for development over the MD-R-01, MD-R-03 and MD-R-04 lands to the south of the town which have been zoned for the lifetime of several plans and have remained undeveloped. - Over-reliance on the Water Rock UEA where there is continued uncertainty around the timeframe of the deliverability of the Water Rock UEA. The submission refers to MD-R-06 and MD-R-08 and their inclusion in the updated 2022 SFRA. - MD-R-25 lands should be zoned for Existing Residential Development due to a recently permitted residential development on these lands. - The lands represent an extension of the Broomfield/Blossom Hill residential development to the south. It is envisaged that a planning application for a first phase of residential development would be submitted during the early lifetime of the 2022 plan. <p>The submission requests that the Planning Authority review the proposed residential zoning objectives for Midleton in the Draft CDP and include these lands with a new residential objective for the settlement. The submission states that any future development on these lands will be guided by the site’s topography and location in its local and broader context. The submission includes a preliminary Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of the site.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cathal Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401644612
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use as

	there are very few 1 and 2 bedroom houses in Midleton where he desires to buy. Midleton is the favoured location having the train station and all amenities. It defies logic not to support such zoning in a housing crisis.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine Cahill
Ref. No.	PADP401647433
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the ideal location for 1-4 bed houses, close to all amenities in the town centre and the train and provides easy access for commuting to Cork City. Currently renting in the area and support housing in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine Donovan
Ref. No.	PADP401607122
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP401397674
Proposed Amendment No.	4.4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, the new Midleton greenway and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Cayleigh Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP399985858
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission outlines support for the amendment to the County Development Plan that the site named MD-R-27 would remain in the greenbelt. The reasons outlined are as follows: 1. Elevated site - visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. 2. Traffic gridlock. 3. Lack of amenities, green spaces, and play areas in Broomfield.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Chloe O'Reilly Lowther
Ref. No.	PADP401663502
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons highlighted including the current housing crisis, the demand from first time buyers, the drastic shortage of houses, the proximity of the location to amenities such as the train station and the town.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Chris Mulcahy
Ref. No.	PADP401306452
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use to facilitate the opportunity to purchase a property near the town, close to amenities and the train station.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Chris Power
Ref. No.	PADP401299177
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes and the site's proximity to the train station and schools and walking distance to Midleton town.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Christopher Morrissey
Ref. No.	PADP401662193
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use, stating the submitter would appreciate the opportunity to buy a new build house near Midleton town in the future.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cian Fleming
Ref. No.	PADP398510196
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Midleton and requests that an unspecified area of land in the town be maintained as Greenbelt as building further houses in this area is not in the best interests of local residents. The submission states that traffic congestion is already a huge problem in the area and is presently traffic gets backed up from the Mill Road traffic lights into Broomfield. The submission states that the potential height of houses and apartments in this area will overlook existing houses and gardens. It also states that there is a lack of amenities in the area. The submitter refers to Water-Rock which it considers to be a much more suitable location for a residential development in Midleton. The submission questions how drainage will work and how the existing narrow roads through Broomfield will serve the development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ciara Nic Llam
Ref. No.	PADP401352755
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes and the site's close proximity to Midleton town centre and train station.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Claire Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400699733
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to the visual impact/traffic gridlock and lack of amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Claire Maher
Ref. No.	PADP401310956
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It states that there are more appropriate zones that would make more common sense than using a zone that could potentially cause so much destruction to other homes placed above them with so many unknown variables. In addition, there is no infrastructure or facilities in the plan that could hold such a vast residential area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Claire O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401566971
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork. The submission notes that local housing benefits the community and town economically and attracts and retains workers. It issues support for a mix of new "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Clare Ellis
Ref. No.	PADP401518589

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission states there are no houses to buy or rent in the area and the proposed development will provide A rated homes and mixed sized properties.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Clodagh Finn
Ref. No.	PADP400699505
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for reasons including the impact on traffic gridlock experienced every morning & evening going to & from work. The submitter is also concerned about the visual impact the development will have on the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Clodagh McGrath
Ref. No.	PADP401544103
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Midleton and proposed amendment 4.3.3.15. The submitter does not support the proposed amendment and wants the MD-R -27 lands retained as residential as it is close to all amenities in Midleton town centre and the train station, providing easy access to Cork City.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Coleen O Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401369154
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities including the Midleton - Youghal Greenway.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Colin Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401574450
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the economic benefits of housing to the area and employer, the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to Midleton train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Collette Gallagher
Ref. No.	PADP401655985
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use as they are looking to right size their home in the Bloomfield area. 'A rated' homes of suitable size are required and can be provided in a new development asap.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Colm Quinn
Ref. No.	PADP401540871
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Conor O'Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP400829308

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt arguing that development will destroy the visual impact of the area and cause an eyesore and in addition, it argues that it will cause traffic gridlock noting that the town is already very congested as it is.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	D O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP399516838
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically objective MD-R-27, Broomfield in the Draft Plan. The submission supports the proposed amendment to return the land to the Greenbelt for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Elevated site - visual impact, privacy and drainage issue. As this site is elevated, drainage issues pose many risks to low lying properties along with potential privacy concerns and the towns visual impact. - Traffic Gridlock - an increase in units on this land would again increase the grid lock which are experienced daily in Broomfield as per recent reports in 2020 which judged the area to be over- capacity. - Lack of amenities, green spaces and play areas. A 300-500 unit increase on this land will turn this land into a vast residential area without access to the amenities and services that would make a sustainable community.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Damian O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401588619
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the need for housing to attract and retain workers which supports the local economy, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities, the need for housing to ensure Cork continues to successful attract Foreign Direct Investment, and to support the local economy.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Damien Daly
Ref. No.	PADP401360488
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400700528
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. The submission objects to the building of more houses due to visual impact, lack of amenities and traffic.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel Gilroy
Ref. No.	PADP400645931
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to its elevated nature and the visual impact any development would have on the surrounding area. The submission notes it will negatively affect day to day life due to excessive noise pollution, compromise privacy and cause traffic grid lock as the infrastructure currently in place cannot cope. The submission also notes that there is also a lack of amenities in the area to support such development and that there are ample sites available already for development within Midleton that are more suitable for development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP397415246

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports the change set out in Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in relation to returning the MD-R-27 lands to the Metropolitan Greenbelt. The submission states that this has been supported by submissions from the public on the Draft Plan, East Cork Councillors and the wider Council. The submission acknowledges that housing is needed in Midleton but that site suitability and sustainability should be paramount when lands are assessed for development. The submission supports proposed developments at Mill Road, Lake View and Water Rock.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel Weathers
Ref. No.	PADP401532079
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27 and to re-instate the lands back into the Metropolitan Green Belt. They have a long commute and note that it seems the only new houses being built in Midleton are at Broomfield in the last few years. They consider that this doesn't make sense and will hurt people looking for homes and the town itself.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Darren Lynch
Ref. No.	PADP401570714
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The already lack of available houses to buy in the area and in Carrigtwohill. • The sites close proximity to schools, sports clubs, Midleton town centre, new greenway, train station and the IDA Business and Technology Park. Submission notes they are already on a waiting list to buy private housing and does not understand why the site could be de-zoned when there are no houses to buy.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Darren Swart

Ref. No.	PADP401547993
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and the greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dave Ring
Ref. No.	PADP401659490
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and having regard to the shortage of available housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David Daly
Ref. No.	PADP401531703
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. The submission notes this is the best location for private homes in East Cork. The submission outlines the submitters role in construction and that delivering housing would provide employment and much needed good quality housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David Hartnett
Ref. No.	PADP400126416
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of amendment 4.3.3.15 to return MD-R-27 to greenbelt status.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David Murray
Ref. No.	PADP401542833
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes, the jobs that construction would support and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401665838
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the location close to the train station, walking distance of town and schools, proximity to greenway and lack of available housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP400664218
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission supports the land as a greenbelt. As an area of natural farmland overlooking the town of Midleton the proposed development would detrimentally dominate the skyline of Midleton due to the elevated nature of the land. Many locals enjoy a walk and it is an invaluable local amenity. Extra traffic would give rise to severe gridlock especially at peak times of day which already has traffic gridlock. There is a lack of amenities for our young people in the Broomfield area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dean Broderick
Ref. No.	PADP401526076

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the site's close proximity to the train station and the Midleton-Youghal greenway and walking distance to Midleton town centre and schools.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Debbie Dillon
Ref. No.	PADP401322765
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the fact that she currently lives in UK and hopes to return to Midleton to set up a medical practice in the near future. She would like a new build. The location is suited to development, close to the town for work purposes with potential for children to attend school in the city be train which would be ideal. The location is perfect for her and her family.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deborah Hawkins
Ref. No.	PADP400559237
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R27 to Green Belt due to traffic, lack of amenities and the environmental impact that this will have on the housing in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deborah O'Connell Solicitors
Ref. No.	PADP401623621
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the ability of local housing to attract and retain workers contributing to economic success, the proximity of lands to the town, the need for new A rated 1-4 bed homes, the link to consumer spending, the

	contribution to employment and income generation and the huge shortage of housing in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dee Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401563431
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites within close proximity to Midleton town centre. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of new "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deirdre De Faoite
Ref. No.	PADP401357105
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including shortage of available and suitable housing in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deirdre Dennigan
Ref. No.	PADP401001100
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 site number MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of amendment 4.3.3.15 to omit the residential zoning MD-R-27 and zone this land as urban greenbelt for the following reasons: 1. Highlights that the traffic gridlock through Broomfield Village, Avoncore and the ring road is already problematic and a proposed 300-500 extra dwellings coming down the same road network would result in even further gridlock for local families and workers. 2. Big lack of amenities in Broomfield village - no playgrounds, shops, cafes or green spaces and additional housing will exacerbate all of this. 3. A large population of young people having nowhere to go, no sense of belonging and no amenities causes antisocial behaviour and it is imperative that this is considered for the existing population before granting permission

	<p>for yet more housing.</p> <p>4. The area is an elevated country road which is a source of beauty, nature and escape for walkers and families and further development here would be a travesty.</p> <p>5. The development here would directly impact walkers from the Broomfield and Midleton community.</p> <p>The submission understands the need for additional housing but is of the view that there must be more suitable sites to be considered.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Denis Desmond
Ref. No.	PADP401394913
Proposed Amendment No.	3.3.2.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing crisis and shortage of housing. The houses in Blossom Hill Development are sold out. • The site is prime location in Midleton. • Close proximity to train station and notes the train station is a key factor in choosing to live in this area. <p>Submission stresses we need housing in this area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dennis Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401572330
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites within close proximity to Midleton town centre. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of new "A rated" housing types in the area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Derry Healy
Ref. No.	PADP401516646
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27. They consider MD-R-27 should remain as residential given it is, close to the Train Station which would reduce cars on the road, walking distance to Midleton Town, within walking distance of Schools and near the new Greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eamonn Crotty
Ref. No.	PADP401643220
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the need to sustain economic growth, the proximity of the site to the town centre and potential for increase footfall and the drastic shortage of housing currently available. Supports a mix of house types.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Edmond Broderick
Ref. No.	PADP401661862
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the lands close proximity to the train station, the town centre, schools and the new greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eileen Finn
Ref. No.	PADP400698671
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for reasons including the impact on traffic gridlock experienced every morning & evening going to & from work. The submitter is also concerned with the drainage in the area and visual impact the development will have on the area.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Elaine O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401383052
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes available, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Elaine Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP401341125
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the location's close proximity to Midleton town centre, walking distance of all amenities and access to the train station.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Elizabeth Keeling
Ref. No.	PADP401638356
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use stating it is the ideal location to live due to the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Emma Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400700932

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It considers that it will worsen the traffic in the area and that there is a lack of space for dogs to exercise at present.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Emma O'Farrell
Ref. No.	PADP401515554
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27. They consider that this is an ideal location for development of new homes. They currently live in the area, and they consider it is close to local amenities including Midleton Town Centre and Train Station allowing easy access to Cork City for commuting.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Emma Trundle
Ref. No.	PADP400344495
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission supports keeping the area greenbelt zoning and highlights that traffic will be a massive issue. Submission also notes the beautiful countryside on the local's doorstep will be ruined.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eniko Sipos
Ref. No.	PADP400887633
Proposed Amendment No.	Amendment reference number 4.3.3.15 site reference number MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it is in support of maintaining land at Broomfield as Greenbelt as the current infrastructure would not support additional housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eoin Mangan
Ref. No.	PADP401139630
Proposed Amendment No.	MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed development will mean noise, dust and building traffic. • The existing roadways are used by locals for recreation. • There is no consideration for recreation in the proposed development. • There are more appropriate and serviced locations which can be used such as Waterrock.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eric Desmond
Ref. No.	PADP401393415
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, schools, the train station, and the new greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eric Florentin
Ref. No.	PADP401121058
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site- visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities, greenspace and play areas.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Erwin Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401360281

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eugene Fogarty
Ref. No.	PADP401635679
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eva Vaughan
Ref. No.	PADP401657524
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons i.e. the site is ideally suited to housing and an ideal location to live close to train station, town centre and the greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fergie Cahill
Ref. No.	PADP401377822
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the lack of new housing available and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, all local amenities and new greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Finbar Mulcahy
Ref. No.	PADP401557372

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes in the area, and the sites close proximity to services and amenities in Midleton.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fiona Hickey
Ref. No.	PADP401329836
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use due to the shortage of new homes in Midleton.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fiona MacHale
Ref. No.	PADP401657945
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and having regard to the shortage of available housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fiona O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400792291
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It considers the addition of up to 500 houses will place significant pressure on an area that already has a lack of amenities and roads that can't cater for current levels of traffic.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gaelle Monnier
Ref. No.	PADP401115790
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site causes concerns with visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities, greenspace and play areas.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gary Harrington
Ref. No.	PADP401559008
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new houses available, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gary Twomey
Ref. No.	PADP400302172
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15,
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R-27 to Green Belt. The submission notes the difficulty in getting subsidence insurance due to issues arising from the development which has taken place in Broomfield to date.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gavin Dahill
Ref. No.	PADP401666975
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the proximity of the area to the town, train and greenway. It is an ideal location for those paying crazy rents and imperative that houses are built fast.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gavin Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401515005
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27 and to re-instate the lands back into the Metropolitan Green Belt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Glenda Gavin
Ref. No.	PADP401529619
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Grafix
Ref. No.	PADP401538311
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites within close proximity to Midleton town centre. The submission notes that local housing benefits the community and town economically and attracts and retains workers. It issues support for a mix of new "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Graham Curtin
Ref. No.	PADP400957478
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of amendment 4.3.3.15 to return this land to green belt zoning.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gwen O'Shea
Ref. No.	PADP401535652
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the site is in an ideal location for a housing development and the developer proposes to build various sized houses with private driveways and gardens. Submission notes its close proximity to Midleton town centre and the train station providing easy access for commuting to work.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Habeeb Odunsi
Ref. No.	PADP400834580
Proposed Amendment No.	Amendment 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of amendment 4.3.3.15 to omit the residential zoning MH-R-27 and that zoning of this land will remain as greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Helen Guerin
Ref. No.	PADP401668008
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to

	Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Henry Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP401293299
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including it being the most suitable site for housing, the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Huba Lehel Sipos
Ref. No.	PADP400891160
Proposed Amendment No.	Amendment reference number 4.3.3.15, site reference number MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of maintaining the land as greenbelt as the current infrastructure does not support more housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ian O'Loughlin
Ref. No.	PADP401116628
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for reasons including it would result in loss of privacy and increased overlooking; loss of light especially in the winter months; visual impact compounded by the elevated nature of the site; it would not align with the strategic development plans for Midleton including example Water Rock; there is sufficient more appropriate land allocated for housing to meet demand in Midleton than this site which is not suitable by merit of its topography; there is a lack of amenities including provision of water supply and waste water capacity; lack of sustainable village development providing a range of uses including shops within Broomfield; lack of provision of green spaces; concern about traffic congestion and lack of bus services; would result in a loss of green rural lands; and, the local road is not suitable for the scale of development and any improvement would result in loss of hedgerows and trees.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ivaia Vasic
Ref. No.	PADP401643895
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use stating that living in the area works well as it is close to amenities in the town centre and to the train station.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ivana Boyet
Ref. No.	PADP401547358
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ivor Burns
Ref. No.	PADP399529076
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of amendment 4.3.3.15 to omit the residential zoning MH-R-27 and return the site to the greenbelt for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Elevated site - visual impact, privacy & drainage risk. 2. Traffic gridlock. 3. Lack of amenities, green space, play areas in Broomfield. 4. The Historical & Archaeological value of underground caves in Broomfield. <p>The submission is of the view that housing developments should be within the existing boundaries of Midleton town and not on prime farmland like this site and outlines different types of land for residential uses to be utilised first to fulfil housing needs. The submission also highlights that land adjacent to the Cork- Midleton railway line should be explored first before extending Midleton further.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jackie Lee
Ref. No.	PADP401342935
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes and the site's close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	James Corcoran
Ref. No.	PADP400981990
Proposed Amendment No.	MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. The submission raises concerns that this residential zoning does not reflect the best option for its growth and that development at the cost of the environment of Midleton cannot be countenanced particularly with the Water Rock UAE so close to realisation. The submission outlines deficiencies in the infrastructure both in terms of water services in Midleton and also the road network around the site (providing photographic evidence of some of the rural roads in particular.) The submission also points to the absence of any formal bus service in Broomfield which it states will result in significant walking distances which are outlined in the submission. The submission includes mapping showing walking times for 5 / 10 / 15 and 20 minute journeys and also notes that given the weather in Ireland this is not practical and will result in significant increase in vehicular travel in the area. The submission also notes that a major factor in the development of these lands is the nearby train station, however it notes that this factor would be better planned. The submission states that if Cork County Council is serious about delivering well planned sustainable housing stock in significant numbers as soon as possible, investment will be made to deliver this development rather than continuing to zone alternate residential land which removes any incentive to either speculate upon or develop the lands at Water Rock. The submission states that Broomfield has been developed without a realised town centre and that if zoning is to be considered in this area, that it should be the development of an adequately scaled social centre or local convenience/commercial area in accordance with the 10 and 20 minute neighbourhood concept. In quoting excerpts from the 2014 County Development Plan and proposed draft plan, the protection of the visual amenity land in the Metropolitan Green Belt and the protection of skylines and ridgelines are stated goals of these plans and the submission argues that the decision to zone the skyline land above Broomfield as residential does not reflect the intention or stated values of the above texts. The submission argues that in the context of the poor Unit/Ha return on recent granted developments

	in this area, the rezoning of this land seems both incongruous with stated Cork County Council Policy and an inefficient use of key amenity land.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	James Flavin
Ref. No.	PADP401641006
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use stating it is the ideal location for residential development due to the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	James Kelleher
Ref. No.	PADP401597336
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the high cost of rent, the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	James O'Súilleabháin
Ref. No.	PADP401518328
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27. They consider that this is an ideal location for development of new homes. They currently live in the area, and they consider it is close to local amenities including Midleton Town Centre and Train Station allowing easy access to Cork City for commuting.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Janet Lynch
Ref. No.	PADP401534196
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, schools, greenway, the train station, IDA Business and Technology Park and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Janette Foley
Ref. No.	PADP399689835
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission supports proposed amendment no. 4.3.3.15 to omit objective MD-R-27 and re-instate the lands back into the Metropolitan Greenbelt. The submission states that having regard to the infrastructure deficit in the area, topography and the water-rock urban expansion land bank yet to be developed with granted LIHAF funding, the residential zoning of these lands at Broomfield is unnecessary and not appropriate in accordance with proper planning and development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jason & Lynda Colbert
Ref. No.	PADP401570664
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of "A rated" housing types in the area. The submission further highlights that there is a shortage of affordable housing in Midleton, noting staff members find it difficult to find accommodation in the area, and that this will have a detrimental effect on the expected future growth of Midleton which is a busy commuter town.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Jason Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP401548383
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes, and the difficulty for an employer when employees cannot find housing in the area. People should have the opportunity to live and work in the area and near their friends and family.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jason Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401538923
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. The submission states this is the ideal location for housing development and states for those who are paying high rents and those looking to buy it is imperative these houses get delivered fast.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jay Keating
Ref. No.	PADP400575069
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R27 to Metropolitan Greenbelt in order to protect the flora and fauna of the area as well as the visual impact that it would have on the neighbouring area including local walks. The submission also notes that the area is already saturated with a large build-up of traffic and that condensed housing developments bring anti-social issues.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jean Horgan
Ref. No.	PADP400903526

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically objective MD-R-27 in Broomfield. The submitter is a resident in Broomfield and supports the proposed amendment to retain this land as a greenbelt zone. The reasons given are privacy interruption for current residents, increased traffic congestion, lack of school places for children and potential drainage problems.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jean Horgan
Ref. No.	PADP400909705
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt and no further development. The submission outlines problems with existing development in the area including house vibrating from groundworks, poorly constructed walls leading to constant dust and traffic problems in the area. It considers that the traffic situation would be exacerbated due to a lack of infrastructure. Concerns are also expressed with regard to lack of privacy as recently built houses now overlook her garden. An alley way is also being proposed next to the house which is objected to. Submission seeks that the proposal is rejected and states neighbours share this opinion.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jeff Huntington
Ref. No.	PADP401677418
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the suitability of the location for houses, close to all amenities the town centre, train line and proximate to Cork City. Strongly supports housing in this area as currently rents.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jen McPherson
Ref. No.	PADP401621522
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jeremiah Daly
Ref. No.	PADP401400504
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. The submission notes this is the best location for private homes in East Cork. The submission outlines the submitters role in construction and that delivering housing would provide employment and much needed good quality housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jessica Lee
Ref. No.	PADP401565656
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to the train station will be key for the family when attending College in Cork.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joan Barry
Ref. No.	PADP401296214
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes for all generations, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, schools, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission notes all new houses are sold out in the area and the proposed development will be a mixed

	development that will provide A rated homes that are energy efficient and turnkey.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joanna Fogarty
Ref. No.	PADP401658820
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joe Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400898269
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and states that it wants the greenbelt status of the land above Broomfield MD-R-27 retained.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joe Higgins
Ref. No.	PADP401354343
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and new greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joenne Korhenowsate
Ref. No.	PADP401372011
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use

	for reasons including it is an ideal location for housing development, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train line, all local amenities and easy access for commuting to Cork City.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John and Siobhan Conway
Ref. No.	PADP401318480
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. Submits that there currently aren't enough amenities in the Broomfield Village area and that there has been no investment in the area for the community of Broomfield village. States that there have already been several issues within the submitters' Broomfield estate in relation to privacy and maintenance. Adds that they bought their home with the understanding that no houses would be built behind them and that now this is not the case.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Connell
Ref. No.	PADP401602480
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. it is an ideal location for retired non-drivers and should be zoned for residential.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Hannon
Ref. No.	PADP401539690
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes and the high cost of rent.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Hogan
Ref. No.	PADP400368638
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission requests that land in Broomfield, Midleton which is identified in the County Development Plan maps as 'MD-R-27' should retain its Greenbelt zoning. The submission points to the elevated nature of the site - with a major visual impact for miles around, potential intrusion into privacy of properties and potential drainage issues from water run-off to lower lying properties. The submission also notes that the restricted road network in Broomfield was already judged to be over-capacity for traffic according to a report commissioned in 2020 a company which is pursuing a smaller scale development nearby. The submission points out that daily school traffic is already backed up from the Avoncore junction into Broomfield village and that extra traffic from 300-500 housing units would lead to frequent, prolonged gridlock on each road leading out of Broomfield.</p> <p>Finally, the submission notes that there is a major lack of amenities in Broomfield to support even the existing population with no leisure facilities, playgrounds, green spaces, shops, cafes or other services. The submission states that a 300-500 unit increase in housing units will essentially turn Broomfield into a vast residential area without the amenities and services that would make it a sustainable community.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Keane
Ref. No.	PADP401380988
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt because of visual impact, traffic congestion and a lack of services, amenities, greenspace and play areas in Broomfield.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Lynch
Ref. No.	PADP401634318
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new

	homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Mangan
Ref. No.	PADP399688950
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that there is a lack of amenities, green space and play areas in Broomfield which are inadequate to support existing residents. 'Broomfield Village' had been neglected and abandoned as a concept by both developer and the council. The proposed increase in housing will turn Broomfield into a residential sprawl.</p> <p>The submission also states that the impact of traffic both during and after development will be disastrous to all residents, impairing access to the area, in particular at peak times. This is already an issue on both the Dungourney Road junction and at Avoncore junction.</p> <p>The submission also refers to the amenity of the area and states that the loop around the proposed development is a valuable green amenity and used by many locals for exercise and recreation.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP400775409
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It highlights the lack of services in the area (roads, water, etc) and highlights that there are already adequate zoned lands in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Quinn
Ref. No.	PADP401638006
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the proximity to the greenway, town and train station and convenience of the area for work.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Tierney
Ref. No.	PADP401327389
Proposed Amendment No.	Reference number 4.3.3.15 site ref Md-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401349103
Proposed Amendment No.	4.315
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including it being the most suitable site for housing, the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kamila Przybulowska
Ref. No.	PADP401556343
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to services and amenities and the high quality of new housing being built.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Karen Burns and Simon Horgan

Ref. No.	PADP400310513
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The purpose of the submission is to document support to maintain this land as greenbelt zoning. Submission notes that as a resident of Bloomfield, the reversal of this zoning would have a huge impact on privacy and traffic in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	KAREN WALSH
Ref. No.	PADP400985760
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the MD-R-27 land kept in the greenbelt. Raises concern that the zoning does not reflect the best option for its growth and that unchecked development at the cost of the environment of Midleton cannot be countenanced particularly with the Water Rock UAE so close to realisation.</p> <p>Outlines observations in relation to water services infrastructure, road network and increased traffic at specific locations and significant walking distances to specific services due to absence of formal bus service in Broomfield. States that a major factor in the development of these lands is the nearby train station and that this factor would be better planned and not result in the loss of visual amenity land by the development of the Water Rock UEA.</p> <p>Referencing growth targets for Midleton it submits that if the Council is serious about delivering well planned sustainable housing stock in significant numbers as soon as possible, investment will be made to deliver Water Rock rather than continuing to zone alternate residential land which removes any incentive to either speculate upon or develop the lands at Water Rock.</p> <p>Suggests that as Broomfield lacks a town centre zoning in that area should be for the development of an adequately scaled social centre or local convenience/commercial area in accordance with the 10 and 20 minute neighbourhood / Town concepts.</p> <p>Referring to two sites to the north of the existing Broomfield that are under construction submits that these schemes were significantly below recommended density in order to mitigate the negative impact on what it states the applicant described as “an elevated and prominent location outside existing built up area” in the application for those developments. Expecting a similar density on the MD-R-27 site the submitter states that the loss of elevated and prominent Visual Amenity land within the metropolitan greenbelt would be a poor trade for such low efficiency zoning given its aesthetic value.</p> <p>References policy in the 2014 CDP and the Draft Plan in relation to the</p>

	<p>protection of the Prominent and Strategic Metropolitan Cork Greenbelt Areas and in relation to very high sensitivity landscapes.</p> <p>Submits that to zone the skyline land above Broomfield as residential does not reflect the intention or stated values of these and submits that, the context of the poor Unit/Ha return on recent granted developments in this area, the rezoning of this land seems both incongruous with stated Cork County Council Policy and an inefficient use of key amenity land.</p> <p>Includes images of road network and views of the site in submission.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Katarina Szofka
Ref. No.	PADP401335797
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 (MD-R-27)
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It objects to residential development on this site for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Elevated site - visual impact, privacy & drainage risk - Traffic gridlock - Lack of amenities, green space, play areas <p>States that the constraint in the County Development Plan for housing development in Midleton is not lack of already zoned land but water infrastructure and that this is currently being addressed to support the Water Rock development. States that Water Rock is well-planned and includes the amenities and services that are required for large-scale development, unlike Broomfield, which it states lacks these services and amenities.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kate Barry
Ref. No.	PADP401120489
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic congestion • Elevated site- visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Lack of amenities <p>Submission notes that there are other areas already zoned for residential in the Midleton area that are much more suited to such development.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kate Ivory
Ref. No.	PADP401551066
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use. The submission refers to the headline of the business post "Jan30-31" which reported that the state will take up the majority of homes built for non-first time buyer use in 2022 leaving the private occupiers exposed to further shortages and price increases. It requests the construction of private occupied homes on this site within close proximity to the train station in Midleton.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Katie Sheehan
Ref. No.	PADP401623878
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to Midleton train station.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Keith Hegarty
Ref. No.	PADP401612193
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the lack of new housing available and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train line, all local amenities and new greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Keith Taylor
Ref. No.	PADP401289538

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including it is an ideal location for housing development, the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and easy access for commuting to Cork City.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kenneally Property Services
Ref. No.	PADP401643297
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the need to sustain economic growth for local business, the location within walking distance of the town centre, the potential for increase footfall and increased expenditure in Midleton and the drastic shortage of new housing currently available. The site can provide new housing and a mix of house types.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Daly
Ref. No.	PADP401109138
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excavation of rock on an elevated site has potential to cause damage to lower lying properties. • Dust from the elevated development will also impact these properties and cause air quality issues. • Overcapacity on the road network will cause further traffic gridlock. • Already a shortage of services and amenities to support the existing population.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Finn
Ref. No.	PADP400699299
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 and requests that the lands remain in the greenbelt. The submission states that this development would add to the traffic gridlock in the area and also raises concerns in relation to the visual impact the development will have on the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Forde
Ref. No.	PADP401596098
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the site is an ideal location for further development, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, the new greenway, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Reilly
Ref. No.	PADP401636783
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the huge shortage of homes, the proximity to the greenway, town and train station and the convenience of Midleton as a location. The submitter refers to having a housing need as is separated.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Ronin
Ref. No.	PADP401530761
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Midleton and does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15. (remove residential zoning MD- R-27 and return land to the greenbelt), on the grounds that the site is close to services and amenities (train station, schools, sports clubs, shops and town centre) and is an ideal place to live. Housing development is badly needed in Midleton - there is huge demand and insufficient houses available to buy. Submitter requests that the residential zoning be retained.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kieran Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401553985
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including that there is a shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork, that local housing attracts and retains workers which is critical to a community's economic success, that the site is within walking distance of the town, that a housing market is closely linked to local consumer spending.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lauern Hodden
Ref. No.	PADP401668700
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use as they are first time buyers seeking a new home and these lands close to the train station can provide this.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Laura Broderick
Ref. No.	PADP401362732
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Laura O'Donnell
Ref. No.	PADP401351294

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton. The reasons for the submission include: 1. Elevated site - visual impact as site is very high. Privacy intrusion of existing properties. 2. Drainage risk which could cause further damage to existing properties. 3. Traffic gridlock - traffic is already over capacity.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Laura Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401401482
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 MDR-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: Already major issues with traffic in the area, lack of amenities & green areas and site elevation issues
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Laura Wilson
Ref. No.	PADP401671169
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the huge shortage of homes in Midleton, its close proximity to the train (13 minutes) and the town and greenway are only a 15 minute walk.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Leanne Lowther, Cronin Wall Properties Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP402003345
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the urgent need for housing, the ideal location within walking distance to train station, greenway, schools and shops. Working in the property sector for 12 years the submitter highlights a never before seen lack of availability and evident frustration to secure homes in

	Midleton. De-zoning lands that will provide such homes will have a detrimental impact on people, the town and local economy and add to the housing crisis.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Leila Stack
Ref. No.	PADP401540005
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the site is in an ideal location for a housing development, its close proximity to Midleton town centre and the train station providing easy access for commuting to Cork City.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liam Kelly
Ref. No.	PADP400888982
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of amendment 4.3.3.15 to omit the residential zoning MH-R-27 and zone this land as greenbelt. Submission notes that the Bloomfield area already has over capacity road infrastructure. It argues the main road out of Broomfield via the junction with the Mill Road can back up traffic up to 2km in the mornings and any improvements to this junction are hampered by the presence of the rail level crossing only 100 meters to the south. Submission notes that a report commissioned by Park View Estates in 2020, judged the area over-capacity for traffic and the situation has only worsened since then. Submission highlights that Broomfield has no playgrounds, shops or other basic amenities and the main amenity within Broomfield is the nature walk on the road of the actual greenbelt that is a beautiful, peaceful road with virtually no traffic. Submission argues that there is no shortage of suitable land around Midleton already zoned for residential development, for example Water Rock which is in close proximity to the N25 and that availability of zoned land is not the bottleneck for development in Midleton, it's the water infrastructure.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liam Quaide
Ref. No.	PADP401446400

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. The submission notes this site is not suitable for the construction of 300-500 housing units for reasons including the lack of village centre, playgrounds and green spaces, traffic gridlock as a result of the additional housing which would impact on quality of life, and the site being elevated which if developed could result in a visual impact, intrusion on privacy, and risk drainage issues. It notes development at a higher gradient on a site presenting topographical challenges would involve consequences, particularly in light of increasing extreme weather events in the future. The submission urges Councillors outside of East Cork who intend to vote in favour of a large scale residential zoning on this site to listen to the concerns of the community who made submissions in the last public consultation on this proposal.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liam Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP399491778
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically objective MD-R-27, Broomfield in the Draft Plan. The submission supports the proposed amendment to return the land to the Greenbelt for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Elevated Site - The elevated nature of the site will impose a visual impact for miles around, create potential intrusion into the privacy of neighbouring properties and cause potential drainage issues from water run-off to lower lying properties. - Traffic Gridlock is already an issue in the area especially during the school runs. A journey that should take less than 5 minutes within speed limit takes us consistently more than 20 minutes due to traffic congestion. The extra traffic from 300-500 housing units would be a nightmare and lead to frequent, prolonged gridlock on each road leading out of Broomfield. - Lack of amenities, green space and play areas: There is a major lack of amenities in Broomfield to support even the existing population. There are no leisure facilities, playgrounds, green spaces, shops, cafes or other services. A 300-500 unit increase in housing units will essentially turn Broomfield into a vast residential area without the amenities and services that would make it a sustainable community.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lina Gilroy
Ref. No.	PADP400645627

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It notes reasons as to why the site should remain in the greenbelt, outlining potential issues if the site is developed with privacy, visual impact, noise pollution, increased traffic and lack of amenities in the area. It notes, as a resident in the area they would be negatively impacted by the development of this site. It notes there are ample sites available in Midleton that are more suitable for development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Linda Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP401322821
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lisa Ring & Liam McSweeney
Ref. No.	PADP401356182
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site causes concerns with visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities, greenspace and play areas. Submission argues residents in the surrounding area would be negatively affected by development of this site.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lollipop Kids
Ref. No.	PADP401614121
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork. The submission notes that local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and

	retain workers. It issues support for a mix of “A rated” housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Louise Cremin and Denis Keane
Ref. No.	PADP401600477
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use. Submitters are currently renting and a first time buyer. An attached article notes that the government intend to take the majority of new homes built for non first time purchaser use and this is a concern. There is a huge issue with demand and supply and the proposal to build houses at this location so close to the town would benefit many people.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Louise Harvey
Ref. No.	PADP401542357
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the site's close proximity to the train station and walking distance of the town centre.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Louise Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401661043
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use as providing affordable housing and alleviating the housing crisis will help prevent children from having to leave the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maciek Lukicemiek

Ref. No.	PADP401557763
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mag Interior LTD.
Ref. No.	PADP401579313
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites within close proximity to Midleton town centre. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of new "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Magdalena Persinska
Ref. No.	PADP401648832
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the difficulty of buying a house near Midleton particularly a new build A rated home near town and shops, etc. As a first time buyer would love to buy in such a development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mairéad & Niall Hickman
Ref. No.	PADP401116533
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	Submission references Proposed Amendment 4.3.4.15 but is likely to relate to 4.3.3.15 and to support the Proposed Amendment and keep the land in the greenbelt.

	<p>It states that traffic is already a huge problem on the road that is servicing the area with all traffic funnelled down to same bottleneck. States that this has already been surveyed & said to be over capacity.</p> <p>States that there is no shortage of zoned land presently for residential construction and requests that these please be developed first rather than overcrowding an already packed Broomfield.</p> <p>Makes reference to potential drainage issues with the volume of units proposed.</p> <p>Makes reference to lack of amenities stating that taking more green space will make Broomfield just one concrete jungle with no open space for residents to enjoy.</p> <p>Urges refusal of permission.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mairead Hitchnough
Ref. No.	PADP401676102
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the ideal location for housing development. The location is close to all amenities in the town centre, the rail line and provides easy access to Cork City. Submission strongly supports housing in the area where they currently rent.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Margaret Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP400799360
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It highlights an existing lack of amenities in Broomfield, that there are existing traffic problems in the area. Additional housing in this area would use up valuable green space and road widening would destroy ditches, wildflowers and trees. There are ample lands zoned already which should be used first.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie Cremin
Ref. No.	PADP401652681
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. The submitter outlines their desire to downsize to a smaller new build house and their connection to the Broomfield area and states the development of this site could provide this option.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP399519227
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically objective MD-R-27, Broomfield in the Draft Plan. The submission supports the proposed amendment to return the site to the Greenbelt for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Elevated Site - The elevated nature of the site will impose a visual impact for miles around, create potential intrusion into the privacy of neighbouring properties and cause potential drainage issues from water run-off to lower lying properties. - Traffic Gridlock is already an issue in the area especially during the school runs. A journey that should take less than 5 minutes within speed limit takes us consistently more than 20 minutes due to traffic congestion. The extra traffic from 300-500 housing units would be a nightmare and lead to frequent, prolonged gridlock on each road leading out of Broomfield. - Lack of amenities, green space and play areas: There is a major lack of amenities in Broomfield to support even the existing population. There are no leisure facilities, playgrounds, green spaces, shops, cafes or other services. A 300-500 unit increase in housing units will essentially turn Broomfield into a vast residential area without the amenities and services that would make it a sustainable community.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martin and Fiona Hill
Ref. No.	PADP401378690
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. There is sufficient zoned land in Midleton for housing and should be developed first. The water supply and road network are already inadequate for approved developments. This land keeps the rural character of the town skyline and is a great public amenity.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martin Horgan
Ref. No.	PADP399788696
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Midleton and traffic gridlock.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Fox
Ref. No.	PADP401439877
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site causes concerns with visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities, greenspace and play areas.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Kelly
Ref. No.	PADP401605923
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, train station and other services and amenities. The submission outlines the submitters position regarding their employment and working as a site manager and states people need more housing, which is provided for in their planning application, and states the submitter and their colleagues need to continue to work. The submission requests the Planning Authority iron out details with regard to this site.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary O'Neill
Ref. No.	PADP398999201

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission supports proposed amendment no. 4.3.3.15 to revert site MD-R-27 to the greenbelt area. The submission states that the site is elevated in nature and would become an eye ore above the town. The submission agrees with the Councils decision to change back to greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401365070
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Rose
Ref. No.	PADP401331978
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	It would seem that this submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for land to be kept in the greenbelt, making reference to insufficient road infrastructure to support development and excess traffic travelling through Blossomhill.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary-Kate McConnell
Ref. No.	PADP401514206
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27. They consider that this is an ideal location for development of new homes. They consider MD-R-27 should remain as residential given it is close to the Train Station which would reduce cars on the road, it is walking distance to Midleton Town, there is a severe shortage of new homes and it is located near the new Greenway.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maurice and Ann Ring
Ref. No.	PADP401122138
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and requests that the land be kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons; - The elevated nature of the site would have a negative visual impact, cause potential intrusion into privacy of properties and cause potential drainage issues from water run-off. - Traffic Gridlock - the restricted road network in Broomfield is already over capacity. - Lack of amenities, green space and paly areas in this existing residential area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maurice Coleman
Ref. No.	PADP400537209
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission is in support for the amendment to the County Development Plan that the Site named MD- R- 27 would remain in the greenbelt, for reasons including, the elevated nature of the site - visual impact, privacy & drainage risk, traffic gridlock, lack of amenities, green space and play areas. The submission notes that there are ample sites available already for development within Midleton that are more suitable for development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maurice O'Keeffe
Ref. No.	PADP401658960
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including lack of suitable housing in Broomfield, desire for an A rated house, and interest in the proposed house types that might be made available on the lands.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Maurice Ring
Ref. No.	PADP400803263
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. Reasons highlighted include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site – visual impact, privacy and drainage risk – Rock blasting from any future development also highlighted as an issue • Traffic gridlock – Existing traffic a major problem and extra traffic from 300-5—units would lead to frequent prolonged gridlock • Lack of amenities, green space and play area – Not enough amenities for the existing population and 300-500 units would turn this into a vast housing area without amenities to make it a sustainable community. <p>Submission considered there are ample sites available already for development in Midleton that are more suitable.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maurice Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401667927
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the fact there is a housing crisis, and there is a need for a mix of 1-4 bedroom houses to facilitate people like him, a starter home for his sons, etc into the future</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	May O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401600271
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the ideal location for 1-4 bed houses, its proximity to the town centre and train line providing easy access to Cork City.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	MCO Construction

Ref. No.	PADP401590489
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the economic benefit of local housing for the community, the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to Midleton train station.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Megan Paine
Ref. No.	PADP401545194
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Melanie O'Donovan
Ref. No.	PADP401645863
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Corbett
Ref. No.	PADP400573184
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R27 to Metropolitan Greenbelt noting that there will be too much traffic congestion generated and not enough services available.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP401551767
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new houses available in Midleton, and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Lane
Ref. No.	PADP401116600
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. States that Midleton has been over-developed in recent years with very little green area remaining around the town and consequential traffic issues around the town and approach roads from N25 into Midleton. Submits that the Broomfield area and Mill Road areas in Midleton, specifically, have been drastically over developed with apartments, townhouses, social housing and various other housing units and that the roads around this areas, Broomfield Village, the Mill Road, Relief Road, and the Dungourney road are always congested with traffic.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael MacSweeney
Ref. No.	PADP401586993
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the existing water services provided in the area and the sites close proximity to Midleton to and other services and amenities. The submission also notes the Council will lose valuable development contributions if the land is rezoned as greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Walsh

Ref. No.	PADP401669923
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use due to the housing crisis.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael White
Ref. No.	PADP400757716
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to Midleton and to zoning Ref MD-R-27 and amendment ref. 4.3.3.15. The submission raises the following issues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. •Objects to residential zoning on these lands due to its elevated nature and traffic impacts.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mick McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401576879
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites within close proximity to Midleton town centre. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Monica Moore
Ref. No.	PADP401555143
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use

	for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of A rated new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission notes the housing market is closely linked to local consumer spending and local housing attracts and retains workers which is critical to the local economic success.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mr Brian O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP401657467
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use as site is close to the train station, within walking distance of town and greenway and schools. A shortage of houses in a housing crisis.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mr. Ger Donegan
Ref. No.	PADP401664634
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mr. John Finn
Ref. No.	PADP401605935
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Mr. Martin O'Donoghue
Ref. No.	PADP401361647
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the site's close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and Midleton to Youghal greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mrs Siobhan Donegan
Ref. No.	PADP401642604
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the ideal location suitable for affordable A rated homes, close proximity to the train station to reduce car dependency, walking distance of town centre and close to the new greenway. There is a severe lack of homes in the area and these are urgently required.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mrs. Claire Loughry
Ref. No.	PADP401656619
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the location near the train station, town, greenway and the lack of new housing available.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms Bini Prabha Omana Blessy Bhavan
Ref. No.	PADP401645812
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including its ideal location close to amenities such as the train

	station, town, schools, etc. There is a high demand for A rated homes in such a convenient location.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms Caroline Murphy-Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP401560877
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms Leanna Dilworth
Ref. No.	PADP401573731
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms Niamh Moynihan
Ref. No.	PADP401543667
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and the new Midleton greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms. Laura O Donoghue

Ref. No.	PADP401653558
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms. Mairead Buckley
Ref. No.	PADP401648551
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the need for housing to promote economic development, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, potential for provision of A rated housing, and employment opportunities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms. Mary O'Donoghue
Ref. No.	PADP401680232
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms. Melissa Horgan
Ref. No.	PADP401358717
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools, and the new greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ms. Veronica Dilworth
Ref. No.	PADP401522093
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Neil White
Ref. No.	PADP401300212
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including it being the most suitable site for housing, the current housing crisis and the shortage of A rated, turnkey new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission argues it is very important that the site remains residential to provide housing for all ages.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niall O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401126875
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land to remain in the greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh Hughes
Ref. No.	PADP401337662
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use. Submitter considers that the site is ideal for housing given its proximity to Midleton town centre, public transport and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401674916
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the ideal location for housing development. The location is close to all amenities in the town centre, the rail line and provide easy access to Cork City. Submission strongly supports housing in the area where they currently rent.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nicole Kennedy
Ref. No.	PADP401509076
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27 and to re-instate the lands back into the Metropolitan Green Belt. They consider this an ideal location for houses due to its proximity to Midleton Train Station, Midleton Town Centre and proposed Greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Norma Goldspring
Ref. No.	PADP398965174
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton and specifically objective MD-R-27 in Broomfield and proposed amendment no. 4.3.3.15. The submission supports the proposed amendment to return the site to the Greenbelt for the following reasons; - this is an area used by many for walking/cycling and losing such an area

	<p>would have a negative impact on the physical and mental wellbeing of many people.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The existing houses in Broomfield are at a lower level than the new proposed development and would be overlooked. Therefore there is a potential intrusion into the privacy of homes and gardens. - concerns in relation to drainage and flooding issues from water run off to lower lying properties. - Traffic Gridlock which is already an issue on this road at certain times of the day. - lack of amenities, green spaces and play areas in Broomfield to support the existing population. A 300-500 unit increase of houses in the area would not be sustainable. <p>The submission states that the residents in the surrounding area would be directly and unduly affected by the development of the site.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Norman Mahony
Ref. No.	PADP401568437
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nuala Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP401651161
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the lack of available housing to buy for at least 12-16 months. It also queries whether the council want people to be able to walk, cycle or access all local amenities or whether they want to allow them to downsize from 3 bed to a 1 bed or live in new A rated homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	O'Dwyer Shoes
Ref. No.	PADP401619219
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, public transport, schools, other services and amenities and the infrastructure already exists. It supports the granting of permission for this project. The submission references dereliction in Midleton Town and states without growth and housing development this issue will increase. It also highlights the amount of property and sites within and surrounding the Main Street that should be used for the betterment of the town.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	O'Farrell Meats Ltd.
Ref. No.	PADP401621228
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the availability of workers provided by local housing, the economic benefits to a community from consumer spending, the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Oliver Gould
Ref. No.	PADP401641940
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, public transport and other services and amenities. It notes this site could allow their family the opportunity to live nearby and avail of the amenities in Midleton.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Olivier Compagnon

Ref. No.	PADP400817874
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of maintaining this land as green belt zoning. The reasons for support are the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The impact on houses and gardens downhill from rain and flowing water if houses are constructed on the green zone. Submission gives an example of when the retention water system was broken in Blossomhill. 2. The potential for pollution in the drinking water retention system if houses are constructed here. 3. The infrastructure is not capable of sustaining the number of proposed houses that could be built. Submission notes the impact on infrastructure and school. <p>Submission argues that before allowing construction to occur here, a mandatory review of the overall surrounding infrastructure, impact on water system and impact on downhill houses is required.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Omar Shavan
Ref. No.	PADP401594770
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Padraig Finn
Ref. No.	PADP400697785
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for reasons including the following</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) visual impact, privacy & drainage 2) traffic gridlock as the restricted road network in the area already causes problems and 3) there are already limited amenities & play areas for current Broomfield residents.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Pádraig O Neill
Ref. No.	PADP400899799
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt.</p> <p>* Zoning this land initially was due to population expectations and unit requirements which have now been significantly reduced.</p> <p>* Submission questions why if additional greenbelt land was required in the first place for residential zoning why the Council would change an extensive land holding to agriculture zoning and change zoning of land which was greenbelt to residential at MD-R-27.</p> <p>* The councillors in their vote in December 2021 acknowledged that this site due to its elevated nature and lack of infrastructure and amenities is not suitable for development. This was supported in an almost 2 to 1 majority by the wider council for returning the site to Greenbelt.</p> <p>* Over 800 units are being assessed by 4 separate developers indicating the housing supply is not reliant on 1 developer or location only.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paltel Ltd
Ref. No.	PADP401619564
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork. The submission notes that local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of "A rated" housing types in the area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pampered Paws
Ref. No.	PADP401374732
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission, made on behalf of a local business, does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sites close proximity to Midleton town centre. • Local housing attracts and retains workers which is critical for community economic success. • Strongly support new A rated homes in Broomfield. • Housing marker closely linked to local consumer spending.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New local housing is a major contributor of employment and income generation.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat Nolan
Ref. No.	PADP400937229
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site- visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities, greenspace and play areas.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401591841
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for the reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local housing attracts and retains workers which is critical for local economic success. • Site within walking distance to town centre. • Supports new housing in Broomfield with a mix housing sized. • Housing market is linked to local consumer spending. • New local housing is a major contributor of employment and income generation. • Shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick Gilroy
Ref. No.	PADP400645315
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports the retention of the site named MD-R-27 as greenbelt in Midleton on the basis that the site is elevated and will have a visual impact on their property and privacy in Broomfield Ridge. It is also considered it will have a negative impact in terms of noise pollution and traffic grid lock as the infrastructure in place would not cope. There is a lack of</p>

	amenities in the area to support such development. There are ample sites available already for development within Midleton that are more suitable.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patricia Mangan
Ref. No.	PADP401118215
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick Cashman and Assoc.
Ref. No.	PADP401585560
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick Healy
Ref. No.	PADP401679284
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, other services and amenities and it is within walking distance to schools.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Duggan

Ref. No.	PADP400982492
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: - Area has already been over developed with a new site already been granted permission to build on the other waterworks. - Lack of roads and playing areas in such a developed area. The submission argues it is strange some want to destroy a green area so close to the new cycle path between Midleton and Youghal.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Foley
Ref. No.	PADP401585627
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes and the importance of homes being available for employees for local business owners.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Mahony
Ref. No.	PADP401379643
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, the new greenway, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul O Reilly
Ref. No.	PADP401129027
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paula Taft
Ref. No.	PADP400988497
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the MD-R-27 land kept in the greenbelt.</p> <p>States that the site is unsuitable due to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Traffic gridlock - states that this is already a major issue at school time. States that a traffic assessment found that the existing network was already over capacity and that 300 - 500 households worth of additional traffic will have a dramatic impact on the area. 2) Lack of amenities, green spaces, leisure facilities and playgrounds in Broomfield: Submits that if the proposed development was approved, it would turn Broomfield into a vast series of estates which lack the components of a sustainable community. 3) Site elevation: submits that the site is extremely elevated and that a large volume of housing here would have a dramatic visual impact on the surrounding as well as involve potential drainage issues and privacy issues from overlooking properties. 4) Lack of schools: states that Midleton is severely lacking when it comes to schools and that the children that this new build would bring to the area would have no school places available.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pauline Mizgin
Ref. No.	PADP401304320
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including it is an ideal location for housing development, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, all local amenities and easy access for commuting to Cork City.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pawel Mankowski
Ref. No.	PADP400806610
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission seems to support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton to remain as greenbelt as it lists the following issues: - Increased traffic/traffic congestion - Drainage/ water issues - Unsuitable land/ highly elevated - Privacy compromised for Blossomhill residents
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peg Sheedy
Ref. No.	PADP401544156
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes for older people in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peter Tattan
Ref. No.	PADP401641347
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites within close proximity to Midleton town centre. The submission notes that local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers, and issues support for a mix of "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Phil Haly
Ref. No.	PADP401351172
Proposed Amendment No.	4.315
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Philip Kealing
Ref. No.	PADP401636928
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pierce Long
Ref. No.	PADP398763661
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission refers to an area to the north of Midleton which should be kept as a Greenbelt Zone. It states that development will cause an increase in traffic across the north side of Midleton and that there are no amenities in the area with local residents already battling to get children into a local school. The development would also create a visual impact for all of Midleton and the surrounding area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Przemek Persinski
Ref. No.	PADP401568260
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rachel Walsh

Ref. No.	PADP400010040
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to lands in Bloomfield in the settlement of Midleton. The submission is in support of amendment 4.3.3.15 to omit the residential zoning MH-R-27 and retain the greenbelt zoning for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Elevated site - visual impact, privacy & drainage risk. 2. Traffic Gridlock- there is a restricted road network in Bloomfield and additional traffic from 300-500 houses would only lead to prolonged gridlock on each road. 3. Lack of amenities, green space, play areas- a 300-500 additional units will leave to a vast residential area without the amenities and services that would make it a sustainable community. <p>The submission states development needs to be properly planned in the right place otherwise long term problems mentioned above will be created.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ray Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP401634945
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rebecca Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP401332718
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	<p>This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the high demand for new homes in Midleton and the site's close proximity to school and the train station.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Resident of Blossom Hill
Ref. No.	PADP401163037

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and requests that the land remain in the greenbelt due to concerns in relation to infrastructure, heavy traffic, pollution, issues with water, the removal of natural habitat and the quality of life in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Resident of Wheatley/ Blossomhill
Ref. No.	PADP400691197
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the MD-R-27 land kept in the greenbelt citing the following reasons: - unsuitability for development given the elevation of site, potential drainage problems and privacy; - traffic gridlock already evident with existing volumes over capacity for the area; - lack of amenities, green spaces and leisure facilities for existing estates making further development unsustainable.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rhodri Mears
Ref. No.	PADP400830888
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission from Midleton Educate Together supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt due to the impact on traffic on the already poor roads. The submission notes that North Midleton has become very busy and that the water services and education infrastructure need to be improved before any further housing is built (specific reference to secondary school places and boiled water notices).
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rikkie Donovan
Ref. No.	PADP401598386
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use

	for reasons including the sites close proximity to the train station (reduce car dependency), proximity to town centre and greenway and the severe lack of newly built homes in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Robert Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401655246
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Robert Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401302393
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to the train station, town, schools and greenway and the severe shortage of houses available. Strongly supports new A rated 1-4 bed housing in Broomfield.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Roisin Cuddihy
Ref. No.	PADP401439342
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elevated site causes concerns with visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. • Traffic gridlock • Lack of amenities, greenspace and play areas..
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Ronan McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401114616
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rosaleen Finn
Ref. No.	PADP401604600
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the high demand for housing, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and the new Midleton-Youghal Greenway.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rose Jaworksa
Ref. No.	PADP401358458
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new A rated homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission notes the site is an ideal location for a housing development and the decision needs to be reversed.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	S Brackett
Ref. No.	PADP400797590
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It considers the elevated site will have a serious visual impact, will result in loss of privacy to surrounding properties and will have potential drainage issues. It also highlights that traffic is already an issue and that existing roads already have issues which will be further increased. Finally,

	it highlights the existing lack of amenities, green space and play areas in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	S O'Mahony
Ref. No.	PADP401552396
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the quality of new A rated homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sandra Mcsweeney
Ref. No.	PADP400912538
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP399984383
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission outlines support for the amendment to the County Development Plan that the site named MD-R-27 would remain in the greenbelt. The reasons outlined are as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Elevated site - visual impact, privacy and drainage risk. 2. Traffic gridlock. 3. Lack of amenities, green spaces, and play areas in Broomfield.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah Hayes

Ref. No.	PADP401561163
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, schools, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission is in favour of developing newly build A rated homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah Horan
Ref. No.	PADP401399794
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use due to the fact that the cost of property in the city is unaffordable, employment is in this area, etc. States she is looking to buy a house close to amenities and public transport on the East side of the city and all the new estates are sold out and that there is no better location than the one proposed which is 5-10 minutes from the town and train station. Submission questions why the lands would not be retained by the council for housing given the challenges in trying to buy a house and supports a residential zoning.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah Malik
Ref. No.	PADP401517779
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27. They consider that this is an ideal location for development of new homes. They currently live in the area, and they consider it is close to local amenities including Midleton Town Centre and Train Station allowing easy access to Cork City for commuting.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah Power
Ref. No.	PADP401300846

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new houses, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and schools.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sauda Tonic
Ref. No.	PADP401354881
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including it is an ideal location for housing development, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train line, all local amenities and easy access for commuting to Cork City.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean Horan
Ref. No.	PADP401558863
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, other services and amenities, Little Island and Cork City. The submissions outlines the submitters journey at looking to buy a house and highlights the shortage of housing available and their desire to live in Midleton and states there is no better location for development in East Cork currently than this site.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean MacHale
Ref. No.	PADP401662241
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use. It considers that it is extraordinary that the council are not considering lands for homes which are within walking/cycling distance of so many amenities

	(including train station, town centre, greenway) and particularly when there is a housing crisis.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean McSweeney
Ref. No.	PADP399571616
Proposed Amendment No.	4.4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. It supports the retention of unspecified greenbelt land due to the lack of proper or sufficient access roads / infrastructure in the region.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean Sunderland
Ref. No.	PADP401565110
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to the submitters work, family and friends, to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities and notes they would appreciate the opportunity to live in this area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean Twomey
Ref. No.	PADP401594120
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes is now stopping employment brought to the town. • The sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and all other services and amenities. Submission questions why the Council are wasting huge money on sites that need large council investment to make them happen, when this site is close to all amenities and services and will cost nothing in public funds. Submission requests this site is looked at again and to build houses near transport and services ant minimum cost to the taxpayer.

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shane Finn
Ref. No.	PADP400699077
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15 MD-R-27
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt arguing that it will add to the traffic gridlock every morning and evening and the visual impact the development will have on the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shane O'Dowd
Ref. No.	PADP400957925
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual impact and intrusion of privacy. • Drainage issues. • Extra traffic. • Lack of amenities. • Negatively impact the surrounding area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shane Scanlan
Ref. No.	PADP400810560
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 in Midleton and wants the land kept in the greenbelt
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shay Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP401603522
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15

Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use. The submission states that Cronin Wall Properties Ltd are sales agents for the Blossom Hill development at Broomfield, Midleton which is sold out and oversubscribed with a current waiting list of 200 interested parties. Submission indicates there is unprecedented demand in this area due to the proximity to the train station, town centre, schools and the greenway. Local businesses and multinational companies in the area have identified a lack of housing in the short to medium term as a major threat to their business. Castle Rock Homes have provided over 60% of new homes in Midleton over the past 3 years and homeowners are happy with expectations exceeded. The submission considers the demand will not be met by the current proposed zonings in Midleton and allowing for ongoing investment and expansion in the Bloomfield area will help alleviate some of the ongoing crisis. Submission also include a sample of emails (redacted) regarding enquiries regarding Blossom Hill, Bloomfield which it states average about 60 per month.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sinead Healy
Ref. No.	PADP401297786
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use due to the shortage of new homes in Midleton.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sinead Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401337016
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Siobhan and Jim Morrissey
Ref. No.	PADP400819644
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.1.5

Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt. It considers that the area is already overdeveloped, that the road is too narrow for the proposed development and traffic congestion already means exiting the estate (just below the site) after 8am is impossible.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Soci@ble
Ref. No.	PADP401565566
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 regarding the MD-R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre and the shortage of housing in Midleton and East Cork. The submission notes that more local housing would benefit the community and town economically and would attract and retain workers. It issues support for a mix of new "A rated" housing types in the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sonia Santry
Ref. No.	PADP401582426
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the economic benefits of local housing to the community, businesses and for multi-national companies, the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Stephanie Lynch
Ref. No.	PADP401663037
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use

	due to its close proximity to the train station, the town, schools, the greenway and the lack of new housing available.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Stephen Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP401327886
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the high demand for housing in Midleton. Submission states they would love to buy a detached home here for their family where the can walk and easily access the train and express outrage at the decision to dezone these lands and prevent them purchasing their home.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Stephen Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP401540213
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis. the shortage of new homes and the sites within close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Steve Barry
Ref. No.	PADP400276653
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R-27 to Green Belt. The submission raises concerns that this residential zoning does not reflect the best option for its growth and that development at the cost of the environment of Midleton cannot be countenanced particularly with the Water Rock UAE so close to realisation. The submission outlines deficiencies in the infrastructure both in terms of water services in Midleton and also the road network around the site (providing photographic evidence of some of the rural roads in particular.) The submission also points to the absence of any formal

	<p>bus service in Broomfield which it states will result in significant walking distances which are outlined in the submission. The submission includes mapping showing walking times for 5 / 10 / 15 and 20 minute journeys and also notes that given the weather in Ireland this is not practical and will result in significant increase in vehicular travel in the area. The submission also notes that a major factor in the development of these lands is the nearby train station, however it notes that this factor would be better planned. The submission states that if Cork County Council is serious about delivering well planned sustainable housing stock in significant numbers as soon as possible, investment will be made to deliver this development rather than continuing to zone alternate residential land which removes any incentive to either speculate upon or develop the lands at Water Rock. The submission states that Broomfield has been developed without a realised town centre and that if zoning is to be considered in this area, that it should be the development of an adequately scaled social centre or local convenience/commercial area in accordance with the 10 and 20 minute neighbourhood concept. In quoting excerpts from the 2014 County Development Plan and proposed draft plan, the protection of the visual amenity land in the Metropolitan Green Belt and the protection of skylines and ridgelines are stated goals of these plans and the submission argues that the decision to zone the skyline land above Broomfield as residential does not reflect the intention or stated values of the above texts. The submission argues that in the context of the poor Unit/Ha return on recent granted developments in this area, the rezoning of this land seems both incongruous with stated Cork County Council Policy and an inefficient use of key amenity land.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Suzanne Lowell
Ref. No.	PADP400956645
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use stating that the site is in a prime location for more housing that is desperately needed. Submits that dezoning it makes no sense on both a housing and environmental level, referring to travel by train and to less cars and pollutants.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Thelma Crotty
Ref. No.	PADP401546012
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, the shortage of new homes, the economic benefits of housing, the high energy rating of new housing and

	the sites close proximity to Midleton and other employers, services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Theresa O Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401661127
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the location near the train station, town, schools, greenway and the lack of new housing available.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Thomas Cody
Ref. No.	PADP401385401
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, the new greenway, and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Thomas Roche
Ref. No.	PADP401678263
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tim Hawkins
Ref. No.	PADP400527934

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission is in favour of the proposed amendment to change MD-R-27 to Metropolitan Greenbelt. The submission argues that the site is not suitable for residential land due to a number of reasons including the environmental impact of any required earthworks, visual impact, (its high elevation) and drainage concerns. The submission also states that the Broomfield infrastructure is not suitable for further development because the traffic is already congested and there is no public transport nor playgrounds, shops or entertainment facilities available to residents. The submission notes that Midleton would be much better served by having other, more suitable lands earmarked for residential development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Timothy McGillicuddy
Ref. No.	PADP401314315
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission from a local business in Midleton, employing about 40 people, does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis and the shortage of new homes locally especially for young families, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services, schools, amenities and it also states that it would also be a significant benefit to the submitters employees, its business, clients and suppliers and ultimately the local Midleton community.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tony Delaney
Ref. No.	PADP401599081
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the current housing crisis, high rents, the shortage of new homes and the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tony Hughes

Ref. No.	PADP401542112
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential. Submission argues this site is possibly the closest area of land to the Midleton Centre and could not be more ideal for a housing development. Submission cannot understand the decision behind changing the zoning and states it goes against what the Planning Department request and what the Government are trying to promote and achieve. Submission states if the Council are in favour of blocking all housing within walking distance of Midleton Town Centre, this will be detrimental to the economy of the town.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tony Moore
Ref. No.	PADP401512765
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	The submission relates to the settlement of Midleton. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.3.15 which proposes to remove Residential Zoning MD-R-27 and to re-instate the lands back into the Metropolitan Green Belt. They consider that there is an urgent need for housing in Midleton. They consider that site MD-R-27 should remain as residential given it is in walking distance to Midleton Town, it would result in a mix of new 'a rated house types, the housing market is closely linked to consumer spending and local housing attracts and retains workers which is critical to a community's long term economic success.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tracy Ring
Ref. No.	PADP401546195
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station, schools and the severe shortage of housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Trevor O'Shea

Ref. No.	PADP401627135
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for the following reasons: A range of house sizes is proposed by the developer, with private car space and garden, the site is withing walking distance of the train and town centre and would facilitate commuting via train.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Valeria Felice
Ref. No.	PADP401327911
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 and wants the land kept in the greenbelt for the following reasons: The site proposed is located on a steep hill making it unsuitable for a new development. States that the collapse of the stormwater tanks in the Blossomhill estate has been experienced and developing a much larger site could results in further problems and the high retaining walls that would be required represent a safety risk for children in Blossomhill. Submits that a further development of this scale will make traffic unsustainable and that recently been re-surfaced roads may be damaged by heavy-duty machinery. Raises concerns regarding water treatment plant located above Broomfield being at full capacity and new development requiring septic tanks. States that, not only is there no playground in Broomfield, but also the green areas that have been developed until now are very limited considering the number of families in the Blossomhill estate. Raises a concern regarding the extant need in Midleton for creches, primary schools and secondary schools.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Victoria Coleman
Ref. No.	PADP401645766
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 re the MD R-27 lands in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use stating it is the ideal location due to the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and other services and amenities.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Vincent Tobin
Ref. No.	PADP401398520
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, the train station and the new Midleton greenway and other services and amenities. Submission notes this is an ideal location to live and for a new proposed housing development.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Warren Hayes
Ref. No.	PADP401558100
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the shortage of new homes, the sites close proximity to Midleton town centre, schools, the train station and other services and amenities. Submission is in favour of developing newly build A rated homes.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Wheat blossom
Ref. No.	PADP401278733
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission supports Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 and requests that the lands remain in the greenbelt.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	William Bulman
Ref. No.	PADP400864008
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.3.15
Submission Summary	This submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.3.15 for the MD R-27 land in Midleton and wants the site to remain zoned for residential use for reasons including the need for natural amenity in the vicinity of Broomfield village, the visual impact and the general lack of amenities. It is also concerned

	with traffic and congestion issues and contends that Water Rock is a more suitable location near the train line.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.



Comhairle Contae Chorcaí
Cork County Council

Cork County Development Plan Review

Section 12(8)

Chief Executive's Report

Volume Two: Part 2(c)

*Submissions on the Proposed
Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD to
the Draft Plan – (A-K)*

Response and Recommendations

16th March 2022



Comhairle Contae Chorcaí
Cork County Council

Contents

VOLUME FOUR	4
1 SUBMISSIONS ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS NO. 4.3.8.2 AND 4.3.8.3 WHITEGATE AGHADA, EAST CORK MD	4

Volume Two of the Section 12(8) Chief Executive's Report is made up of the following parts;

Volume Two Part 1; Submissions on the Proposed Amendments to the Draft Plan-Response and Recommendations Volume One and Two of the Draft Plan.

Volume Two Part 2(a) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments-Response and Recommendations Volumes Three, Four and Five of the Draft Plan (All 8 Municipal Districts)

Volume Two Part 2(b) Submissions on the Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 Broomfield, Midleton, East Cork MD.

Volume Two Part 2(c) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (A-K).

Volume Two Part 2(d) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (L-Z).

Volume Four

1 Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD

Interested Party	A Hugh Qullinan
Ref. No.	PADP400930147
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in

	<p>the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aaron Berry
Ref. No.	PADP400576790
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower

	<p>Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aaron Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP400786119
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 as a resident living in the immediate area. Its redesignation could invite the development of industry that would negatively impact on the ecology of the area including potential impact on the Cork SPA and the wider environment as well as on the lives of local residents. The area includes a public path (People's Path) used by residents for recreation purposes and borders on a residential area.</p> <p>The submission notes that the negative impact of adopting the amendments is noted in the Council's Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report which clearly recommends not to change the current designation (excerpts from the report are attached). It also notes that the Council's Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report which recommends the designation should not proceed.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aaron Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP400785169
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 as a resident living in the immediate area. Its redesignation could invite the development of industry that would negatively impact on the ecology of the area including potential impact on the Cork SPA and the wider environment as well as on the lives of local residents. The area includes a public path (People's Path) used by residents for recreation purposes and borders on a residential area.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Adrian Hickey
Ref. No.	PADP402148327
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.2.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Adrienne Kelly
Ref. No.	PADP399174044
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aidan Berry

Ref. No.	PADP400578668
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Aidan Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP400969448
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aileen and Ray Whalley
Ref. No.	PADP401328964

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ailish Kearney-Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP400781620
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 as a resident living in the immediate area. Its redesignation could invite the development of industry that would negatively impact on the ecology of the area including potential impact on the Cork SPA and the wider environment as well as on the lives of local residents. The area includes a public path (People’s Path) used by

	residents for recreation purposes and borders on a residential area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ailish Kearney-Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP400783256
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses.</p> <p>States that to redesignate it from Green Infrastructure as proposed could invite the development of industry or related activities that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment (such as interfering with maintaining favourable conditions for our resident and migratory bird population, many of which are in decline) by facilitating possible interference with the Cork SPA (as specified under the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora).</p> <p>States that there would also be a negative impact on the wider local area and environment as well as the lives of the local residents. Notes that the site is directly adjacent and bordering the Cork Harbour SPA on one side, and by a public path (known as the Peoples Path) that is used extensively by residents for recreation purposes on the other. It is also very close to the Lower Aghada Tennis club as well as being directly opposite from residential housing.</p> <p>The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment.</p> <p>Concludes that it would not be in the best interest of the Cork County Council or local residents to proceed with the amendment and requests that the amendment not be adopted.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aisling Dwyer
Ref. No.	PADP400523321
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Alanna Quinn
Ref. No.	PADP400949785
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Alfie Smyth
Ref. No.	PADP401272718
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Amanda Leahy
Ref. No.	PADP400639907
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Andrea Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401042108
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Angela Hurley
Ref. No.	PADP401582170
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. The submission outlines that the club was founded in 1917 and is one of the most active in Munster with over 700 people taking part in regular games on a weekly basis. It shares facilities with other bodies including sea scouts, Irish Coast Guard as well as a range of other users and has hosted various national tennis events and championships. The submission suggests that the impact on the tennis club and in particular the impact on children (particularly autistic children) from noise from such a gas facility would be substantial. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ann Casey
Ref. No.	PADP400971455
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ann Marie Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP398693722

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada. The submission states that this is a beautiful part of Cork and it should remain as a green space for environmental and safety issues.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ann Marie Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP398696533
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada. The submission states that this is a beautiful part of Cork and it should remain as a green space for environmental and safety issues.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ann O'Meara and Paul O'Meara
Ref. No.	PADP401341887
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the

	<p>submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anna Rogers
Ref. No.	PADP401268073
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to

	<p>protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anne Landry
Ref. No.	PADP400296997
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to

	<p>protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club borders the proposed rezoned area. They note this is an active club in the community which was founded in 1917 with members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members.</p> <p>They provide detail of the numbers involved in their various programmes offered by the club. They note that 700 people play regular games weekly. Members play up to 15 hours per week. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit. They intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>They share their facilities with various local community groups such as the Sea Scouts and allow public access for various activities such as picking periwinkles and seaweed. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>They express concern about the impact of the facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anne Marie Mulcahy and Fearghal O' Maolcatha
Ref. No.	PADP401578365
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines

	<p>its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anne McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400448163
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anne Sweeney
Ref. No.	PADP400519483
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing

	<p>Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Anne-marie Sheridan
Ref. No.	PADP397314919
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Aghada which is subject to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3. The submission states that this is a

	green area which has always been enjoyed by local people and any industrialisation would inherently change and deteriorate the neighbourhood.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Annette Gibney
Ref. No.	PADP401263414
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Aoibhín Burke
Ref. No.	PADP400467282
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Aoife Kearney
Ref. No.	PADP401220031
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Aoife Ryan Beatty
Ref. No.	PADP401595300
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Ashlin O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400411406
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Ashling Horgan
Ref. No.	PADP400536021
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Avril Wallis and F. M. Wallis
Ref. No.	PADP400453177
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Barry Moran
Ref. No.	PADP400995080
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Batt and Eileen Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP401249902
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ber McCarthy

Ref. No.	PADP400912950
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bernard Fortune

Ref. No.	PADP402130857
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Betty O’Riordan

Ref. No.	PADP400979092
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 due to the impact to the environment and the local amenities in the area in which they live.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Betty O’Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP400992916
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.3 due to its severe impact on the local amenities and the environment in this protected area. It states that they live in the immediate area and object to this chemical plant in their area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bill Steele
Ref. No.	PADP400367329
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community.

	<p>Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bill Steele
Ref. No.	PADP401213702
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. Submits that the community council has helped to attract many companies to the area, continues to liaise with them and are proud to co-exist with them. States that they are not opposed to any type of new business that has correctly engaged with them, applied for planning permission and met all regulations. States that a very large area in the district zoned for industry/energy could be further developed. Concludes that the proposed imposition of any industrial zoning in a village will cause consternation and urges the council to reverse the amendments.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Billy Hennessy
Ref. No.	PADP398754924
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Breda Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP401243902
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the</p>

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Breda O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP400908779
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2.and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were

	<p>prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brenda Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP401218648
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special

	<p>Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brendan and Rose Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP400978371
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p>

	<p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brendan Crowley
Ref. No.	PADP400945014
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p>

	<p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Brid Goggin
Ref. No.	PADP400509580
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate</p>

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bridget Barrett
Ref. No.	PADP401254699
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bridie O'Connor
Ref. No.	PADP400988245
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bronagh Bolger
Ref. No.	PADP400519048
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be

	<p>entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bryan Daly
Ref. No.	PADP400487886
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p>

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Bryan Meade
Ref. No.	PADP400918048
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil,</p>

	<p>petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	C Cavallo
Ref. No.	PADP401679739
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local

	<p>houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	C. Frazer
Ref. No.	PADP396779127
Proposed Amendment No.	PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 4.3.8.2 and NO 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada and objects to the proposed re-zoning of an area in Lower Aghada village from a green infrastructure zone to an existing mixed/general business and industrial zone for the following reasons;</p> <p>- The submission states that the area is a key recreation and amenity area for the community with a harbourside path that is well used by people who enjoy the views in an otherwise industrialised location. The proposed intensive industrial development on this site would restrict the space and have a negative</p>

	<p>impact on the amenity. There would also be an increase in traffic etc. which would create a nuisance for local residents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use of the nearby main road and houses could be endangered due to their close proximity to the proposed industrial activity (hydrogen production). - Industrial development in this sensitive area would destroy one of the last remaining areas of natural beauty in this part of the harbour damaging it's value as a tourist amenity. Development of this type would be better suited on a brownfield site elsewhere in the harbour. - Part of the site is a former World War 1 Airbase and development here would have a negative impact on the heritage of the area. - There is a significant population of wild birds in the area which would be impacted by increased traffic, noise and the possible effects on water quality and temperature and other environmental impacts of the proposed plant.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caitriona Graham
Ref. No.	PADP400528906
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Callum Moloney
Ref. No.	PADP400525966
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Candice Hudelot
Ref. No.	PADP401260520
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise

	<p>point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Carina Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP399160624
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating</p>

	that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Carmel Bolger
Ref. No.	PADP400907771
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Carmel Day
Ref. No.	PADP400071707
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Carmel Kearney
Ref. No.	PADP401245125
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Carmel O'Donoghue
Ref. No.	PADP402142969
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caroline Brady
Ref. No.	PADP400992452
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caroline Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401285393
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caroline Doyle
Ref. No.	PADP400958263
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caroline O'Shea
Ref. No.	PADP401236964
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Caroline O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400641040
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP401213064
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine Daly
Ref. No.	PADP400496270
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine Hennessy

Ref. No.	PADP400517645
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catherine Ryan

Ref. No.	PADP400422915
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. Raises concern that the proposed rezoning will seriously endanger the SPA. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cathrina Canavan
Ref. No.	PADP401195479
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cathryn McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400546903
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to

	<p>the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cathy Stafford
Ref. No.	PADP401053228
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 including for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would be detrimental to this picturesque area, home to much wildlife, as well as adjacent to the much used and loved Peoples Path. • Would be located in a vastly populated area, and the dangers of the proposed development as the technology is at such an early stage.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not against the concept of the power plant located in an area with little impact to people’s lives and quality of life.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Catriona O'Donovan
Ref. No.	PADP401241128
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Celia Daly
Ref. No.	PADP400643519
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Chanelle O Regan
Ref. No.	PADP400520935
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Chris Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP400440475
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Christian Smyth
Ref. No.	PADP401371769
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Christine McNamara
Ref. No.	PADP401566112
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise

	<p>point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cian Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP400505452
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating</p>

	that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ciara Collins
Ref. No.	PADP401385865
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Ciara Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP402160902
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ciara Fortune
Ref. No.	PADP400537233
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ciara Gosnell
Ref. No.	PADP401580527
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cinty O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP401284409
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Clare Boylan
Ref. No.	PADP398753387
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Clodagh McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400574798
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Colm Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP400408008
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2.and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Colman McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400575244
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Committee of Youghal Lawn Tennis Club
Ref. No.	PADP400779476
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 AND 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Conor J Phelan
Ref. No.	PADP401279558

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Conor O'Callaghan
Ref. No.	PADP401000956

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, founded in 1917, which is a very active club in the community. A detailed breakdown of the club’s activities and membership is provided in the submission. The club encourages wide usage and runs a range of programmes for example Tennis camps, adults coaching groups, together with sharing facilities with other organisations and clubs including for example sea scouts, Irish coastguard. There are 700 people in regular weekly games. The club has also hosted a number of tournaments, leagues, and championships. Concerns raised regarding impact of noise coming from facility on those being coached every week <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Conor O'Callaghan

Ref. No.	PADP401002137
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Conor O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400639068
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cora Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP400513517
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cora Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401040341
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cormac Alcock
Ref. No.	PADP401205274
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Cormac, Triona and Hazel Whalley
Ref. No.	PADP401335692
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Craig Leahy
Ref. No.	PADP400641869
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Damien & Katie Kearns
Ref. No.	PADP401132896
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.2 in the settlement of Aghada. Submission is objecting to the rezoning of the amenity space by the Aghada Tennis Club for Industrial use. It notes it is a particularly beautiful scenic spot in an area used by locals and visitors alike. Submission argues the addition of further industry in the area should not be permitted in a primarily residential area. It highlights the area is a popular walking, running, swimming, sailing and water sports location that is used by people of all ages.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Damien and Katie Kearns
Ref. No.	PADP401134732
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.3 in the settlement of Aghada. Submission is objecting to the rezoning of the amenity space by the Aghada Tennis Club for Industrial use. It notes it is a particularly beautiful scenic spot in an area used by locals and visitors alike. Submission argues the addition of further industry in the area should not be permitted in a primarily residential area. It highlights the area is a popular walking, running, swimming, sailing and water sports location that is used by people of all ages.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dan Callanan
Ref. No.	PADP401207915
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; - Safety: the proposal to site a hydrogen generating facility proximate to a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to a highly used public amenity path and houses is inappropriate. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the rezoning of this area for industrial activity is completely inappropriate considering the amount of land already assigned for industrial use in the surrounding area. Notes that the residents of Aghada are not opposed to local industry operating in the area and have supported existing industry since the fifties but that the issues here is an inappropriate proposal for this particular area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel Cowman
Ref. No.	PADP400989007
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to</p>

	<p>protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, founded in 1917, which is a very active club in the community. A detailed breakdown of the club's activities is provided in the submission. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation providing for example Tennis camps, adults coaching groups, together with sharing facilities with other organisations and clubs including for example sea scouts, Irish coastguard. The club has also hosted a number of tournaments, leagues, and championships. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel Gerard McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400914369
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel O Callaghan
Ref. No.	PADP401002729
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The</p>

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Daniel O Callaghan
Ref. No.	PADP401003454
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be

	<p>entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Danny McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401219137
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Darragh Graham
Ref. No.	PADP401129572
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2

Submission Summary	Submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 which proposes to reclassify a portion of WG-GC-04 from Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Darragh Graham
Ref. No.	PADP401130231
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David Creedon
Ref. No.	PADP400578349
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David Daly
Ref. No.	PADP400417938
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment no. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the</p>

	<p>Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David McGowan
Ref. No.	PADP400651887
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>The proposed re-zoning would introduce an industrial process into an existing residential zone which is above and over-looking the proposed industrial site. There is already adequate zoning nearby for any industrial process. The industrial facility is proposed for a site immediately adjacent to and overlooking the existing tennis club.</p> <p>At present the site is zoned for, and had been used for, maritime activity which fits in with amenity use, rather than industrial.</p> <p>This zone is adjacent to Cork Harbour Special Protection Area and is not suitable for development</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David O Callaghan
Ref. No.	PADP397195572
Proposed Amendment No.	Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Aghada which is subject to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3. The submission states that the site, which will give access to the foreshore, bounds a Natura 2000 site. These sites comprise of the Special Protection Area (Cork Harbour SPA – Site code 004030) and a proposed Natural Heritage Area (Rostellan Lough, Aghada Shore and Poul nabibe Inlet – Site code 001076). The submission outlines that proposals for the site by EI-H2/ HWP shows scant regard for the Biodiversity crisis through their attitude to the designation of the SPA adjoining this site.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	David O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP402128829
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Declan Devoy
Ref. No.	PADP402135577
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Declan O Neill
Ref. No.	PADP401281404
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deirdre Connolly
Ref. No.	PADP401216995
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deirdre Cronin Daly and Family
Ref. No.	PADP401264892
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deirdre O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP398284668
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada and objects to the re-zoning of amenity land to industrial in Lower Aghada, for what the submission describes as an entirely unsuitable and hazardous hydrogen plant by E1-H2. The submission states that this is not an industrial location but a residential and amenity area, in every sense of the term 'community' that is vital to our everyday existence.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deirdre O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400503154
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Deirdre Triggs
Ref. No.	PADP401856077
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise

	<p>point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Denis O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP401114154
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Denise Collins, Lawrence Collins
Ref. No.	PADP400929429
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Derry Collins
Ref. No.	PADP401601084
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Diana Smolko
Ref. No.	PADP401046592
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Plant for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Negative effect on the quality of life of the surrounding houses and families. - Loss of greenspace. - Increased traffic affecting tourism and adding stress on existing infrastructure. - Excessive noise. - Concerns about pollution of native waterways which could affect water supplies and wildlife. - Architecture that affect charisma of the neighbourhood. - Risk of danger to locality due to combustible and explosive material. - Increase in CO2 emissions due to lack of hydro and or wind power to power the plant, fossil fuels will be used causing smell and pollution. - Changing the landscape will affect drainage, soil stability, wildlife habitat and appearance of the area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Diana Smolko
Ref. No.	PADP401131550
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>Negative effect on the quality of life of the surrounding houses and families. Loss of greenspace. Increased traffic affecting tourism and adding stress on existing infrastructure. Excessive noise. Concerns about pollution of native waterways which could affect water supplies and wildlife. Architecture that affect charisma of the neighbourhood. Risk of danger to locality due to combustible and explosive material. Increase in CO2 emissions due to lack of hydro and/or wind power to power the</p>

	<p>plant.</p> <p>Changing the landscape will affect drainage, soil stability, wildlife habitat and appearance of the area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dianka Smolko
Ref. No.	PADP401594027
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Diarmuid Moloney
Ref. No.	PADP400457777
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dolores O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP401012693
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Donal & Margaret O'Shea
Ref. No.	PADP400399027
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Donal Higgins
Ref. No.	PADP401102326
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, founded in 1917, which is a very active club in the community. A detailed breakdown of the club’s activities is provided in the submission. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation providing for example Tennis camps, adults coaching groups, together with sharing facilities with other organisations and clubs including for example sea scouts, Irish coastguard. The club has also hosted a number of tournaments, leagues, and championships. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Donal McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400635298
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Donal Wall
Ref. No.	PADP401351836
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise

	<p>point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Donnagh Crowley
Ref. No.	PADP400951484
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Doreen Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401295654
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Dr R. Griffin
Ref. No.	PADP401257488
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eamon Ahern
Ref. No.	PADP400827766
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eamonn Ahern
Ref. No.	PADP400828129
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eamonn Kearney
Ref. No.	PADP401211244
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ed Sexton
Ref. No.	PADP401340333
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Edel Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP400638227
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	EI-H2
Ref. No.	PADP401355642
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission, on behalf of EI-H2, is in support of Proposed Amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3. Proposed Amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 proposes to reclassify a portion of WG-GC-04 from Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial uses. The submission refers to CDP objectives ZU 18-3 Green Infrastructure and ZU 18-10 Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses.</p> <p>In their previous submission to the Draft County Development Plan EI-H2 considered that the Boatyard site in Lower Aghada was a suitable site for a hydrogen electrolysis plant. However, EI-H2 are now of the view that the Boatyard does not represent the most suitable or sustainable location for a hydrogen electrolysis plant in Whitegate & Aghada and the submission states that their search for a more suitable site is currently ongoing.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this, the submission considers that Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 on the existing boatyard site is required to appropriately recognise the site's established use. The submission states that while the site will not be redeveloped as a hydrogen electrolysis plant, EI-H2 do have plans to undertake site remediation and the site will be used for a suitable use consistent with its historic use and proposed Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses zoning objective. The submission also states that the proposed change will in effect maintain the current planning policy position for 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 as the sites are zoned as 'Existing Built-up area' in the 2017 LAP.</p> <p>The submission requests that additional wording be included in Paragraph 3.8.15 of Volume Four of the Draft Cork County Development Plan 2021 – South Cork in respect of Whitegate & Aghada as follows;</p> <p>3.8.15 Other small-scale employment is provided mainly in local services and retail in the four village centres. "The redevelopment of the Aghada Boatyard for appropriate business/industrial uses will be supported, subject to normal planning criteria, with the exception of a hydrogen electrolysis plant, which is not considered a suitable use."</p> <p>The submission outlines that this additional wording will reflect the landowners plans for the site and provide clarity for the community regarding these plans.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Eileen Burke
Ref. No.	PADP401600144
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Eileen O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP400685819
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	The submission does not support a hydrogen plant at this site in Aghada on a number of grounds. Firstly, the close proximity of the plant to Aghada tennis club and houses would be unsafe as hydrogen is an incredibly volatile and flammable gas. Secondly, the ecology of the area will suffer beyond repair. Thirdly, the People's Path is used daily by hundreds of people for walking, running, cycling, etc. Any more heavy industry would destroy the beautiful walkway and area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eileen O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP400687393
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; - Close proximity of the plant to the popular Aghada tennis club and the significant number of dwelling houses which it submits is incredibly irresponsible in terms of safety. States that hydrogen is an incredibly volatile and flammable gas and expresses a lack of conviction that adequate safety measures will be adhered to. - Submits that the ecology of the area will suffer beyond repair and asks if the area is currently a special protection area. - Submits that the People's Path amenity is used daily by hundreds of people for walking, running, cycling, etc. and that more heavy industry destroying a beautiful walkway and area is not needed.
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.5 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the East Cork MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.5 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the East Cork MD.
Interested Party	Eileen Triggs
Ref. No.	PADP401223469
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eilish Kenny
Ref. No.	PADP400523518
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eilish Triggs
Ref. No.	PADP400984099
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing

	<p>Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eimear Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP400788562
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines grounds for opposing the proposed amendments, in relation to impacts on biodiversity and quality of life, as follows;</p> <p>- To redesignate the land as proposed could invite the development of industry or related activities that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment (such as interfering with maintaining favourable conditions for our resident and migratory bird population, many of which are in decline) by facilitating possible interference with the Cork SPA (as specified under the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora).</p> <p>- There would also be a negative impact on the wider local area and environment as well as the lives of the local residents.</p> <p>- The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eimear Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP400787688
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 as a resident living in the immediate area. Its redesignation could invite the development of industry that would negatively impact on the ecology of the area including potential impact on the Cork SPA and the wider environment as well as on the lives of local residents. The area includes a public path (People’s Path) used by residents for recreation purposes and borders on a residential area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Elaine Moran

Ref. No.	PADP401005662
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Elaine Muledy

Ref. No.	PADP400413930
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Elaine Seacy
Ref. No.	PADP401580711
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Eleanor O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401197265
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Elizabeth Ryan Moloney
Ref. No.	PADP400511772
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ella O Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400441788
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ellen Barrett
Ref. No.	PADP401248558
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ellen O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP400576070
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eoghan Callery
Ref. No.	PADP401086004
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eoghan Daly
Ref. No.	PADP401334790
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eoin O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP400969244

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	Submitter expresses objection to the rezoning of the green zone to an industrial area due to the environmental impact and impact to the amenities in the area in which submitter lives. It is probable that this submission relates to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eoin O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400924849
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eric Smith
Ref. No.	PADP400501921
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eric Smith
Ref. No.	PADP400503796
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Erika Liptajovp
Ref. No.	PADP401005617
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Erin Jacobson
Ref. No.	PADP400989560
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 in the settlement of Aghada. Submission objects to the rezoning of the green area as it has a huge impact on the local amenities and environment and there's no experience of this type of industry in Ireland. Submission requests to keep the green area as it is.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Esther Lane
Ref. No.	PADP400541260
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eucharía Owers
Ref. No.	PADP400579003
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family

	<p>friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Eugene O’Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP400991319
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada and it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses noting that it will have a huge impact on the local amenities and environment and there’s no experience of this type of industry in Ireland.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Evarose Boylan
Ref. No.	PADP398705076
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be</p>

	<p>entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Evelyn Richardson
Ref. No.	PADP401392309
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Faye Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401592677
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special

	<p>Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Finbar Alcock Agell
Ref. No.	PADP400948154
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of</p>

	<p>context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Finola O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP400446614
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fiona Brennan
Ref. No.	PADP401220728
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the

	<p>existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fiona Dwyer
Ref. No.	PADP400528816
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the

	<p>existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fiona Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP400984259
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive

	<p>Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Fran Fitzpatrick
Ref. No.	PADP400927072
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Frances Ahern
Ref. No.	PADP401199089
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Frances Day
Ref. No.	PADP400068544
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the</p>

	<p>submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Frank Hussey & Bernice Hussey
Ref. No.	PADP400060916
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. Two environmental reports - the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report, addressed both amendments on pages 122, and 203/204 respectively. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment no. 4.3.8.3, and that for the boatyard any further re-development may need further assessment. It is requested that the amendments agreed on December 13th 2021, by the elected councillors be over-turned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations, as a matter of urgency to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. - Flooding: Approximately 10% of the site is within a floodplain.

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gary Gosnell
Ref. No.	PADP401582411
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p>

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gary O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401345812
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the</p>

	<p>submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gayle Elmore
Ref. No.	PADP401357024
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to

	<p>protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Geraldine Coleman
Ref. No.	PADP400993977
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in

	<p>the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Geraldine Cunningham
Ref. No.	PADP400990488
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in

	<p>the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	GERALDINE OBRIEN
Ref. No.	PADP401124715
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses.</p> <p>Submits that the land is located within Cork Harbour special protection area and that any heavy industrial development of this land will negatively impact the wildlife & biodiversity in the area and would have a serious negative effect on the health and wellbeing of the community due to light and noise pollution. Also raises safety concerns due to the location adjacent to the people's path, the tennis courts and local residents homes. Submits that the land area proposed for rezoning is too small and in the wrong location for any industrial development and should remain green.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	GERALDINE OBRIEN
Ref. No.	PADP401125507
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.3 in the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. Submission objects to the rezoning of this land from green to industrial for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Located within Cork Harbour special protection area, so any heavy industrial development of this land will negatively impact the wildlife & biodiversity in the area. - Heavy industrial development would have a serious negative effect on the health & wellbeing of the community due to light and noise pollution 24/7. - Safety concerns due to the location - this land is adjacent to the people's path, the tennis courts and local residents' homes.

	- The land area proposed for rezoning is too small & in the wrong location for any industrial development and should remain green.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard and Karen O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401251227
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard and Lucy Lambe
Ref. No.	PADP401201072
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p>

	<p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard Collins
Ref. No.	PADP400845638
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	Submits that the proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 to Re zone land in Lower Aghada from Green to Industrial be rejected. States that the current zoning of that area of land is correct given it adjoins the shoreline of Cork harbour which is classified as a Special Protection Area (SPA), that the area is primarily residential with many properties having been constructed in recent years overlooking the green zone with uninterrupted views of the harbour, and that local amenities such as wildlife, scouting, tennis, sailing, boating, rowing swimming and walking would be significantly interfered with by such a zone change and subsequent industrial development of this un spoilt area. States that there are existing areas of Cork Harbour already zoned industrial with sufficient available land for any proposed or future developments and that it is imperative that the Cork County Development plan protect the existing scenic and recreational amenities for current and future generations. Concludes that to rezone this green area would cause irreversible damage to this natural harbour area and that the proposed amendment does not serve the common good which is the foundation of our democratic planning process.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard Collins
Ref. No.	PADP400847154
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	Submits that the proposed amendment 4.3.8.3 to Re zone land in Lower Aghada from Green to Industrial be rejected. States that the current zoning of that area

	<p>of land is correct given it adjoins the shoreline of Cork harbour which is classified as a Special Protection Area (SPA), that the area is primarily residential with many properties having been constructed in recent years overlooking the green zone with uninterrupted views of the harbour and that local amenities such as wildlife, scouting, tennis, sailing, boating, rowing swimming and walking would be significantly interfered with by such a zone change and subsequent industrial development of this un spoiled area. Submits that there are existing areas of Cork Harbour already zoned industrial with sufficient available land for any proposed or future developments and that it is imperative that the Cork County Development plan protect the existing scenic and recreational amenities for current and future generations. Concludes that to rezone this green area would cause irreversible damage to this natural harbour area and that the proposed amendment does not serve the common good which is the foundation of our democratic planning process.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard Griffin
Ref. No.	PADP401283063
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard Hennessy
Ref. No.	PADP400517939
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard Melvin and Orla Leahy
Ref. No.	PADP400522003
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family

	<p>friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerard Sheehan
Ref. No.	PADP401254680
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living</p>

	<p>locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gerry Crowley
Ref. No.	PADP400957772
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living</p>

	<p>locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gill Berry
Ref. No.	PADP400578059
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p>

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gill Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401282205
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the</p>

	<p>submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gina Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400920820
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to

	<p>protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Gwen Cunningham
Ref. No.	PADP400546967
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.82 and 4 3.83
Submission Summary	Objection against green infrastructure zoning turning into industrial zone
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Helen Barrett
Ref. No.	PADP400636406
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be

	<p>entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Helen Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP400504326
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p>

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Hilary Lane
Ref. No.	PADP400403863
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the</p>

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Hilary McCully
Ref. No.	PADP401625691
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. The submission outlines that the club was founded in 1917 and is one of the most active in Munster with over 700 people taking part in regular games on a weekly basis. It shares facilities with other bodies including sea scouts, Irish Coast Guard as well as a range of other users and has hosted various national tennis events and championships. The submission suggests that the impact on the tennis club and in particular the impact on children (particularly autistic children) from noise from such a gas facility would be substantial. Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Hilda Bohane
Ref. No.	PADP402145269
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special

	<p>Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Hugh Smiddy
Ref. No.	PADP400972850
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of</p>

	<p>context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Iain Wrafter
Ref. No.	PADP401326899
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Iarla Cott
Ref. No.	PADP400642706
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Imelda Duhig-Budden
Ref. No.	PADP400163848
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the

	<p>existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ivan and Rachel O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP401344215
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Izzy Scallon
Ref. No.	PADP400920368
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were

	<p>prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	James Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP400466265
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were

	<p>prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	James O Reilly
Ref. No.	PADP400540208
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special

	<p>Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jamie Manley
Ref. No.	PADP401076764
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special

	<p>Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jane Robertson
Ref. No.	PADP401258937
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Janet O'Reilly
Ref. No.	PADP401112356
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.3 in the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. Submission objects to the conversion of the space from Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial uses as stated in the amendments. Submission argues that it is clear that the purpose for the amendment is to agree to the submission from EI-H2 to build a hydrogen facility. Submission is against the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - it is an unsafe location for such a facility. - unsuitable development for the Lower Aghada area. - immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Zone. - will affect vital amenity areas such as The People's Path and Lower Aghada Tennis & Sailing Club.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Janet O'Reilly
Ref. No.	PADP401112612
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.3 in the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. Submission objects to the conversion of the space from Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial uses as stated in the amendments. Submission argues that it is clear that the purpose for the amendment is to agree the submission from EI-H2 to build a hydrogen facility. Submission is against the proposal for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - it is an unsafe location for such a facility. - unsuitable development for the Lower Aghada area. - immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Zone. - will affect vital amenity areas such as The People's Path and Lower Aghada Tennis & Sailing Club.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Janet Seacy
Ref. No.	PADP401597570
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in

	<p>the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Janet Turra
Ref. No.	PADP400947700
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community.

	<p>Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jason Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP398724750
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.3. The proposal is to change part of WG-GC-04 from Green Infrastructure to Existing/Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses within the village of Lower Aghada and adjacent to residential houses and the Cork Harbour SPA.</p> <p>Submissions notes that it is recommended in the Council's Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report 18th Jan 2022 and the Councils Addendum to SEA Environmental Report 18th January 2022 that this amendment is not adopted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • States that to redesignate it from Green Infrastructure as proposed could invite the development of industry or related activities that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment (i.e. such as interfering with maintaining favourable conditions for our resident and migratory bird population, many of which are in decline) by facilitating possible interference with the Cork Harbour SPA (as specified under the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora). • Have a negative impact on the wider local area and environment as well as the lives of the local residents. • Would form an island of industrial zoned land within an area of green infrastructure and residential housing; • Area is adjacent to the SPA, The People's Path, and is very close to the Lower Aghada Tennis Club and residential development. • Quotes page 38 of the recommendation of the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment which recommends against the adoption of this amendment given the proximity of the site to the Cork Harbour SPA. • Also quotes extracts from pages 203 which recommends that the land be retained with the WG-GC-04 zone in order to retain an undeveloped and undisturbed buffer area to the estuary and the SPA • Quotes page 271; recommending that amendment 4.3.8.3 not be adopted. • Refers to the Council's Addendum to SEA Environmental Reports 18th January 2022 noting that it should not proceed on the basis that it is undeveloped and

	should be retained as a buffer to the sensitive areas of the estuary and Cork Harbour SPA.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jason Boyle
Ref. No.	PADP398722372
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment no. 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. The submission states that this area is located within the village adjacent to residential houses and the Cork Harbour SPA and to redesignate it could invite the development of industry or related activities that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment due to possible interference with the SPA.</p> <p>The submission also notes that this area is not adjacent to any other zone designated as “Existing/Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses” and would be an “island” of industrial zoned land within an area of green infrastructure and residential housing. The zone is directly adjacent and bordering the Cork Harbour SPA on one side and by the People’s Path on the other side which is used extensively by residents for recreation purposes. The site is also very close to the Lower Aghada Tennis club as well as being directly opposite residential housing.</p> <p>The submission observes that the negative impact of adopting this amendment has also been noted in the Councils Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report dated the 18th of January 2022. The submission includes excerpts from the report which recommend not to change the current Green Infrastructure designation. The submission also notes that the Councils Addendum to SEA Environmental Report dated the 18th of January 2022 also recommends that the change in designation should not proceed on the basis that it is undeveloped and should be retained as a buffer to the sensitive areas of the estuary and Cork Harbour SPA.</p> <p>The submission concludes by requesting that the amendment not be adopted.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jason Colbert
Ref. No.	PADP400579843
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing

	<p>Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jen Waechter
Ref. No.	PADP401588729
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and

	<p>4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jennifer Daly
Ref. No.	PADP401297966
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jennifer O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP401607385
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material

	<p>within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jerry Melvin
Ref. No.	PADP400634204
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jerry O’Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP400575588
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines

	<p>its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jim Fortune
Ref. No.	PADP400911073
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jimmy Condon
Ref. No.	PADP398750185
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing

	<p>Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jimmy O'Leary
Ref. No.	PADP400424104
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joan Barry
Ref. No.	PADP401252975
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local</p>

	<p>houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joanne Collins
Ref. No.	PADP401580717
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local</p>

	<p>houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joanne Currie
Ref. No.	PADP400530313
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to

	<p>the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joe Dwyer
Ref. No.	PADP400525442
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material

	<p>within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joe Hetherington
Ref. No.	PADP400990756
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John and Ina Hennessy
Ref. No.	PADP400437214
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400235037
Proposed Amendment No.	amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission from Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses

	<p>for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. The submission lists the organisations that share the facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Manning
Ref. No.	PADP400455083
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John murphy
Ref. No.	PADP400386748
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada and objects to the rezoning of the land in this area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John O Connell
Ref. No.	PADP400914733

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	John Paul Ivers
Ref. No.	PADP401575790

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Jonathan Frankham
Ref. No.	PADP400606695

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	Poses a health and environmental hazard.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Joseph Dwyer
Ref. No.	PADP400901951
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Josephine Broderick
Ref. No.	PADP401223825
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Judith Meagher
Ref. No.	PADP400533598
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses.</p> <p>The submission states it is against a mandatory directive in the Planning and Development Act 2000 Mandatory Objective: Landscape Character, Views and Prospects Section 10(2)(e) which requires that a development plan shall include objectives for: “the preservation of the character of the landscape where, and to the extent that, in the opinion of the planning authority, the proper planning and sustainable development of the area requires it, including the preservation of views and prospects and the amenities of places and features of natural beauty or interest.”</p> <p>This submission proposes that a change of use will be detrimental to the Peoples Path and the marine leisure activities of the area. The adjacent tennis club is a local community facility of long standing and will also be adversely affected during building.</p> <p>The submission also states that this is an important SPC for bird life and that it is the duty of the council to protect this area. There are lots of industrialized sites in the immediate area close to the refinery that would be an excellent fit for this development. Changing the zoning of this site is unnecessary and detrimental to the area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Julie Dwyer
Ref. No.	PADP401240480
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Juliet Corcoran
Ref. No.	PADP400160833
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines

	<p>its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Justin Ackland
Ref. No.	PADP400401985
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Justin Dennehy
Ref. No.	PADP400454546
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	K. Smolko
Ref. No.	PADP401590143
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be

	<p>entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kannan Natchimuthu
Ref. No.	PADP401586412
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p>

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Karen Casey
Ref. No.	PADP401263966
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.5 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the East Cork MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.5 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the East Cork MD.
Interested Party	Karen Padden
Ref. No.	PADP398130395
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada and objects to the re zoning of land in Lower Aghada from Green Infrastructure to Industrial. The submission objects to a hydrogen plant being constructed directly beside a tennis club, a public path and a residential area and states that there is industrial zoned land in the locality for a development of this type.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kate Elliott
Ref. No.	PADP400502234
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kate O'Shea
Ref. No.	PADP401270627

Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Katherine Daly
Ref. No.	PADP401089763
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p>

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, founded in 1917, which is a very active club in the community. A detailed breakdown of the club’s activities and membership is provided in the submission. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation providing for example Tennis camps, adults coaching groups, together with sharing facilities with other organisations and clubs including for example sea scouts, Irish coastguard. The club has also hosted a number of tournaments, leagues, and championships.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kathleen Corcoran
Ref. No.	PADP401351764
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Keith Cotter
Ref. No.	PADP402133329
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines

	<p>its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Keith Fahy
Ref. No.	PADP400069594
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ken McIlreavy
Ref. No.	PADP399691662
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 & No. 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ken McIlreavy
Ref. No.	PADP401083495
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ken Seymour
Ref. No.	PADP401291671
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the

	<p>existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Alcock
Ref. No.	PADP401074175
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive

	<p>Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Corkery
Ref. No.	PADP401003854
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were

	<p>prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Dwyer
Ref. No.	PADP400440826
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin Lydon
Ref. No.	PADP401276153
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin O Reilly
Ref. No.	PADP401110022
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2. It is opposed to the conversion of the space from Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial uses as stated in the amendments to agree the submission from EI-H2 to build a hydrogen facility. Area is considered an unsafe location for such a facility, unsuitable development for the Lower Aghada area, proposed rezoned space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Zone. Furthermore, submission states that it will affect vital amenity areas such as The People's Path and Lower Aghada Tennis & Sailing Club.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin O Reilly
Ref. No.	PADP401112097

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.3 including for the following reasons; Submission is opposed to the conversion of the space from Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial uses as stated in the amendments to agree the submission from EI-H2 to build a hydrogen facility. Area is considered an unsafe location for such a facility, unsuitable development for the Lower Aghada area, proposed rezoned space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Zone. Furthermore, submission states that it will affect vital amenity areas such as The People's Path and Lower Aghada Tennis & Sailing Club.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kevin O'Connor
Ref. No.	PADP400989284
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders

	<p>Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kiera Hennessy
Ref. No.	PADP398751937
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kieran Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP397469400
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Lower Aghada and objects to the proposed change of use to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. The submitter states that he is not in favour of a dangerous manufacturing facility for Hydrogen Gas being constructed close to his home.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Kyle McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400452553
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it opposes proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Response</p>	<p>See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>
<p>Chief Executive's Recommendation</p>	<p>See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.</p>



Cork County Development Plan Review

Section 12(8)

Chief Executive's Report

Volume Two: Part 2(d)

*Submissions on the Proposed
Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD to
the Draft Plan – (L-Z)*

Response and Recommendations

16th March 2022



Comhairle Contae Chorcaí
Cork County Council

Contents

VOLUME FOUR	4
1 SUBMISSIONS ON THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS NO. 4.3.8.2 AND 4.3.8.3 WHITEGATE AGHADA, EAST CORK MD	4

Volume Two of the Section 12(8) Chief Executive's Report is made up of the following parts;

Volume Two Part 1; Submissions on the Proposed Amendments to the Draft Plan-Response and Recommendations Volume One and Two of the Draft Plan.

Volume Two Part 2(a) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments-Response and Recommendations Volumes Three, Four and Five of the Draft Plan (All 8 Municipal Districts)

Volume Two Part 2(b) Submissions on the Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.3.15 Broomfield, Midleton, East Cork MD.

Volume Two Part 2(c) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (A-K).

Volume Two Part 2(d) Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD (L-Z).

Volume Four

1 Submissions on the Proposed Amendments No. 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 Whitegate Aghada, East Cork MD

Interested Party	Lakshmi mairead Kasibatla
Ref. No.	PADP400313451
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.83
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission highlights the concern in relation to an existing power plant and recent amendments up for consideration changing residential areas to business areas. The submission is worried any industry other than local business can damage the eco nature of Whitegate/Aghada area and in turn future generations. The submission requests to avoid any conversion of residential areas to industries other than local business.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Laura Martini
Ref. No.	PADP399858363
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment nos. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Laura O’Keeffe
Ref. No.	PADP400993926
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the</p>

	<p>submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Laurie Sjostrom
Ref. No.	PADP399853506
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment Nos. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the

	<p>Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lena Doyle
Ref. No.	PADP400916698
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the

	<p>community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Leona Cremin
Ref. No.	PADP400514813
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower

	<p>Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Leonard O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP396957283
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility. The submission objects to the proposed planning amendment for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity and Natural Habitat Loss - The proposed site, which will give access to the foreshore, bounds a Natura 2000 site. These comprise of the Special Protection Area (Cork Harbour SPA – Site code 004030) and a proposed Natural Heritage Area (Rostellan Lough, Aghada Shore and Poul nabibe Inlet – Site code 001076). The HWP/EI-H2 submission has outlined their scant regard for the Biodiversity crisis through their attitude to the designation of the SPA adjoining this site. The submission strongly defends the retention of the Cork Harbour Special Protection Area. - Planning consistency - There is adequate zoning in the area for any industrial process. This “island” of re-zoning is not consistent with the continuity of these zones and would see the unwarranted creep of industrial zoning into a green space, and a residential zone. A major concern would be the potential to attempt to connect this proposed industrial zone in the future, with that in Whitegate. As has been highlighted in the HWP/EI-H2 submission, they propose to connect with the existing power stations in some fashion and have in fact highlighted this as being a core strength of their proposal. - Residential area - The proposed re-zoning would introduce an industrial process into an existing residential zone. the visual impacts of the properties adjacent and over-looking the site would and should out-weigh those from a distance across the harbour as referenced in the EI-H2 submission. - Light and Noise Pollution - The construction of a 24-hour industrial facility in this location will introduce a light and noise pollution and impact directly on the residential area overlooking the site.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Legacy – the proposed development would set a precedence in itself for further future re-zoning of adjacent green space. - Amenity - The People’s Path in Aghada is a precious resource for all ages. This industrial proposal would fly in the face of efforts to continue to encourage people to use the outdoors. The EI-H2 submission addresses this important amenity in an off-hand manner. The ESB station existed before the path so to use this as evidence that people won’t have an issue with further industry along the path is a min-interpretation. - Safe Cycling - The path is one of the few places around the harbour that provides a safe family space for children to cycle, which is separated from the road. - Place making - the current draft plan introduces the core planning concept of “Place-making”, and its value. This draft amendment is a sure example of where Place-making is conveniently ignored and abandoned, when it suits. This industrial proposal is in a residential area, and on an amenity space, used by the entire population of Aghada and the greater Midleton area. It would seem to be entirely at odds with every part of Table 3.1 (Principles of placemaking) of the Draft Development Plan, and would seem to continue a tradition of developer led planning, rather than planning based upon good principles. - Proximity to the existing tennis club - This industrial facility is proposed for a site immediately adjacent to and overlooking the existing tennis club. At present, the site is zoned for, and had been used for, maritime activity. This fits in with amenity use, rather than industrial. - Existing derelict site – The existing boatyard is an eyesore however this will just be replaced with another industrial eyesore. Re-building on this site with a similar maritime/amenity facility would greatly benefit the area as there is a total lack of these facilities on the eastern side of Cork Harbour. - Flooding - While current OPW CFRAM modelling of future probable floods does not impact widely on the proposed site, there is a possibility that future climate change patterns may see these models change. The placement of an industrial process in such proximity to this potential would require a flood-risk assessment, and consequent hard engineering solutions to prevent ingress to water on-site. The submissions states that if the developer wants to build a hydrogen manufacturing facility, they would be better advised to build one on a site that would not have potential long-term coastal flooding implications. - Company profile - The proposed developer of the site (EI-H2) does not have an established profile in either the production of hydrogen, or the energy industry. - Hydrogen - Branding and marketing the proposed development as “green” hydrogen might be a clever way to make a business pitch, but this is still a chemical manufacturing process. - Safety - Hydrogen gas is highly flammable and explosive and the proposed site is in very close proximity to the tennis club, residential area, and directly on a busy road which has a lot of fuel tankers as well as light vehicles. - Location – the submission disagrees with the HWP/EI-H2 submission which states that the site is part of the established strategic energy park location of Whitegate and Aghada. The submissions states that the site is well outside the boundary of this zoned area. - Scale - The HWP-EI-H2 submission claims that “with the facility being one of the largest renewable plants of its kind in the world . . .”. This is at odds with their claims to down-play the size of the plant to residents in their “public consultation” recently. - Connection to gas line - The HWP-EI-H2 document mentions a potential “gas line injection within 1.2km of the site”. Is this the location at Upper Aghada? How do they propose to connect the gas to this location? Will this mean running a pressure gas main from the proposed site to Upper Aghada? How does hydrogen fit in with the existing natural gas in the GNI system?
--	--

	<p>- Electricity grid connection - If this proposed 50MW generation plant (minimum according to the EI-H2 proposal, which indicates that they intend expanding in the future) will produce electricity, how do they propose connecting this to the grid? Underground? Overhead?</p> <p>- Scenic route – the proposed site is on a designated green route.</p> <p>- Inconsistency in planning amendments - the amendments in question, along with other amendments for the East Cork MD, were proposed by Cllr Michael Hegarty, and seconded by Cllr Susan Hegarty. The minutes of the meeting do not note any discussion. However, it should be noted that one of the following amendments relating to Whitegate (Proposed amendment 4.3.8.5) was also passed. This relates to the playground in Whitegate, and states: “Include Flood Risk Asterisk for objective WG-GA-09 as follows; WG-GA-09 Whitegate Playground. Maintain existing open space and existing play area. This zone is adjacent to Cork Harbour Special Protection Area and is not suitable for development.”</p> <p>The submission questions why the green space next to the playground in Whitegate cannot be built on because it is too close to the SPA (200m away), but it’s perfectly fine to re-zone the land which extends onto the SPA in Aghada. The submission concludes by stating that the people of Aghada and Whitegate have benefited from and tolerated the wider energy industry for a long number of years. There are two power plants, the only national oil refinery and a nearby windfarm. There are also proposals for an energy storage facility and there is a large composting facility. The area has tolerated poor road surfaces, raw sewage discharges, boil water notices and suffers from regular power outages. The people of the area are therefore very attached to and protective of the People’s Path, the Natura 2000 site, the social and environmental amenity of the harbour and to this quiet residential area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Leonard O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP396962090
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment number 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility. The submission objects to the proposed planning amendment for the following reasons;</p> <p>- Biodiversity and Natural Habitat Loss - The proposed site, which will give access to the foreshore, bounds a Natura 2000 site. These comprise of the Special Protection Area (Cork Harbour SPA – Site code 004030) and a proposed Natural Heritage Area (Rostellan Lough, Aghada Shore and Poul nabibe Inlet – Site code 001076). The HWP/EI-H2 submission has outlined their scant regard for the Biodiversity crisis through their attitude to the designation of the SPA adjoining this site. The submission strongly defends the retention of the Cork Harbour Special Protection Area.</p> <p>- Planning consistency - There is adequate zoning in the area for any industrial process. This “island” of re-zoning is not consistent with the continuity of these zones and would see the unwarranted creep of industrial zoning into a green</p>

	<p>space, and a residential zone. A major concern would be the potential to attempt to connect this proposed industrial zone in the future, with that in Whitegate. As has been highlighted in the HWP/EI-H2 submission, they propose to connect with the existing power stations in some fashion and have in fact highlighted this as being a core strength of their proposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Residential area - The proposed re-zoning would introduce an industrial process into an existing residential zone. the visual impacts of the properties adjacent and over-looking the site would and should out-weigh those from a distance across the harbour as referenced in the EI-H2 submission. - Light and Noise Pollution - The construction of a 24-hour industrial facility in this location will introduce a light and noise pollution and impact directly on the residential area overlooking the site. - Legacy – the proposed development would set a precedence in itself for further future re-zoning of adjacent green space. - Amenity - The People’s Path in Aghada is a precious resource for all ages. This industrial proposal would fly in the face of efforts to continue to encourage people to use the outdoors. The EI-H2 submission addresses this important amenity in an off-hand manner. The ESB station existed before the path so to use this as evidence that people won’t have an issue with further industry along the path is a min-interpretation. - Safe Cycling - The path is one of the few places around the harbour that provides a safe family space for children to cycle, which is separated from the road. - Place making - the current draft plan introduces the core planning concept of “Place-making”, and its value. This draft amendment is a sure example of where Place-making is conveniently ignored and abandoned, when it suits. This industrial proposal is in a residential area, and on an amenity space, used by the entire population of Aghada and the greater Midleton area. It would seem to be entirely at odds with every part of Table 3.1 (Principles of placemaking) of the Draft Development Plan and would seem to continue a tradition of developer led planning, rather than planning based upon good principles. - Proximity to the existing tennis club - This industrial facility is proposed for a site immediately adjacent to and overlooking the existing tennis club. At present, the site is zoned for, and had been used for, maritime activity. This fits in with amenity use, rather than industrial. - Existing derelict site – The existing boatyard is an eyesore however this will just be replaced with another industrial eyesore. Re-building on this site with a similar maritime/amenity facility would greatly benefit the area as there is a total lack of these facilities on the eastern side of Cork Harbour. - Flooding - While current OPW CFRAM modelling of future probable floods does not impact widely on the proposed site, there is a possibility that future climate change patterns may see these models change. The placement of an industrial process in such proximity to this potential would require a flood-risk assessment, and consequent hard engineering solutions to prevent ingress to water on-site. The submissions states that if the developer wants to build a hydrogen manufacturing facility, they would be better advised to build one on a site that would not have potential long-term coastal flooding implications. - Company profile - The proposed developer of the site (EI-H2) does not have an established profile in either the production of hydrogen, or the energy industry. - Hydrogen - Branding and marketing the proposed development as “green” hydrogen might be a clever way to make a business pitch, but this is still a chemical manufacturing process. - Safety - Hydrogen gas is highly flammable and explosive and the proposed site is in very close proximity to the tennis club, residential area, and directly on a busy road which has a lot of fuel tankers as well as light vehicles. - Location – the submission disagrees with the HWP/EI-H2 submission which
--	---

	<p>states that the site is part of the established strategic energy park location of Whitegate and Aghada. The submissions states that the site is well outside the boundary of this zoned area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Scale - The HWP-EI-H2 submission claims that “with the facility being one of the largest renewable plants of its kind in the world . . .”. This is at odds with their claims to down-play the size of the plant to residents in their “public consultation” recently. - Connection to gas line - The HWP-EI-H2 document mentions a potential “gas line injection within 1.2km of the site”. Is this the location at Upper Aghada? How do they propose to connect the gas to this location? Will this mean running a pressure gas main from the proposed site to Upper Aghada? How does hydrogen fit in with the existing natural gas in the GNI system? - Electricity grid connection - If this proposed 50MW generation plant (minimum according to the EI-H2 proposal, which indicates that they intend expanding in the future) will produce electricity, how do they propose connecting this to the grid? Underground? Overhead? - Scenic route – the proposed site is on a designated green route. - Inconsistency in planning amendments - the amendments in question, along with other amendments for the East Cork MD, were proposed by Cllr Michael Hegarty, and seconded by Cllr Susan Hegarty. The minutes of the meeting do not note any discussion. However, it should be noted that one of the following amendments relating to Whitegate (Proposed amendment 4.3.8.5) was also passed. This relates to the playground in Whitegate, and states: “Include Flood Risk Asterisk for objective WG-GA-09 as follows; WG-GA-09 Whitegate Playground. Maintain existing open space and existing play area. This zone is adjacent to Cork Harbour Special Protection Area and is not suitable for development.” <p>The submission questions why the green space next to the playground in Whitegate cannot be built on because it is too close to the SPA (200m away), but it’s perfectly fine to re-zone the land which extends onto the SPA in Aghada. The submission concludes by stating that the people of Aghada and Whitegate have benefited from and tolerated the wider energy industry for a long number of years. There are two power plants, the only national oil refinery and a nearby windfarm. There are also proposals for an energy storage facility and there is a large composting facility. The area has tolerated poor road surfaces, raw sewage discharges, boil water notices and suffers from regular power outages. The people of the area are therefore very attached to and protective of the People’s Path, the Natura 2000 site, the social and environmental amenity of the harbour and to this quiet residential area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Leonard Whyte
Ref. No.	PADP401370452
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Levi O'Keeffe
Ref. No.	PADP401009667
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines

	<p>its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liam and Helen Wall
Ref. No.	PADP400507279
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p> <p>Residents who live across the road from this facility 24/7 are very concerned and whilst hydrogen is agreeable in principle, it cannot be placed in a residential area such as this location.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liam Hennigan
Ref. No.	PADP401602071
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local</p>

	<p>houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liam O Floinn
Ref. No.	PADP401283243
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to

	<p>the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lilian McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401604735
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.

	<p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lillian Hennessy
Ref. No.	PADP400396702
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The</p>

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lily Mae Steele
Ref. No.	PADP400062099
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower

	<p>Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Linda Mc Kenna
Ref. No.	PADP398867566
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2. and 4.3.8.3.
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada and objects to the proposed re-zoning of an area in Lower Aghada village from an existing green infrastructure zone to industrial zone. The submission states that the area is immediately adjacent to and bounding a Special Protection Area for wildlife, a tennis club, the People's Path amenity walk way, main road to/ from Whitegate, in a rural area within the heart of the village. This existing green area should never be re-zoned for industrial purposes due to potential impact on such a sensitive area. The submission also raises concerns about potential noise pollution, light pollution, odour and potential serious flood risk in this low lying area, especially with the impact of climate change.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lisa Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP399384265
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local

	<p>houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. Two environmental reports - the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report, addressed both amendments on pages 122, and 203/204 respectively. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment no. 4.3.8.3, and that for the boatyard any further re-development may need further assessment. It is requested that the amendments agreed on December 13th 2021, by the elected councillors be over-turned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations, as a matter of urgency to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. - Flooding: Approximately 10% of the site is within a floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lisa Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP399384677
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. Two environmental reports - the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report, addressed both amendments on pages 122, and 203/204 respectively. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment no. 4.3.8.3, and that for the boatyard any further re-development may need further assessment. It is requested that the amendments agreed on December 13th 2021, by the elected councillors be over-turned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations, as a matter of urgency to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Flooding: Approximately 10% of the site is within a floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lisa Sexton
Ref. No.	PADP401338478
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural</p>

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liz Callery
Ref. No.	PADP400962539
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the

	<p>existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Liz Lolies
Ref. No.	PADP397358216
Proposed Amendment No.	Amendment numbers: 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Aghada. The submission does not support the change set out in Proposed Amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 as it would impact the local community significantly. The submission raises concerns that the proposed development of a hydrogen plant would create a safety issue in the area which adjoins a tennis sailing club. The site is also adjacent to the Peoples Path which has only been improved in recent years for the community. The submission states that the settlement of Whitegate Aghada already has enough industrial plants to contend with including the oil refinery and the ESB Station.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lorraine McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400576416
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lower Aghada Action Group
Ref. No.	PADP401058851

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. This comprehensive submission does not support amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses.</p> <p>The submission states that locals were not made aware that a submission had been made for potential re-zoning of the subject site and that the site had subsequently been proposed for incorporation as an amendment by the County Council in the Draft County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission refers to a meeting held at Aghada Community Hall on Monday 31st January where there was global consensus from both residents and politicians that the proposed rezoning was completely inappropriate and would severely impact the area of Aghada, which is substantially a residential area with supporting community, recreational facilities along with natural protected amenities and designations.</p> <p>The submission includes an online petition which contains signatures from 873 people protesting against the rezoning.</p> <p>The submission outlines the following observations in relation to the proposed amendment;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Habitats Directive Screening Report has assessed the undeveloped site 4.3.8.2 (ref Appendix 1) to the west and recommended that it not be zoned as industrial and should be retained as green infrastructure as per the draft development plan. This is based on the potential negative impact of the adjacent SPA. The Lower Aghada Action Group strongly supports this recommendation. However, the submission also queries why this site was brought forward for consideration given this assessment and why it was not screened out of the CE's report in the first instance. - The submission states that the viability of the EI-H2 project is completely undermined as given the above recommendation by the Habitats Directive Screening there is insufficient appropriately zoned land to meet their requirements. The rezoning proposal for the Boatyard site should also be rejected and reverted to Green Infrastructure, as originally proposed by the PPU. - The Habitats Directive Screening Report has assessed the existing Boatyard site (4.3.8.3 ref Appendix 1), and in this case, has recommended that the site can be zoned as industrial. This is based solely on the current boatyard usage being regarded as industrial and the proposed development has no potential for significant effects on EU sites identified and requirement for AA is screened out. The submission states there is insufficient evidence to make this assertion and that consultation for advice in relation to the impacts should be sought from the National Parks and Wildlife service (Competent Authority) and that of the public, before such a decision on rezoning be made. The submission states that the current use as a Boatyard is not "industrial" in its nature, scale or operation but is consistent with supporting the maritime and recreational amenity of the Harbour, which is an appropriate use for the site and does not significantly adversely impact on the residential amenity and natural amenity of the area. - The submission outlines a number of references in the Draft Plan on

	<p>Biodiversity and Landscape (Ref 3.8.1, 3.8.2 – see Appendix 1) along with number of key objectives for the Aghada area (ref WG GC-01, WG-GR-02, and particularly WG-GA-03, WG-GC04 – see Appendix 1). Along with the designation as a SPA, the area also has a further designations as a proposed Natural Heritage Area (pNHA) at the Rostellan Lough, Poul nabibe Inlet and, more importantly relating to this site, the Aghada Shore which is immediately adjacent to the site. The objective also clearly states the area makes a significant contribution to the attractiveness of longer distance views from the Cobh area and provides important visual and physical separation between the village of Aghada and industrial areas to the west. It is also sited along the R630 which is designated as a Scenic Route (S51) in the draft development plan where the objective is to preserve the character of the views and prospects from the route. Objective WG-U -01 (ref Appendix1) has the objective of protecting the Peoples Path and any developments will only be permitted where it is shown that it is compatible with the requirements of nature conservation directives. These objectives all support the sensitive and scenic location at Aghada and state that open space would remain largely unchanged and is not suitable for development. The Tennis and sailing club site is also not deemed suitable for development and we now submit that the Boatyard site be retained as a boatyard and also remain largely unchanged also to reflect these broad objectives along this scenic route.</p> <p>- EI-H2 have not given any rationale for selecting this highly sensitive site, nor does there appear to be any obvious rationale, given its sensitive location. However, the selection may have been motivated by issues such as price or ready availability or in ownership, which has no place in the proper planning of an area for rezoning.</p> <p>- The Health and Safety risks to the adjacent residential and sporting facilities and users of the Peoples Path was not given any consideration in the EI-H2 submission nor in the CE report. Hydrogen is a highly flammable gas (10 times more combustible than methane) and poses explosion risk potentially causing serious injury and death to a very immediate proximate residential neighbourhood and passers-by on the highly popular People’s Path and the local tennis and sailing club where 700 people use the facilities every week. The submission refers to the Seveso Directive which may have implications for the facility of this scale as Hydrogen is named dangerous substance (No 15) in Schedule No 1 (Part 2) of the Regulations (level of storage is unknown, therefore thresholds are unknown). Additionally, approximately 150 full oil and petroleum trucks, as well as LPG Tankers and bulk containers delivering flammable chemicals to the existing industries in the Whitegate area pass within a few metres of the proposed facility every day, from the oil refinery in Whitegate. The size and shape of the site would make it impossible to create any safe set-back distance from this busy main road adding to the is profile of the prosed facility.</p> <p>The Health and Safety Authority have produced guidelines in relation to Land Use Planning (LUP) Guidance for new establishments of this type. A technical analysis of the potential risks under the regulations would very likely indicate that there would be significant risk of injury or death and such a facility is inappropriate in a residential area and that the rezoning not be recommended.</p> <p>- As per the requirements of the Habitats directive, consideration of alternative locations for a Chemical production facility of this scale and size was not undertaken.</p> <p>- There are obvious alternative sites which have an explicit zoning objective for exactly this type of strategic and large-scale industrial development elsewhere</p>
--	---

	<p>in the region which is the special Policy area (GC-X-01) in Whitegate. This is specifically designated as an “area with potential for major, large-scale energy and renewable energy related development.”</p> <p>- The submission further states that this large chemical plant, or any industrial facility on the site, would have a significant negative impact on the residential amenity due to the close proximity of the residential housing across the road from the development and also given the topography and elevated ground will have impacts both visual and noise throughout the whole residential neighbourhood of Lower Aghada which overlooks the site. This issue is not addressed at all in the EI H2 submission nor in the environmental report.</p> <p>In conclusion the submission states that it welcomes the recommendation in the Screening report to reject the rezoning of the undeveloped site 4.3.8.2. However, it submits that the Green infrastructure rezoning, as initially proposed by the PPU in the County Council in the Draft Plan for the Boatyard site 4.3.8.3 be retained as the most appropriate zoning for the site in this highly residential and natural amenity area in Lower Aghada.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lower Aghada Action Group
Ref. No.	PADP401060944
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. This comprehensive submission does not support amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses.</p> <p>The submission states that locals were not made aware that a submission had been made for potential re-zoning of the subject site and that the site had subsequently been proposed for incorporation as an amendment by the County Council in the Draft County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission refers to a meeting held at Aghada Community Hall on Monday 31st January where there was global consensus from both residents and politicians that the proposed rezoning was completely inappropriate and would severely impact the area of Aghada, which is substantially a residential area with supporting community, recreational facilities along with natural protected amenities and designations.</p> <p>The submission includes an online petition which contains signatures from 873 people protesting against the rezoning.</p> <p>The submission outlines the following observations in relation to the proposed amendment;</p> <p>- The Habitats Directive Screening Report has assessed the undeveloped site 4.3.8.2 (ref Appendix 1) to the west and recommended that it not be zoned as industrial and should be retained as green infrastructure as per the draft</p>

	<p>development plan. This is based on the potential negative impact of the adjacent SPA. The Lower Aghada Action Group strongly supports this recommendation. However, the submission also queries why this site was brought forward for consideration given this assessment and why it was not screened out of the CE's report in the first instance.</p> <p>- The submission states that the viability of the EI-H2 project is completely undermined as given the above recommendation by the Habitats Directive Screening there is insufficient appropriately zoned land to meet their requirements. The rezoning proposal for the Boatyard site should also be rejected and reverted to Green Infrastructure, as originally proposed by the PPU.</p> <p>- The Habitats Directive Screening Report has assessed the existing Boatyard site (4.3.8.3 ref Appendix 1), and in this case, has recommended that the site can be zoned as industrial. This is based solely on the current boatyard usage being regarded as industrial and the proposed development has no potential for significant effects on EU sites identified and requirement for AA is screened out. The submission states there is insufficient evidence to make this assertion and that consultation for advice in relation to the impacts should be sought from the National Parks and Wildlife service (Competent Authority) and that of the public, before such a decision on rezoning be made. The submission states that the current use as a Boatyard is not "industrial" in its nature, scale or operation but is consistent with supporting the maritime and recreational amenity of the Harbour, which is an appropriate use for the site and does not significantly adversely impact on the residential amenity and natural amenity of the area.</p> <p>- The submission outlines a number of references in the Draft Plan on Biodiversity and Landscape (Ref 3.8.1, 3.8.2 – see Appendix 1) along with number of key objectives for the Aghada area (ref WG GC-01, WG-GR-02, and particularly WG-GA-03, WG-GC04 – see Appendix 1). Along with the designation as a SPA, the area also has a further designations as a proposed Natural Heritage Area (pNHA) at the Rostellan Lough, Poul nabibe Inlet and, more importantly relating to this site, the Aghada Shore which is immediately adjacent to the site. The objective also clearly states the area makes a significant contribution to the attractiveness of longer distance views from the Cobh area and provides important visual and physical separation between the village of Aghada and industrial areas to the west. It is also sited along the R630 which is designated as a Scenic Route (S51) in the draft development plan where the objective is to preserve the character of the views and prospects from the route. Objective WG-U -01 (ref Appendix1) has the objective of protecting the Peoples Path and any developments will only be permitted where it is shown that it is compatible with the requirements of nature conservation directives. These objectives all support the sensitive and scenic location at Aghada and state that open space would remain largely unchanged and is not suitable for development. The Tennis and sailing club site is also not deemed suitable for development and we now submit that the Boatyard site be retained as a boatyard and also remain largely unchanged also to reflect these broad objectives along this scenic route.</p> <p>- EI-H2 have not given any rationale for selecting this highly sensitive site, nor does there appear to be any obvious rationale, given its sensitive location. However, the selection may have been motivated by issues such as price or ready availability or in ownership, which has no place in the proper planning of an area for rezoning.</p> <p>- The Health and Safety risks to the adjacent residential and sporting facilities</p>
--	--

	<p>and users of the Peoples Path was not given any consideration in the EI-H2 submission nor in the CE report. Hydrogen is a highly flammable gas (10 times more combustible than methane) and poses explosion risk potentially causing serious injury and death to a very immediate proximate residential neighbourhood and passers-by on the highly popular People’s Path and the local tennis and sailing club where 700 people use the facilities every week. The submission refers to the Seveso Directive which may have implications for the facility of this scale as Hydrogen is named dangerous substance (No 15) in Schedule No 1 (Part 2) of the Regulations (level of storage is unknown, therefore thresholds are unknown). Additionally, approximately 150 full oil and petroleum trucks, as well as LPG Tankers and bulk containers delivering flammable chemicals to the existing industries in the Whitegate area pass within a few metres of the proposed facility every day, from the oil refinery in Whitegate. The size and shape of the site would make it impossible to create any safe set-back distance from this busy main road adding to the is profile of the prosed facility.</p> <p>The Health and Safety Authority have produced guidelines in relation to Land Use Planning (LUP) Guidance for new establishments of this type. A technical analysis of the potential risks under the regulations would very likely indicate that there would be significant risk of injury or death and such a facility is inappropriate in a residential area and that the rezoning not be recommended.</p> <p>- As per the requirements of the Habitats directive, consideration of alternative locations for a Chemical production facility of this scale and size was not undertaken.</p> <p>- There are obvious alternative sites which have an explicit zoning objective for exactly this type of strategic and large-scale industrial development elsewhere in the region which is the special Policy area (GC-X-01) in Whitegate. This is specifically designated as an “area with potential for major, large-scale energy and renewable energy related development.”</p> <p>- The submission further states that this large chemical plant, or any industrial facility on the site, would have a significant negative impact on the residential amenity due to the close proximity of the residential housing across the road from the development and also given the topography and elevated ground will have impacts both visual and noise throughout the whole residential neighbourhood of Lower Aghada which overlooks the site. This issue is not addressed at all in the EI H2 submission nor in the environmental report.</p> <p>In conclusion the submission states that it welcomes the recommendation in the Screening report to reject the rezoning of the undeveloped site 4.3.8.2. However, it submits that the Green infrastructure rezoning, as initially proposed by the PPU in the County Council in the Draft Plan for the Boatyard site 4.3.8.3 be retained as the most appropriate zoning for the site in this highly residential and natural amenity area in Lower Aghada.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lower Aghada Action Group
Ref. No.	PADP401094701

Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. This comprehensive submission does not support amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses.</p> <p>The submission states that locals were not made aware that a submission had been made for potential re-zoning of the subject site and that the site had subsequently been proposed for incorporation as an amendment by the County Council in the Draft County Development Plan.</p> <p>The submission refers to a meeting held at Aghada Community Hall on Monday 31st January where there was global consensus from both residents and politicians that the proposed rezoning was completely inappropriate and would severely impact the area of Aghada, which is substantially a residential area with supporting community, recreational facilities along with natural protected amenities and designations.</p> <p>The submission includes an online petition which contains signatures from 873 people protesting against the rezoning.</p> <p>The submission outlines the following observations in relation to the proposed amendment;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Habitats Directive Screening Report has assessed the undeveloped site 4.3.8.2 (ref Appendix 1) to the west and recommended that it not be zoned as industrial and should be retained as green infrastructure as per the draft development plan. This is based on the potential negative impact of the adjacent SPA. The Lower Aghada Action Group strongly supports this recommendation. However, the submission also queries why this site was brought forward for consideration given this assessment and why it was not screened out of the CE’s report in the first instance. - The submission states that the viability of the EI-H2 project is completely undermined as given the above recommendation by the Habitats Directive Screening there is insufficient appropriately zoned land to meet their requirements. The rezoning proposal for the Boatyard site should also be rejected and reverted to Green Infrastructure, as originally proposed by the PPU. - The Habitats Directive Screening Report has assessed the existing Boatyard site (4.3.8.3 ref Appendix 1), and in this case, has recommended that the site can be zoned as industrial. This is based solely on the current boatyard usage being regarded as industrial and the proposed development has no potential for significant effects on EU sites identified and requirement for AA is screened out. The submission states there is insufficient evidence to make this assertion and that consultation for advice in relation to the impacts should be sought from the National Parks and Wildlife service (Competent Authority) and that of the public, before such a decision on rezoning be made. The submission states that the current use as a Boatyard is not “industrial” in its nature, scale or operation but is consistent with supporting the maritime and recreational amenity of the Harbour, which is an appropriate use for the site and does not significantly adversely impact on the residential amenity and natural amenity of the area. - The submission outlines a number of references in the Draft Plan on

	<p>Biodiversity and Landscape (Ref 3.8.1, 3.8.2 – see Appendix 1) along with number of key objectives for the Aghada area (ref WG GC-01, WG-GR-02, and particularly WG-GA-03, WG-GC04 – see Appendix 1). Along with the designation as a SPA, the area also has a further designations as a proposed Natural Heritage Area (pNHA) at the Rostellan Lough, Poul nabibe Inlet and, more importantly relating to this site, the Aghada Shore which is immediately adjacent to the site. The objective also clearly states the area makes a significant contribution to the attractiveness of longer distance views from the Cobh area and provides important visual and physical separation between the village of Aghada and industrial areas to the west. It is also sited along the R630 which is designated as a Scenic Route (S51) in the draft development plan where the objective is to preserve the character of the views and prospects from the route. Objective WG-U -01 (ref Appendix1) has the objective of protecting the Peoples Path and any developments will only be permitted where it is shown that it is compatible with the requirements of nature conservation directives. These objectives all support the sensitive and scenic location at Aghada and state that open space would remain largely unchanged and is not suitable for development. The Tennis and sailing club site is also not deemed suitable for development and we now submit that the Boatyard site be retained as a boatyard and also remain largely unchanged also to reflect these broad objectives along this scenic route.</p> <p>- EI-H2 have not given any rationale for selecting this highly sensitive site, nor does there appear to be any obvious rationale, given its sensitive location. However, the selection may have been motivated by issues such as price or ready availability or in ownership, which has no place in the proper planning of an area for rezoning.</p> <p>- The Health and Safety risks to the adjacent residential and sporting facilities and users of the Peoples Path was not given any consideration in the EI-H2 submission nor in the CE report. Hydrogen is a highly flammable gas (10 times more combustible than methane) and poses explosion risk potentially causing serious injury and death to a very immediate proximate residential neighbourhood and passers-by on the highly popular People’s Path and the local tennis and sailing club where 700 people use the facilities every week. The submission refers to the Seveso Directive which may have implications for the facility of this scale as Hydrogen is named dangerous substance (No 15) in Schedule No 1 (Part 2) of the Regulations (level of storage is unknown, therefore thresholds are unknown). Additionally, approximately 150 full oil and petroleum trucks, as well as LPG Tankers and bulk containers delivering flammable chemicals to the existing industries in the Whitegate area pass within a few metres of the proposed facility every day, from the oil refinery in Whitegate. The size and shape of the site would make it impossible to create any safe set-back distance from this busy main road adding to the is profile of the prosed facility.</p> <p>The Health and Safety Authority have produced guidelines in relation to Land Use Planning (LUP) Guidance for new establishments of this type. A technical analysis of the potential risks under the regulations would very likely indicate that there would be significant risk of injury or death and such a facility is inappropriate in a residential area and that the rezoning not be recommended.</p> <p>- As per the requirements of the Habitats directive, consideration of alternative locations for a Chemical production facility of this scale and size was not undertaken.</p> <p>- There are obvious alternative sites which have an explicit zoning objective for exactly this type of strategic and large-scale industrial development elsewhere</p>
--	---

	<p>in the region which is the special Policy area (GC-X-01) in Whitegate. This is specifically designated as an “area with potential for major, large-scale energy and renewable energy related development.”</p> <p>- The submission further states that this large chemical plant, or any industrial facility on the site, would have a significant negative impact on the residential amenity due to the close proximity of the residential housing across the road from the development and also given the topography and elevated ground will have impacts both visual and noise throughout the whole residential neighbourhood of Lower Aghada which overlooks the site. This issue is not addressed at all in the EI H2 submission nor in the environmental report.</p> <p>In conclusion the submission states that it welcomes the recommendation in the Screening report to reject the rezoning of the undeveloped site 4.3.8.2. However, it submits that the Green infrastructure rezoning, as initially proposed by the PPU in the County Council in the Draft Plan for the Boatyard site 4.3.8.3 be retained as the most appropriate zoning for the site in this highly residential and natural amenity area in Lower Aghada.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lower Aghada Tennis & Sailing Club
Ref. No.	PADP400509288
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations.</p>

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lower Aghada Tennis & Sailing Club
Ref. No.	PADP400512080
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural</p>

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Luca Cavallo
Ref. No.	PADP401629449
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lucy Wallis
Ref. No.	PADP400147406
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. Aghada Running Club also utilise the People’s path numerous nights a week for training and social runs. This is a safe resource that has served the community for more than 20 years. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Lucy Wallis
Ref. No.	PADP400150578

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. Aghada Running Club also utilise the People’s path numerous nights a week for training and social runs. This is a safe resource that has served the community for more than 20 years. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Lynda Burke
Ref. No.	PADP400977583
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Lynda Colbert
Ref. No.	PADP400579449
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	M Brosnan
Ref. No.	PADP400997736
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	M Lewis
Ref. No.	PADP401221433
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a</p>

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mairead O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP397761385
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands in Whitegate Aghada. The submitter states that she is a Health Promotion practitioner who uses the People's Path daily for leisure purposes. The submission points out that this area is valuable

	for the village in terms of providing an outdoor area for both physical and mental wellbeing. The submission questions why a Green Infrastructure area adjacent to the People's Path is being zoned for Existing Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses when there is a 400-acre tranche of Industrial Zoned Land nearby.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mairead Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP400986845
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Manon Murray
Ref. No.	PADP400428740
Proposed Amendment No.	proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	<p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marcella McGrath
Ref. No.	PADP400961131
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Margaret Buckley
Ref. No.	PADP401627650
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the

	<p>Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Margaret McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400528565
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Margaret Noonan
Ref. No.	PADP400223515
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maria Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP401587250
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marianne Draper
Ref. No.	PADP400969738
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie Cahill
Ref. No.	PADP400472475
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie Fitzpatrick
Ref. No.	PADP400546118
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	<p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie Gonzalez
Ref. No.	PADP401203953
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise

	<p>point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie Moran
Ref. No.	PADP401051603
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie O Leary
Ref. No.	PADP400450798
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	<p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Marie O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401358803
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a

	<p>safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mark Brett
Ref. No.	PADP397353470
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Lower Aghada and objects to the proposed re zoning of the land from Green Infrastructure to Industrial to facilitate the development of a Hydrogen manufacturing plant. The submission states that this is to be constructed directly beside residential area, a tennis club, a public path and an area of special protection and nature. The submission also refers to the health and safety rights of the community and the environment.</p> <p>Duplicate Sub of PADP397361127.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mark Brett
Ref. No.	PADP397361127
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Lower Aghada and objects to the proposed re zoning of the land from Green Infrastructure to Industrial to facilitate the development of a Hydrogen manufacturing plant. The submission states that this is to be constructed directly beside residential area, a tennis club, a public path and an area of special protection and nature. The submission also refers to the health and safety rights of the community and the environment.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mark McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400541478

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.8.3.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mark Twomey
Ref. No.	PADP400935401

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martha Walsh

Ref. No.	PADP398929675
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment no. 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility.</p> <p>The submission states that the consultation and notification process for proposed amendments to the County Development Plan was grossly inadequate and has failed. Residents and other interested parties in the Aghada/Whitegate greater area were not informed of the proposals in accordance with government guidelines. The submission refers to Planning Leaflet 2 – A Guide to the Development Plan which states that, contrary to the guide, the Planning Authority did not put the draft plan on display in the Aghada Community Hall and that notification of the draft plan was not posted in any of the local areas where there is high footfall. The submission also states that the Council did not consult widely in preparing the Development Plan. The Council did not contact interested groups or organisations in the locality in relation to the proposed amendment, including Lower Aghada Tennis Club, Rostellan Development, Aghada Community Council and schools in the area. Local only became aware of the proposed amendment due to the work of local community groups who have been informing the public in relation to the proposal.</p> <p>The submission outlines its opposition to the amendment for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Residential: The site is in a residential area and is not suitable for any industry let alone one based on highly volatile hydrogen the production of which, as admitted by EI-H2, will produce 24/7 light and noise pollution. This is not in line with the following “Development that does not support, or threatens the vitality or integrity of, the primary use of these existing built up areas will be resisted” - Recreational: The People’s Path runs directly across what would be the entrance to development on the site. This is a very important recreational area used by runners, cyclists, schools and families. Development on the site would result in additional crossing of heavy traffic which would detract from the recreational value of the path. The site adjoins the Lower Aghada Tennis Club which is a valuable community asset. The harbour should be a venue for tourism and maritime activities. The proposed use would detract from this scenic area. - Biodiversity and Scenic Capital: The proposed site is adjacent to a Natura 2000 site with core breeding and nesting sites for rare and threatened breeds and an area of special biodiversity. The Draft Plan WG-GC-04 objective should be protected and extended. - Planning Consistency: The site is greenfield and there is already adequate zoning in the area for energy production. The development would erode the physical separation between the Aghada and the industrial area to the west of the village. The same protections should apply to this site that apply to Whitegate Playground (WG-GA-09) where the objective is to “Maintain the existing open space and existing play area. This zone is adjacent to Cork Harbour Special Protection Area and is not suitable for development”. The proposed site is located closer to the Harbour area and yet the same protections are not applied. - Safety: Hydrogen is highly flammable and explosive and this company by their own admission have never run a similar facility to the one proposed.

	The submission concludes by saying that there is a lot of anger in the community in relation to the proposed amendment.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martha Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP398930168
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment no. 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility.</p> <p>The submission states that the consultation and notification process for proposed amendments to the County Development Plan was grossly inadequate and has failed. Residents and other interested parties in the Aghada/Whitegate greater area were not informed of the proposals in accordance with government guidelines. The submission refers to Planning Leaflet 2 – A Guide to the Development Plan which states that, contrary to the guide, the Planning Authority did not put the draft plan on display in the Aghada Community Hall and that notification of the draft plan was not posted in any of the local areas where there is high footfall. The submission also states that the Council did not consult widely in preparing the Development Plan. The Council did not contact interested groups or organisations in the locality in relation to the proposed amendment, including Lower Aghada Tennis Club, Rostellan Development, Aghada Community Council and schools in the area. Local only became aware of the proposed amendment due to the work of local community groups who have been informing the public in relation to the proposal.</p> <p>The submission outlines its opposition to the amendment for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Residential: The site is in a residential area and is not suitable for any industry let alone one based on highly volatile hydrogen the production of which, as admitted by EI-H2, will produce 24/7 light and noise pollution. This is not in line with the following “Development that does not support, or threatens the vitality or integrity of, the primary use of these existing built up areas will be resisted” - Recreational: The People’s Path runs directly across what would be the entrance to development on the site. This is a very important recreational area used by runners, cyclists, schools and families. Development on the site would result in additional crossing of heavy traffic which would detract from the recreational value of the path. The site adjoins the Lower Aghada Tennis Club which is a valuable community asset. The harbour should be a venue for tourism and maritime activities. The proposed use would detract from this scenic area. - Biodiversity and Scenic Capital: The proposed site is adjacent to a Natura 2000 site with core breeding and nesting sites for rare and threatened breeds and an area of special biodiversity. The Draft Plan WG-GC-04 objective should be

	<p>protected and extended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Planning Consistency: The site is greenfield and there is already adequate zoning in the area for energy production. The development would erode the physical separation between the Aghada and the industrial area to the west of the village. The same protections should apply to this site that apply to Whitegate Playground (WG-GA-09) where the objective is to “Maintain the existing open space and existing play area. This zone is adjacent to Cork Harbour Special Protection Area and is not suitable for development”. The proposed site is located closer to the Harbour area and yet the same protections are not applied. - Safety: Hydrogen is highly flammable and explosive and this company by their own admission have never run a similar facility to the one proposed. <p>The submission concludes by saying that there is a lot of anger in the community in relation to the proposed amendment.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martin Brennan
Ref. No.	PADP401248096
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise

	<p>point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martin Doyle
Ref. No.	PADP400531106
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martin Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP400263063
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support an amendment to convert private land green/amenity zoning to industrial zoning, adjacent to the People's Path in Lower Aghada, in a residential area, and adjacent to a Natura 2000 site. Submission is of the view that given the extensive amount of industrial zoning which exists in the Whitegate area, it is absurd that a single piece of land at a distance from this industrial zone should be rezoned at the behest of a company/individual who recently acquired that land. Submission has concerns that once this area is re-zoned, it will never be reclaimed as amenity space and will lead to adjacent sites being acquired. Submission notes its support of the need to investigate the potential for hydrogen fuel however, it also notes we have a climate crisis along with other social and environmental issues that also need to be tackled. The submission states that it objects to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity and Natural habitat loss: site is bound to a Natura 2000 site and this comprises of the special Protection Area (Cork Harbour SPA – Site code 004030) and a proposed Natural Heritage Area (Rostellan Lough, Aghada Shore And Poul nabibe Inlet – Site code 001076). Submission has concerns with the developer's submission and their regard for the Biodiversity crisis through their attitude to the designation of the SPA adjoining this site. Submission requests the retention of the Cork Harbour Special Protection Area. • Planning Consistency: it suggests there is adequate zoning in the area for industrial processes and states this "island" of rezoning is not consistent with continuity of these zones and would see the unwarranted creep of industrial zoning into a green space, and a residential zone. • Residential area: The proposed re-zoning would introduce an industrial process into an existing residential zone and the type of industry is irrelevant. It strongly disagrees with the developer's submission and highlights that this development will have a huge impact on the residents in the area. It states the site is currently zoned and is defined in this way for a reason and the construction of an industrial facility in an area such as this goes entirely against the logic of this zoning in the first place. • Light pollution: the development of an industrial facility at this location will introduce light pollution and directly affect the residents overlooking the site.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise pollution: the 24-hour noise generated on-site will have a deleterious effect on the adjacent residences • Legacy: Once a green space zoning is lost, it will never be taken back, and it sets a precedence in itself for further future re-zoning of adjacent lands. • Amenity: The People’s Path in Aghada is a precious resource for all ages and argues this proposal would fly in the face of efforts to continue to encourage people to use the outdoors. It disagrees with the argument laid out in the developer’s submission with the existing ESB station and suggests that extending an amenity pathway through a section of existing industrial zone is an entirely different proposition, to extending an industrial zone into an amenity area. • Safe cycling: The path is one of the few places around the harbour that provides a safe family space for children to cycle, which is separated from the road. • Proximity to the existing tennis club: Site is better suited for amenity use rather than industrial. • Existing derelict site: Suggests everyone in Aghada and all those using the People’s Path would love to see the removal of the current eyesore that is the old boatyard, however, the proposed industrial development would just replace it with another eyesore. It suggests that the existing site would be better used as either storage or amenity such as the enhancement of water-based sport and recreation, rather than the construction of a new industrial site. • Flooding: Suggests the requirement of a flood risk assessment due to a possibility that future climate change patterns may see the OPW CFRAM models change. • Hydrogen: Argues that the developers branding and marketing it as “green” hydrogen might be a clever way to make a business pitch, but a hydrogen facility is still a chemical manufacturing process. • Safety: Hydrogen gas is highly flammable and explosive and is a dangerous substance. The site is in very close proximity to the tennis club, residential area, and directly on a busy road which has a lot of fuel tankers as well as light vehicles. • Location: Highlights this site is not “at the established strategic energy park”. Rather it is sited well outside the boundary of the zoned area. The development plan identifies Whitegate as an energy hub and it does not identify Aghada within that zone. • Scale: The developer’s submission proudly claims it will be the largest renewable plants of its kind in the world while the size was downplayed in size to residents at the public consultation. • Connection to gas line: Queries the connections to the gas line in upper Aghada. • Electricity grid connection: Queries how the facility will connect to the electricity grid. • Scenic route: site is situated along a designated scenic route. • Inconsistency in planning amendments: Submission queries why proposed amendment 4.3.8.5 was passed so that the green space next to the playground in Whitegate cannot be built on because it is too close to the SPA (200m away), but then it is perfectly fine to re-zone the land which extends onto the SPA in Aghada? <p>Submission concludes by saying that the people of Aghada and Whitegate have benefited from and tolerated the wider energy industry for a long number of years but are very attached to this site because of the Peoples Path, Natura 2000 site, the social and environmental amenity of the harbour and the quiet residential area.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martin Hennigan
Ref. No.	PADP401579267
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission completely opposes proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change two areas zoned as Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>The area is a Special Protection Zone.</p> <p>The proposed amendment will facilitate the development of a hydrogen plant within 80m of the submitter's dwelling which is considered to be grossly negligent on the part of elected members.</p> <p>The amendment proposes to change a green field beside the sea and a recreational walk to an industrial zone. This is dangerous and wrong. Industrial buildings at this location will destroy the picturesque nature of the village.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martin Ryan
Ref. No.	PADP400162351
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martina O'Donovan
Ref. No.	PADP400499774
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Martina O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP399384927
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change part of WGGC- 04 (Green Infrastructure) to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. The submission notes that this zone is situated within the village of Lower Aghada and adjacent to residential houses, Lower Aghada Tennis Club and the Cork Harbour SPA.</p> <p>The submission argues that to zone this site would invite the development of industry or related activities that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment (i.e. such as interfering with maintaining favourable conditions for our resident and migratory bird population, many of which are in decline) by facilitating possible interference with the Cork Harbour SPA (as specified under the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora). There would also be a negative impact on the wider local area and environment as well as the lives of the local residents. The submission also notes that this area is not adjacent to any other zone designated as “Existing/Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses” and it would be an “island” of industrial zoned land within an area of green infrastructure and residential housing.</p> <p>The submission states that the zone is directly adjacent and bordering the Cork Harbour SPA on one side, and by a public path (known as the Peoples Path) that is used extensively by residents for recreation purposes on the other and that it</p>

	<p>would not be in the best interest of Cork County Council or local residents to proceed with this amendment.</p> <p>The submission also quotes the Councils Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report 18th Jan 2022, where it states that the negative impact of adopting this amendment together with a clear recommendation not to change the current designation has also been noted. Finally, the submission also quotes the Councils Addendum to SEA Environmental Report 18th January 2022 where it states that this change in designation should not proceed on the basis that it is undeveloped and should be retained as a buffer to the sensitive areas of the estuary and Cork Harbour SPA.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.5 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the East Cork MD.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See the Response and Recommendation in relation to this issue in Volume One, Part One: Section 4.5 dealing with the Key Issues in relation to the East Cork MD.
Interested Party	Martina O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP399378886
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change part of WG-GC-04 (Green Infrastructure) to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. The submission notes that this zone is situated within the village of Lower Aghada and adjacent to residential houses, Lower Aghada Tennis Club and the Cork Harbour SPA.</p> <p>The submission argues that to zone this site would invite the development of industry or related activities that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment (i.e. such as interfering with maintaining favourable conditions for our resident and migratory bird population, many of which are in decline) by facilitating possible interference with the Cork Harbour SPA (as specified under the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora). There would also be a negative impact on the wider local area and environment as well as the lives of the local residents. The submission also notes that this area is not adjacent to any other zone designated as "Existing/Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses" and it would be an "island" of industrial zoned land within an area of green infrastructure and residential housing.</p> <p>The submission states that the zone is directly adjacent and bordering the Cork Harbour SPA on one side, and by a public path (known as the Peoples Path) that is used extensively by residents for recreation purposes on the other and that it would not be in the best interest of Cork County Council or local residents to proceed with this amendment.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Coleman
Ref. No.	PADP401266696
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Corcoran
Ref. No.	PADP401595870
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Cott
Ref. No.	PADP400430688
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Crowley
Ref. No.	PADP400941108
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Culligan
Ref. No.	PADP401292992

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400413957

Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP398703776

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary J. Rogers
Ref. No.	PADP399168886
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p>

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP400519252
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate</p>

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401599120
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401116941
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be

	<p>entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401117425
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The submission gives a detailed account of the membership of the Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, noting that the rezoned area borders this very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary Rohan
Ref. No.	PADP401624326
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mary T. Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP400922134
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to

	<p>the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Matthew Smyth
Ref. No.	PADP401368644
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material

	<p>within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maura Brady (nee Kelly)
Ref. No.	PADP401081598
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The submission gives a detailed account of the membership of the Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, noting that the rezoned area borders this very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maureen Ahern
Ref. No.	PADP400416702
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines

	<p>its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maurice Cott
Ref. No.	PADP400439379
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Maurice Reaney
Ref. No.	PADP400485135
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2.and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Megan Alcock
Ref. No.	PADP401197123
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be

	<p>entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael and Louise Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP400475317
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive

	<p>Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Bailey
Ref. No.	PADP401579815
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Boylan
Ref. No.	PADP398701988
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.8.3.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to</p>

	<p>the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Kenefick
Ref. No.	PADP401044330
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of

	<p>context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401220501
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401355641
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP399861707
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendments nos. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing

	<p>Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael Mortell
Ref. No.	PADP401011235
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Michael O'Brien
Ref. No.	PADP401040947
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: The proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the</p>

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, founded in 1917, which is a very active club in the community. A detailed breakdown of the club’s activities and membership is provided in the submission. The club encourages wide usage and runs a range of programmes for example Tennis camps, children and adults coaching groups, together with sharing facilities with other organisations and clubs including for example sea scouts, Irish coastguard. There are 700 people in regular weekly games. The club has also hosted a number of tournaments, leagues, and championships. Concerns raised regarding impact of noise coming from facility on those being coached every week <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mícheál Burke
Ref. No.	PADP400435017
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of

	<p>context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Miriam Day
Ref. No.	PADP400067112
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the

	<p>boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mollie Steele
Ref. No.	PADP400362838
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Moya Geraghty
Ref. No.	PADP401582820
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to</p>

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mr and Mrs Peter Clifton
Ref. No.	PADP400931600
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living

	<p>locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mr Seamus Coghlan
Ref. No.	PADP401437905
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The existing boatyard site is not industrial in nature or operation as suggested. It is however complimentary to the harbour amenity. 2. The recommendation for the undeveloped adjacent site 4.3.8.2 to remain as green infrastructure makes the EI H2 project unviable and should therefore be not given any further consideration. 3. The site should be given the same consideration as the undeveloped site as they are both adjacent to the SPA and pNHA with potential to impact it. 4. The residential amenity of Lower Aghada would be significantly impacted by the proposed industrial chemical plant in terms of noise and light 5. The proposal undermines the key Planning objectives originally proposed including WG-GC-01, WG-GR-02, and particularly WG-GA-03 and WG-GC-04 and WG-U-01. 6. The habitats directive recommends alternatives to be considered. There is a much more suitable large 950 acre site already zoned for this type of energy related industrial development in the special policy area WG-X-01. 7. There are significant potential health and safety risks associated with a Hydrogen production plant which render unsuitable for an essentially residential area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Mrs Susan Tait
Ref. No.	PADP400760689

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/ General/ Business/ Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility. The submission notes that this would ruin the fantastic views of the harbour and tennis courts and that this area is a Cork Harbour Special Protection Area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nathan Richardson
Ref. No.	PADP401389515
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niall O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP401600085
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh & Denis O'Leary
Ref. No.	PADP400511636
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh and Alan Roberts
Ref. No.	PADP401242577
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family</p>

	<p>friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh Callanan
Ref. No.	PADP401222729
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Summary: Objection on the grounds that the specific location is unsuitable for industry, too close to residential homes and recreational amenities. Within the surrounding area there are plenty of areas already zoned industrial which would be better suited to this development. Industrial sprawl within this scenic location should not be permitted and is deemed unnecessary and inappropriate. The development of a hydrogen facility in the location would be supported but adjacent to the industrial facilities already located in the area.</p> <p>- Safety: Concerns regarding safety of the surrounding community due to type of industry being proposed for this site;</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: The proposed change of use to industrial zoning is deemed to be inappropriate for Lower Aghada Village.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada is a scenic coastal village and should be retained as such. It is also an area of significant recreational use. The rezoning is inappropriate in the</p>

	<p>context of the amount of land already zoned industry in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Residents are not opposed to local industry operating in the area and have supported existing heavy industry as far back as the late fifties. The issue is the inappropriate proposal to rezone this particular area for industrial use.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh Callanan
Ref. No.	PADP401211875
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the operation of a hydrogen generating facility proximate to a tennis and sailing club and adjacent to a highly used public amenity path and numerous homes is submitted to be totally inappropriate. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be completely inappropriate for the setting of the village. It is considered that there are already ample areas zoned for industrial use in the surrounding area. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer and that rezoning of this area for industrial activity is completely inappropriate considering the amount of land already assigned for industrial use in the surrounding area.</p> <p>It adds that the residents of the Aghada area are not opposed to local industry operating in the area but that the issue here is the completely inappropriate proposal to rezone this particular area for industrial use.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh Cashman

Ref. No.	PADP401128945
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendment 4.3.8.3 and is opposed to the rezoning of green space and it being zoned an industrial area. Submission outlines concerns regarding loss of natural habitats and areas of beauty. Considers this a terrible disadvantage to the community.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh Dempsey
Ref. No.	PADP400985896
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger. - In addition, the submission notes that there has been a substantial number of accidents on the stretch of road from Midleton to Aghada, in particular at the Cloyne/Whitewell Cross. Concerned regarding the potential additional traffic a hydrogen facility would contribute. - Photo image of fire damage caused at Lower Aghada Tennis Club building, to demonstrate that accidents can happen, and to reiterate the point that placing a highly flammable substance in a residential and recreational area is a move that impacts on people safety and wellbeing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh Gosnell
Ref. No.	PADP401577510
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family

	<p>friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh O'Connell
Ref. No.	PADP401356549
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living</p>

	<p>locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP401631777
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p>

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Niamh O'Shea
Ref. No.	PADP401262268
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The</p>

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nicholas Coffey
Ref. No.	PADP400524671
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nicholas Greig
Ref. No.	PADP397972983
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Aghada which are subject to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3. The submission states that the proposal to change the land use zoning to existing industrial use is entirely inappropriate as it is in an area of residential and community uses including the People's Path which is used by hundreds of people daily. The submission also states that noise and light pollution will also have a detrimental impact on the local residents and wildlife. The submission also raises concerns about the lack of consultation with community and wildlife groups and questions why a parcel of industrial land would be located in an area surrounded by Green Infrastructure.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nicholas Greig
Ref. No.	PADP397980034
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Aghada which are subject to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3. The submission states that the proposal to change the land use zoning to existing industrial use is entirely inappropriate as it is in an area of residential and community uses including the People's Path which is used by hundreds of people daily. The submission also states that noise and light pollution will also have a detrimental impact on the local residents and wildlife. The submission also raises concerns about the lack of consultation with community and wildlife groups and questions why a parcel of industrial land would be located in an area surrounded by Green Infrastructure.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nick Parkinson
Ref. No.	PADP400407867
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the

	<p>Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nicole Reardon
Ref. No.	PADP400485800
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states it objects to changing the green zone to an industrial zone.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nicole Reardon
Ref. No.	PADP407880961
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	The submission requests to keep Aghada green and states that this is no place for any type of dangerous constitution in a beautiful community area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Noel Meade
Ref. No.	PADP401088437
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil,

	<p>petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, founded in 1917, which is a very active club in the community. A detailed breakdown of the club’s activities and membership is provided in the submission. The club encourages wide usage for example with the Cope Foundation. It provides various activities for example Tennis camps, adults coaching groups, together with sharing facilities with other organisations and clubs including for example with the Cope Foundation, sea scouts, Irish coastguard. The club has also hosted a number of tournaments, leagues, and championships. Concerns regarding impact of noise coming from facility on those being coached every week <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Noel O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP401633362
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nora O'Shea

Ref. No.	PADP401271837
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nora O'Shea
Ref. No.	PADP401267203
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a</p>

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Nuala Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401582935
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and particularly autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Oliver Moloney
Ref. No.	PADP400502719
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Orla Burke
Ref. No.	PADP400472004
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Orla O Regan
Ref. No.	PADP400975467
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to

	<p>the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Orla O Shea
Ref. No.	PADP401284925
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to

	<p>the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Orlagh Bolger
Ref. No.	PADP400534987
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of

	<p>context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paddy Bolger
Ref. No.	PADP400524678
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Padraig Sisk
Ref. No.	PADP401003864
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pamela Barry
Ref. No.	PADP401230014
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP399378778
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. Two environmental reports - the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report, addressed both amendments on pages 122, and 203/204 respectively. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment no. 4.3.8.3, and that for the boatyard any further re-development may need further assessment. It is requested that the amendments agreed on December 13th 2021, by the elected councillors be over-turned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations, as a matter of urgency to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. - Flooding: Approximately 10% of the site is within a floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP399383008
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This is a duplicate of submission no. PADP399378778
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat Goggin
Ref. No.	PADP400473700
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a

	<p>Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat O'Donovan
Ref. No.	PADP401583705
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing

	<p>Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP399384080
Proposed Amendment No.	Amendment 4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 which proposes to change part of WG-GC-04 (Green Infrastructure) to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. The submission notes that this zone is situated within the village of Lower Aghada and adjacent to residential houses, Lower Aghada Tennis Club and the Cork Harbour SPA.</p> <p>The submission argues that to zone this site would invite the development of industry or related activities that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment (i.e. such as interfering with maintaining favourable conditions for our resident and migratory bird population, many of which are in decline) by facilitating possible interference with the Cork Harbour SPA (as specified under the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora). There would also be a negative impact on the wider local area and environment as well as the lives of the local residents. The submission also notes that this area is not adjacent to any other zone designated as "Existing/Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses" and it would be an "island" of industrial zoned land within an area of green infrastructure and residential housing.</p> <p>The submission states that the zone is directly adjacent and bordering the Cork Harbour SPA on one side, and by a public path (known as the Peoples Path) that is used extensively by residents for recreation purposes on the other and that it would not be in the best interest of Cork County Council or local residents to proceed with this amendment.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pat O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP399385324
Proposed Amendment No.	Amendment number 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendment 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: submission notes that the area is not adjacent to any other zone designated as "Existing/Mixed/General Business/Industrial Uses" and would be an "island" of industrial zoned land within an area of green infrastructure and residential housing. Raises concern that to redesignate it from Green Infrastructure as proposed could invite the development of industry that would negatively impact the preservation of the ecology of the local environment and on the wider local area and environment as well as the lives of

	<p>the local residents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same with regard to amendment 4.3.8.3. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: Raises a concern that the site is adjacent to a public path (known as the Peoples Path) that is used extensively by residents for recreation purposes, and that it is also very close to the Lower Aghada Tennis club as well as being directly opposite residential housing.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patricia Hayden
Ref. No.	PADP401585657
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club.</p> <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick and Mary O'Donovan
Ref. No.	PADP400460701
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were</p>

	<p>prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP400416027
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special

	<p>Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick Fitzgerald
Ref. No.	PADP398699048
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and

	<p>would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick Fortune
Ref. No.	PADP400533832
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to

	<p>protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Patrick Fortune Jnr
Ref. No.	PADP400532678
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in

	<p>accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Bridgeman
Ref. No.	PADP401585181
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The

	<p>submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Daly
Ref. No.	PADP400427769
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP397495869
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands in Whitegate Aghada. The submission does not support the proposed amendment to change the zoning to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses and states that there has not been due consultation with residents and organisations in the immediate vicinity of the site and also in the wider Aghada and East Cork community. The submission states that the proposed development poses aesthetic, environmental and potentially life-threatening risks which are very concerning. The submission refers to other green field lands across Cork Harbour particularly in Ringaskiddy which would be more appropriate for the proposed use than a residential/green area in Lower Aghada.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Kearney
Ref. No.	PADP400156940
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local

	<p>houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. Aghada Running Club also utilise the People’s path numerous nights a week for training and social runs. This is a safe resource that has served the community for more than 20 years. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Kearney
Ref. No.	PADP400157875
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. Aghada Running Club also utilise the People's path numerous nights a week for training and social runs. This is a safe resource that has served the community for more than 20 years.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul McGrath
Ref. No.	PADP400910960
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2. and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing

	<p>Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401590917
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and

	<p>4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401115215
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/ General/ Business/ Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The submission gives a detailed account of the membership of the Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, noting that the rezoned area borders this very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paul Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401116343
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3

<p>Submission Summary</p>	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
----------------------------------	---

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paula McManus
Ref. No.	PADP401579025
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Paula O'Keeffe
Ref. No.	PADP400072891
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pauline McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400547839
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peg Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP400452219
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peter Ahern
Ref. No.	PADP400490133
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peter Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP400465002
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peter Cashman
Ref. No.	PADP400389442
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peter Cashman JNR
Ref. No.	PADP400459613
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise

	<p>point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peter Crowley
Ref. No.	PADP401017392
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Peter Hetherington
Ref. No.	PADP401007775
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Philip Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP400947478
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Phillip O'Hare
Ref. No.	PADP401635132
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility</p>

	<p>adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Phillip O'Hare
Ref. No.	PADP400462204
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating</p>

	that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Philomena Conway
Ref. No.	PADP398459348
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Aghada which are subject to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3. The submission states that the proposal to change the land use zoning to existing industrial use is entirely inappropriate as it is in an area of residential and community uses including the People's Path which is used by hundreds of people daily. The submission also states that noise and light pollution will also have a detrimental impact on the local residents and wildlife. The submission also raises concerns about the lack of consultation with community and wildlife groups and questions why a parcel of industrial land would be located in an area surrounded by Green Infrastructure which it deems to be entirely illogical.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Philomena Conway
Ref. No.	PADP398460349
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to WG-GC-04 lands at Aghada which are subject to proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3. The submission states that the proposal to change the land use zoning to existing industrial use is entirely inappropriate as it is in an area of residential and community uses including the People's Path which is used by hundreds of people daily. The submission also states that noise and light pollution will also have a detrimental impact on the local residents and wildlife. The submission also raises concerns about the lack of consultation with community and wildlife groups and questions why a parcel of industrial land would be located in an area surrounded by Green Infrastructure as it is entirely illogical.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Philomena Triggs
Ref. No.	PADP400985416
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	PJ O'Connor
Ref. No.	PADP401069044

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pouting Puppies
Ref. No.	PADP400784663
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 as a resident living in the immediate area. Its redesignation could invite the development of industry that would negatively impact on the ecology of the area including potential impact on the Cork SPA and the wider environment as well as on the lives of local residents. The area includes a public path (People's Path) used by residents for recreation purposes and borders on a residential area.

	The negative impact of adopting this amendment has been noted in the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report which clearly recommends not to change the current designation (extracts from this report included in the submission). The Councils Addendum to SEA Environmental Report also notes that this change should not proceed.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Pouting Puppies
Ref. No.	PADP400784173
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 as a resident living in the immediate area. Its redesignation could invite the development of industry that would negatively impact on the ecology of the area including potential impact on the Cork SPA and the wider environment as well as on the lives of local residents. The area includes a public path (People's Path) used by residents for recreation purposes and borders on a residential area.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Prince A. Abimbola
Ref. No.	PADP400972834
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats

	<p>Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, founded in 1917, which is a very active club in the community. A detailed breakdown of the club's activities is provided in the submission. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation providing for example Tennis camps, adults coaching groups, together with sharing facilities with other organisations and clubs including for example sea scouts, Irish coastguard. The club has also hosted a number of tournaments, leagues, and championships. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Queenie Calma O'Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP400977491
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission objects to proposed amendment 4.3.8.2 due to the environmental impact and impact to the amenities in the area in which they live.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Raegon Carroll
Ref. No.	PADP401243819
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Raegon Carroll
Ref. No.	PADP400382883
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.82 and 4.3.83

Submission Summary	This submission objects to rezoning from green infrastructure to industrial uses in lower Aghada.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ray and Naomi O Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP401273452
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Raymond Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401002371
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/ General/ Business/ Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submitter begins by outlining the explosive nature of Hydrogen and how this location is totally unsuitable from a health and safety point of view (submission also appends a drawing of the location of the submitters residence relative to the proposed amendment. The submission also notes the loss of area of biodiversity along the shoreline between Rostellan and Whitegate.</p> <p>The submission goes on to outline further grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The submission gives a detailed account of the membership of the Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club, noting that the rezoned area borders this very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts

	and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Regina Whyte
Ref. No.	PADP402006320
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating</p>

	that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ria Burgoyne
Ref. No.	PADP400959768
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive</p>

	gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Richard Condon
Ref. No.	PADP401018841
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Richard Hennessy
Ref. No.	PADP400394153
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Riina Parn
Ref. No.	PADP400637253
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rob Winter
Ref. No.	PADP400422343
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Robbie Rutledge
Ref. No.	PADP401255975
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Robert Deane
Ref. No.	PADP398143985
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2. and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada and objects to the proposed re-zoning of an area in Lower Aghada village from an existing green infrastructure zone to industrial zone. The submission states that the area is immediately adjacent and bounding a Special Protection Area for wildlife, a tennis club, the People's Path amenity walk way, main road to/ from Whitegate, in a rural area within the heart of the village. This existing green area should never be re-zoned for industrial purposes due to potential impact on such a sensitive area. The submission also raises concerns about potential noise pollution, light pollution, odour and potential serious flood risk in this low lying area, especially with the impact of climate change.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Robin Triggs
Ref. No.	PADP401078756
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive

	<p>Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Roger McGrath
Ref. No.	PADP400949397
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the

	<p>Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Roise Steele
Ref. No.	PADP400209251
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ronan Scallon
Ref. No.	PADP400520374
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the</p>

	<p>submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rosanne Cahill
Ref. No.	PADP401275348
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in

	<p>the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rose Morrissey
Ref. No.	PADP401596395
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses. States that submitter and family live across the road from the land and that a neighbour has just receive planning permission to build another residential unit. Submits that the road is already residential and that young families are being encouraged to build there. States that the area is within Cork Harbour SPA, raising a concern of life long negative impact, and that the Council's Habitats Directive Screening Assessment 18th Jan. 2022 clearly recommends not to change the current designation. States that submitter's family is aware of the crises and changes pertaining to renewable energy, environmental impacts and green protection. States that the area, with residential units and its infrastructure, is unsuitable for industrial activity</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ross McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP400456119
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Rowena Seward
Ref. No.	PADP400918905
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil,</p>

	<p>petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ruairi Morrison
Ref. No.	PADP401397778
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local

	<p>houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ruairi Morrison
Ref. No.	PADP401418164
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material

	<p>within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ruth Evans
Ref. No.	PADP400989107
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;

	<p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sam Lilburn
Ref. No.	PADP401603790
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of</p>

	<p>context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sandie Sheppard
Ref. No.	PADP401257533
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sandra Harrigan
Ref. No.	PADP401087370
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the

	<p>boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sara Flanagan
Ref. No.	PADP400398663
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah Berry
Ref. No.	PADP400577791
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah McCarthy
Ref. No.	PADP401583766
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various</p>

	<p>programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sarah Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401015704
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Seamas O'Heocha
Ref. No.	PADP400202415
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p>

	<p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Séamus & June O'Sullivan
Ref. No.	PADP399850098
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment no. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. Two environmental reports - the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report, addressed both amendments on pages 122, and 203/204 respectively. In both reports in was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment no. 4.3.8.3, and that for the boatyard any further re-development may need further assessment. It is requested that the amendments agreed on December 13th 2021, by the elected councillors be over-turned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations, as a matter of urgency to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations.</p> <p>- Flooding: Approximately 10% of the site is within a floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living</p>

	<p>locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. - Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean Breheny
Ref. No.	PADP400829046
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family

	<p>friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean Breheny
Ref. No.	PADP400829411
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living</p>

	<p>locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean Goggin
Ref. No.	PADP401286412
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391.</p> <p>The land in proposed amendment 4.3.8.3 is an existing agricultural field and cannot be re-zoned existing business due to its existing agricultural status. The proposed amendments totally contradict Cork County Council's own recommended zoning for the site which is Green Infrastructure as set out in the Draft Development Plan as zoning objective WG-GC-04. The Draft has clearly stated here that the lands are not suitable for development and that the industrial areas lie further to the West, so it would be contrary to proper planning to re-zone a small 1.6 ha parcel of land in the middle of a large green zone.</p> <p>This site is totally unsuitable for the proposed use as a hydrogen electrolysis plant as it is:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within an existing residential area. • Directly adjacent to a busy sports and leisure facility in Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club (WG-GC-03). • Directly adjacent to the 'People's Path' pedestrian walkway (WG-U- 01). • Directly adjacent to green infrastructure zone areas (WG-GC-05). • Too close to all these amenities from a Health and Safety point of view. • Too small at 1.6 ha. • Within the ecologically sensitive Cork Harbour Special Protection Area. • Identified in LeeCFRAMS as being an area at risk of tidal flooding, where Government Guidelines state that development is to be avoided.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In an area where the crucial water supply is at full capacity. <p>All of these points are identified in the Draft Cork County Development Plan 2021 and were correctly used to justify the proposed green infrastructure zoning for these lands. It would be an incredible U-turn for Cork County Council to now decide to ignore all of their own original recommendations.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean Quirke
Ref. No.	PADP401998709
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sean, Samantha O'Keefe and family
Ref. No.	PADP402167805
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shane Coleman
Ref. No.	PADP401192675
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>his submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shane Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401046344
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shauna Murphy
Ref. No.	PADP401044057
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sheila Barry
Ref. No.	PADP400526555
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sheila Daly
Ref. No.	PADP400523364
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shirley Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401597754
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Shirley Garde
Ref. No.	PADP400459405
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Sinéad O'Connor
Ref. No.	PADP401602905

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Stephen and Helen Gilroy
Ref. No.	PADP400464168

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Stephen Daly
Ref. No.	PADP400449602

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Stephen Gilroy JNR
Ref. No.	PADP400400518

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands’ Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Stephen O’Riordan
Ref. No.	PADP401199191

Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Subathra Rengasamy
Ref. No.	PADP401588392
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines</p>

	<p>its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Interested Party	Susan Ahern
Ref. No.	PADP399596272
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada and objects to a proposed development in Whitegate Aghada which would seriously threaten the area both environmentally and socially. It states that a development like this should be considered elsewhere where the impact would be less severe.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Susan Brennan
Ref. No.	PADP400828707
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Susan Brennan
Ref. No.	PADP400826415
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the

	<p>Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Susan O Regan
Ref. No.	PADP400398197
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission</p>

	from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Susan O'Callaghan
Ref. No.	PADP400784228
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission</p>

	expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Susan O'Callaghan
Ref. No.	PADP400783608
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis.

	<p>To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Susan Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP400986752
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Svetlana Creedon
Ref. No.	PADP400226950
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tara Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401207765
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.

	<p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tara Smyth
Ref. No.	PADP401270828
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ted and Marie O'Hanlon
Ref. No.	PADP401222204
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to</p>

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Theresa Maher
Ref. No.	PADP401567784
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to</p>

	<p>industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Thomas Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP400984602
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family

	<p>friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p> <p>Submission notes that due to the large quantity of water necessary that a desalination plant may also be required which will mean a large fossil fuel boiler is needed.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Timothy Connors
Ref. No.	PADP401072012
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.3 in the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. Submission objects to the plan to change this green environment into an industrial site when there are so many disused brown field sites in the county better suited to industrial development. It argues the impact on the local residents as well as the local environment would be devastating and the destruction to the harbour wildlife is too high a risk.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Timothy Connors
Ref. No.	PADP401083276
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	Submission relates to amendment 4.3.8.3 in the settlement of Aghada. Submission objects to the plan to change this green environment into an industrial site when there are so many disused brown field sites in the county better suited to industrial development. It argues the impact on the local residents as well as the local environment would be devastating and the destruction to the harbour wildlife is too high a risk.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tom Mangan
Ref. No.	PADP400237987
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. The submission lists the organisations that share the facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.

Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tom Prendergast
Ref. No.	PADP401604869
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tomas Konopka
Ref. No.	PADP401000312
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tomas Schett
Ref. No.	PADP400461084
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>his submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tony O'Connor
Ref. No.	PADP401265667
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tony O'Shea
Ref. No.	PADP401260091
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3

Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tony Tait
Ref. No.	PADP400406479
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment No. 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material

	<p>within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Tony Walsh
Ref. No.	PADP401296725
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material</p>

	<p>within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Una O'Leary
Ref. No.	PADP400404500
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2. and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.

	<p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ursula Brown
Ref. No.	PADP400514958
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower</p>

	<p>Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Ursula Cronin
Ref. No.	PADP400918297
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland's Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders

	<p>Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Vanitha Boylan
Ref. No.	PADP399163917
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 / 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who</p>

	enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Vincent Byrne
Ref. No.	PADP401279562
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Virginia Metcalfe
Ref. No.	PADP401242441
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Virginia O'Driscoll
Ref. No.	PADP401138837
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council's own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Irelands' Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.

	Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Vladimir Cmarko
Ref. No.	PADP401008406
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which proposes to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location with the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People's Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Wayne Fitzpatrick
Ref. No.	PADP399209799
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2
Submission Summary	This submission queries the location of the proposed facility in a residential area right next to a sporting amenity in Whitegate / Agada. The submission also suggests that there are plenty of industrial zones around that could have this facility or lots of old units around East Cork that would be suitable for a site like this.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Wayne Fitzpatrick
Ref. No.	PADP399212377
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission queries the location of the proposed facility in a residential area right next to a sporting amenity in Whitegate / Agada. The submission also suggests that there are plenty of industrial zones around that could have this facility or lots of old units around East Cork that would be suitable for a site like this.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	William M Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP400465515
Proposed Amendment No.	Proposed Amendment 4.3.8.2 & 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows; - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People's Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate

	<p>industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	William Sjostrom
Ref. No.	PADP400195461
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural

	<p>area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area Amenity borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	William Whelan
Ref. No.	PADP400524683
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p>

	<p>- Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles.</p> <p>- Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain.</p> <p>- Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old.</p> <p>- Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Yvonne Flynn
Ref. No.	PADP401274139
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <p>- Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil,</p>

	<p>petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. In addition, the submission notes that approximately 10% of the site is within the floodplain. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Yvonne Hegarty
Ref. No.	PADP401262190
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil,

	<p>petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The rezoned property will seriously endanger the SPA and would be the only location within the SPA where protection would not be given. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which is a very active club in the community. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis in the club. The club encourages wide usage with the Cope Foundation, the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard using the club on a frequent basis. To rezone the land adjoining the club makes no sense from a safety and noise point of view. <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Yvonne Kennedy
Ref. No.	PADP398506194
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3
Submission Summary	This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate Aghada and objects to the proposed re-zoning of land for a hydrogen plant adjacent to the tennis club and the peoples path which constitutes a significant safety and environment hazard to the people of Aghada and surrounding area and affects the special area of protection/natura 2000 site.
Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Interested Party	Zena Mullane
Ref. No.	PADP401091502
Proposed Amendment No.	4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3

<p>Submission Summary</p>	<p>This submission relates to the settlement of Whitegate and Aghada. The submission states that it does not support proposed amendments 4.3.8.2 and 4.3.8.3 which propose to change an area of Green Infrastructure to Existing Mixed/General/Business/Industrial Uses for the purpose of accommodating a Hydrogen Facility as per submission DCDP345738391. The submission outlines its grounds for opposing the proposed amendments as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safety: the proposal to site a facility for the production of an explosive material within a village on a site which adjoins a tennis and sailing club, is adjacent to the People’s Path and adjoins a Special Protection Area, a main road and local houses is entirely irresponsible. The main road carries a large volume of oil, petrol and diesel tankers travelling from the oil refinery. - Unsuitability of development: the proposed development is deemed to be entirely inappropriate and completely out of context in such a beautiful rural area in the centre of a village. It is considered that there are more adequate industrial zones all around Cork Harbour. This development would be out of context with village design principles. - Biodiversity: The proposed space is immediately adjacent to a Special Protection Area. The submission notes that two environmental reports were prepared by Cork County Council for the Draft Development Plan – the Addendum to the SEA Environmental Report and the Habitats Directive Screening Assessment Report. In both reports it was recommended that the existing zoning should stay the same for amendment 4.3.8.3 and that for the boatyard any further development may need further assessment. The submission requests that the proposed amendments be overturned in accordance with Cork County Council’s own environmental recommendations to protect the SPA and Ireland’s Natura 2000 obligations. - Amenity: The Aghada People’s Path is a valuable resource to all those living locally in the parish of Aghada, Whitegate and beyond. It provides a safe family friendly and environmentally friendly amenity. Priority should be not be given to industry over citizens both young and old. - Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club – The rezoned area borders Lower Aghada Tennis and Sailing Club which was founded in 1917 and is a very active club in the community. The club has members ranging in age from pre-school to 80 plus. They have 99 Family Members, 110 Individual Members, 10 County Members, 21 Over 65 Members, 152 Junior Members. Each week 200 children are coached in the club and 700 people play tennis weekly in the club. <p>The submission provides detail of the numbers involved in the various programmes offered by the club. They note they have 6 ultra-modern courts which are all floodlit and they intend to rebuild a new club house soon.</p> <p>The club lists the organisations that share their facilities including the Aghada Sea Scouts and the Irish Coast Guard who use the club on a frequent basis. They have hosted various events over the years and list these in their submission.</p> <p>The submission expresses concern about the impact of the proposed facility adjacent to the club specifically the children and most particular autistic children given the noise coming from the plant.</p> <p>Lower Aghada is a scenic location and it attracts a large number of people who enjoy the open spaces and water-based activities on offer. The submission states that the imposition of an industrial space on the basis of one submission from EI-H2 is appalling and to facilitate the production of an extremely explosive gas in the proposed location is reckless. The submission concludes by stating that a local authority should protect its citizens and not expose them to danger.</p>
----------------------------------	--

Chief Executive's Response	See Response in relation to this issue in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.
Chief Executive's Recommendation	See Recommendation in relation to this issue in in Section 4.5 of Volume One, Part 1 of this Report.



Comhairle Contae Chorcaí
Cork County Council